



Motivation: Generalizable Reconstruction

- + **Task:** Scalable reconstruction is important for simulation!
- **Existing approaches:**
- + Per-scene optimization (NeRF, 3DGS) costly, overfits to source
- + Generalizable NVS/LRMs small scenes/objects, limited input views
- **G3R:** (1) large dynamic scenes reconstructed in ~30s (2) arbitrary number of input images (3) more robust prediction for large view changes



Scene Representation

3D Neural Gaussians

- + Augment each 3D Gaussian with a latent feature vector
- + Provide additional representation capacity and easier prediction
- + MLP decodes 3D Neural Gaussians to 3D Gaussians



3D Neural Gaussians:

3D Gaussians + latent feature vector

3D Gaussians: size, rotation, color, opacity

Dynamic unbounded scene decomposition

- Static background, a set of dynamic actors, and a distant region for far-away buildings and sky.
- + Initialize 3D Neural Gaussians with LiDAR / multi-view stereo points

G3R: Gradient Guided Generalizable Reconstruction

Yun Chen*, Jingkang Wang*, Ze Yang, Sivabalan Manivasagam, Raquel Urtasun

Waabi, University of Toronto https://waabi.ai/g3r



G3R

G3R: combines the benefits of fast feed-forward prediction methods with the iterative gradient feedback from per-scene optimization approaches

Encode 2D Images in 3D as Gradients: "rendering and backpropagating"

- + *Motivation*: Differentiable renderer bridges 2D and 3D
- + **Approach**: (1) render 3D representation to source views, (2) compute loss w.r.t. ground-truth images, (3) backpropagate to get 3D gradients, which encodes 2D info
- + Why? (1) a unified representation for multi-image aggregation, (3) occlusion-awareness in lifting 2D to 3D, (3) fast computation with 3DGS tile-rasterization



Iterative Reconstruction with a Neural Network

- + Key idea: Neural network as a learned optimizer for reconstruction
- + **Approach:** iteratively refine the 3D neural Gaussians for T steps
- + Why? overcome limited network capacity and diverse data distribution
- + Train with mix of source and target images
- + Increases robustness of predicted 3D representation at novel views



Training across many large outdoor scenes with a combination of photometric loss, perceptual loss, and a regularization term to ensure the flatness of 3D Gaussians.

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm mse}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}, \mathbf{I}) + \lambda_{\rm lpips} \mathcal{L}_{\rm lpips}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}, \mathbf{I}) + \lambda_{\rm reg} \mathcal{L}_{\rm reg}(\mathcal{G})$

Results



Quantitative comparison with SOTA

		$ $ PSNR \uparrow	Recon Time	FPS
Generalizable	ENeRF PixelSplat	$\begin{vmatrix} 24.43 \\ 23.21 \end{vmatrix}$	$0.057 \mathrm{s}^\dagger \ 0.74 \mathrm{s}^\dagger$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.93 \\ 147 \end{array}$
Per-scene Opt.	Instant-NGP 3DGS	$\begin{array}{ c c c } 24.34 \\ 25.14 \end{array}$	7min 16s 50min 14s	$\begin{array}{c} 3.24\\ 121 \end{array}$
Ours	G3R (turbo) G3R	$\begin{array}{ c c c } 24.76 \bullet \\ 25.22 \bullet \end{array}$	$\frac{31s}{123s}$	$121\\121$

More robust results compared to 3DGS

Ablation study

Models	PSNR
Ours	25.22
– 3D neural Gaussian representation	24.72
– iterative reconstruction	20.03
– training with novel views	24.59
- update schedule $\gamma(t)$	25.03

Cross-dataset generalization (Pandaset→Waymo)

Limitations: (a) artifacts in large extrapolations; (b) dense point initialization; (c) limited simulation controllability such as non-rigid motion and lighting