

# Neural Tangent Kernel: Convergence and Generalization in Neural Networks

---

Jacot, Arthur, Franck Gabriel, and Clément Hongler. "Neural tangent kernel: convergence and generalization in neural networks." *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2018.

Presented by: Nathan Ng, Kimia Hamidieh, and Haoran Zhang  
As part of CSC 2541 Winter 2021

February 25, 2021

**Warm up**

---

## Gradient Descent and Convergence to global minimum

- If cost function  $C(f_\theta)$  is convex with respect to parameters  $\theta$ , convergence of GD is guaranteed

## Gradient Descent and Convergence to global minimum

- If cost function  $C(f_\theta)$  is convex with respect to parameters  $\theta$ , convergence of GD is guaranteed
- The loss function of neural networks is not convex
  - Where does the gradient descent converge?
  - Global or local minimum?

## Gradient Descent and Convergence to global minimum

- If cost function  $C(f_\theta)$  is convex with respect to parameters  $\theta$ , convergence of GD is guaranteed
- The loss function of neural networks is not convex
  - Where does the gradient descent converge?
  - Global or local minimum?
- If the loss function is convex in *function space*,
  - Is it possible to converge to global minimum?

# Gradient Descent and Convergence to global minimum

- If cost function  $C(f_\theta)$  is convex with respect to parameters  $\theta$ , convergence of GD is guaranteed
- The loss function of neural networks is not convex
  - Where does the gradient descent converge?
  - Global or local minimum?
- If the loss function is convex in *function space*,
  - Is it possible to converge to global minimum?
- What kind of functions are we biased towards at initialization?  
How do they change during training?

# Neural Networks in Function Space

- Realization function of  $L$ -layer network  $F^{(L)} : \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , mapping parameters  $\theta$  to functions  $f_\theta$  in a space  $\mathcal{F}$
- Inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{p^{in}} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ f(x)^T g(x) \right]$$

$p^{in}$ : distribution of training data

- Inner product defined by multi-dimensional kernel:

$$K : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_L \times n_L}$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_K := \mathbb{E}_{x, x' \sim p^{in}} \left[ f(x)^T K(x, x') g(x') \right].$$

- The dual form  $\mathcal{F}^*$ : the dual space of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $p^{in}$   
i.e. the set of linear forms  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $\mu = \langle d, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}}$   
for some  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- The dual form
- Functional derivative of the cost  $C$   $C(f) = \frac{1}{2}\|f - f^*\|^2$

$$\partial_f^{in} C|_{f_\theta} = \langle f_\theta - f^*, \cdot \rangle_{P^{in}}$$

- The dual form
- Functional derivative of the cost  $C$
- Kernel

$\Phi_K : \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ : mapping a dual element  $\mu = \langle d, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}}$  to the function  $f_\mu$  such that:

$$f_\mu(x) = \Phi_K(\mu)(x) = \langle d, K(x, \cdot) \rangle_{p^{in}}$$

Using the fact that partial application of the kernel  $K_{i,\cdot}(x, \cdot)$  is a function in  $\mathcal{F}$

# Kernel Gradient

Kernel gradient  $\nabla_K C|_{f_\theta}$  is defined as:

$$\nabla_K C|_{f_\theta} = \Phi_K \left( \partial_f^{in} C|_{f_\theta} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_\theta(x) - f^*(x))^T K(\cdot, x) \right]$$

maps the functional derivative of cost to the above function.

- a generalization of GD to function spaces

$$\partial_f^{in} C|_{f_\theta} = \langle f_\theta - f^*, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t f_{\theta(t)} \\ &= \partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t)) \partial_t \theta(t) \\ &= -\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t)) \partial_{\theta(t)} (C \circ F)(\theta(t)) \\ &= -\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t)) \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_{\theta(t)}(x) - f(x))^T (\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t))(x)) \right] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_{\theta(t)}(x) - f(x))^T (\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t))(\cdot)) (\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t))(x)) \right] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_{\theta(t)}(x) - f^*(x))^T K(\cdot, x) \right] \\ &\Rightarrow K(\cdot, x) = (\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t))(\cdot)) (\partial_{\theta(t)} F(\theta(t))(x)) \end{aligned}$$

# Neural Tangent Kernel

---

$$\partial_t f_\theta(t) = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_{\theta(t)}(x) - f^*(x))^T K(\cdot, x) \right]$$

If the kernel remains constant, we have a linear differential equation with solution:

$$f_t = f^* + e^{-t\Pi}(f_0 - f^*)$$

where  $\Pi$  is a map of :  $f \mapsto \Phi_K \left( \langle f, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} \right)$

# Main Idea

$$\partial_t f_\theta(t) = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}} \left[ (f_{\theta(t)}(x) - f^*(x))^T K(\cdot, x) \right]$$

During training, the network function  $f_\theta$  evolves along the (negative) kernel gradient

$$\partial_t f_{\theta(t)} = -\nabla_{\Theta^{(L)}} C|_{f_{\theta(t)}}$$

with respect to the *neural tangent kernel* (NTK)

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{(L)}(\theta)(x, x') &= \sum_{p=1}^P \left( \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta)(x) \right)^T \left( \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta)(x') \right) \\ \Rightarrow \Theta^{(L)}(\theta) &= \sum_{p=1}^P \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta) \otimes \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Theta^{(L)}(\theta) = \sum_{p=1}^P \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta) \otimes \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta)$$

- Depends on the parameters  $\Rightarrow$  random at initialization, time-dependent
- By Theorem 1. and 2. at infinite width limit:
  - Converges to a deterministic limit at initialization
  - Fixed during training

- Network function  $f_{\theta}(x) := \tilde{\alpha}^{(L)}(x; \theta)$ , where

$$\alpha^{(0)}(x; \theta) = x$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(\ell+1)}(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\ell}}} W^{(\ell)} \alpha^{(\ell)}(x; \theta) + \beta b^{(\ell)}$$

$$\alpha^{(\ell)}(x; \theta) = \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}^{(\ell)}(x; \theta))$$

- In the infinite width limit  $n_1, \dots, n_{L-1} \rightarrow \infty$
- Initialize the parameters  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Id_n)$
- The output functions (pre-activations)  $f_{\theta,k}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n_L$ , is a Gaussian processes of covariance  $\Sigma^{(L)}$

$$\Sigma^{(1)}(x, x') = \frac{1}{n_0} x^T x' + \beta^2$$

$$\Sigma^{(L+1)}(x, x') = \mathbb{E}_{f \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^{(L)})} [\sigma(f(x))\sigma(f(x'))] + \beta^2$$

An  $L$ -layer neural network at **initialization**, and when  $n_1, \dots, n_{L-1} \rightarrow \infty$ , then the NTK  $\Theta^{(L)}$  converges in probability to a deterministic limiting kernel  $\Theta^{(L)} \rightarrow \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)} \otimes Id_n$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{\infty}^{(1)}(x, x') &= \Sigma^{(1)}(x, x') \\ \Theta_{\infty}^{(L+1)}(x, x') &= \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x, x') \dot{\Sigma}^{(L+1)}(x, x') + \Sigma^{(L+1)}(x, x'),\end{aligned}$$

Proof by induction

Given a training direction  $t \mapsto d_t \in F$ , the parameters  $\theta_p$  are trained following the differential equation:

$$\partial_t \theta_p(t) = \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}, d_t \right\rangle_{p^{in}}$$

If  $\int_0^T \|d_t\|_{p^{in}} dt$  is bounded for any training time  $T$ , and  $n_1, \dots, n_{L-1} \rightarrow \infty$  then for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\Theta^{(L)} \rightarrow \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)} \otimes Id_{n_L}$$

## Dynamics of Gradient Descent in Function Space

$$\partial_t f_t = \Phi_K \left( \langle f^* - f, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} \right) \text{ where, } K = \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)} \otimes Id_{n_L}$$

Solution:

$$f_t = f^* + e^{-t\Pi}(f_0 - f^*)$$

- Convergence to global minimum  
if  $\Pi$  is positive definite, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f_t \rightarrow f^*$  and then  $C(f_t)$  converges to global minimum

## Dynamics of Gradient Descent in Function Space

$$\partial_t f_t = \Phi_K \left( \langle f^* - f, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} \right) \text{ where, } K = \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)} \otimes Id_{n_L}$$

Solution:

$$f_t = f^* + e^{-t\Pi}(f_0 - f^*)$$

- Convergence to global minimum
- Motivation for early stopping  
avoid fitting the eigenfunctions of  $f^* - f_0$  with lower eigenvalues