CSC486/2502 Test 1

When: Friday October 27

12:10 – 1:00 pm (50 minutes duration)

Please arrive at **noon** so that we can start promptly at 12:10

Where: BA026 (here)

What: Chapters 1-9 (Everything up to and including description logic) **Restrictions:** Closed book. No aids.

Rough Format:4-5 questions

- one question with definitions (1-2 lines)
- one question with short answers
- two or three questions where you may have to axiomatize something and/or prove something

Can I post old midterms?: Sorry – no I cannot.

Like the assignments, the material is not mine to post.

Review

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The language of first-order logic
- 3. Expressing knowledge
- 4. <u>Resolution</u>
- 5. Horn logic
- 6. Procedural control of reasoning
- 7. Rules in production systems
- 8. Object oriented representation
- 9. <u>Structured descriptions</u>

1. Introduction

- What is: knowledge, representation, reasoning
- Why: knowledge, representation, reasoning
- What is entailment
- knowledge level vs. symbol level

2. The language of first-order logic

- How about using FOL for KR
- Syntax of FOL
- Semantics of FOL (interpretations, denotations, ..)
- Entailment
- Knowledge-based systems

- 3. Expressing knowledge
 - How to axiomatize a domain
 - Entailment examples
 - What we can and cannot encode easily in FOL

4. <u>Resolution</u>

- Deductive reasoning in a language as close as possible to FOL
- CNF and DNF
- Conversion to CNF (propositional case, first-order case)
- Skolemization
- Resolution rule of inference
- Procedure for entailment
- Relating the symbol level back up to the knowledge level
- Soundness and completeness (resolution is *refutation*-complete!)
- Answer extraction
- Undecidability
- Resolution is difficult...what can we do about it?
 - 1. Keep the search as general as possible
 - 2. Avoid needless search (e.g., irrelevant resolvents)

4. <u>Resolution</u>

- Resolution is difficult...what can we do about it?
 - 1. Keep the search as general as possible
 - 2. Avoid needless search (e.g., irrelevant resolvents)
- More specifically:
 - Herbrand Theorem (Herbrand base, Herbrand universe)
 - MGU
 - Resolution strategies
 - Discussion of SAT

We saw how hard it was to reason w/ FOL. Let's try see how far we can get by **restricting the language** and/or **controlling inference** in some way. Is our language expressive enough still? Is reasoning still sound and complete?

5. Horn logic

- What is a horn clause (positive, negative, definite)
- SLD resolution, why it's still sound and refutation-complete
- Prolog uses SLD resolution. Why no answer predicate needed.
- Backward-chaining and problem w/backward chaining (infinite loop)!
- Forward-chaining and FO undecidability

Restricting the language and/or controlling inference

6. Procedural control of reasoning

How to express knowledge to provide control for the simple case of backward-chaining Horn reasoning. Basically all the tricks that Prolog gives the user to control backward-chaining search, many of which are realized by the Prolog cut operator.

- Algorithm design (e.g., Fibonacci numbers, extra predicates, accumulators, etc.)
- Ordering goals
- Commiting to a branch of the search space (using cut)
- If-then-else (a version of committing)
- controlling backtracking
- Negation as failure (an important concept)
- Dynamic DBs (if-needed, if-added, if-removed)

Restricting the language and/or controlling inference

- 7. <u>Rules in production systems</u>
- Now we're going to restrict our language and control inference by limiting ourselves to directional rules. In the last chapters we emphasized backward-chaining, here we will emphasize forward-chaining over rules.
- Goal vs. data-directed reasoning
- Forward vs. back-chaining
- Which is better suited for each and how to make one do the other
- Production systems
- Working memory
- Pattern specification and pattern matching
- Conflict resolution and combination
- Rete algorithm
- Production system applications

Restricting the language and/or controlling inference

- 8. Object oriented representation
- All KR to date was *flat*. Let's group facts and rules in terms of the *kinds of objects* they pertain to, i.e. what the knowledge is about. This section discussed *frames*, a *procedural* KR formalism that is OO in this way.
 - Basic Frame Language (generic frame, instance frame, slots, ...)
 - Procedures and defaults
 - IS-A and (defeasible!!!) inheritance
 - Reasoning with frames
 - Example of frames in action

Restricting the language and/or controlling inference

9. <u>Structured descriptions</u>

Again from sentences to objects, but this time in a more declarative rather than procedural way. Description logic/terminological logic. A subset of FOL that is often decidable. Great for *defining* vocabularies.

- (atomic & non-atomic) concepts, roles, constant
- Syntax and semantics of the DL language (extensions)
- The 3 sentences you can express and use to construct queries
- Entailment and reasoning, the 2 different queries
- Symbol-level: Computing subsumption
- Normalization & structure matching
- Taxonomies (implicit rather than explicit as in frame languages)
- Computing classification
- Most specific subsumer, most general subsumee
- Using the taxonomic structure
- Extensions and applications