CSC 311: Introduction to Machine Learning Lecture 2 - Decision Trees & Bias-Variance Decomposition

Rahul G. Krishnan Alice Gao

University of Toronto, Fall 2022







Today

- Announcement: HW1 released
- Decision Trees
 - ▶ Simple but powerful learning algorithm
 - Used widely in Kaggle competitions
 - Lets us motivate concepts from information theory (entropy, mutual information, etc.)
- Bias-variance decomposition
 - Concept to motivate combining different classifiers.
- Ideas we will need in today's lecture
 - ▶ Trees [from algorithms]
 - ▶ Expectations, marginalization, chain rule [from probability]



2 Decision Trees

3 Bias-Variance Decomposition

Lemons or Oranges



Scenario: You run a sorting facility for citrus fruits

- Binary classification: lemons or oranges
- Features measured by sensor on conveyor belt: height and width

Decision Trees a natural model we use everyday ! → Alice : where do I get lunch today ?

• Make predictions by splitting on features according to a tree structure.



			C 4	-	•	-te fo	st Ilo	- W	ar ov	า กย	inp E	nd di	r je	cis fea cu	tu prr	H ES	Pl	at m	- dif	ng	, - -	to	0	2	VO				<u>2</u> 7	he	Ĩ	np	vđ		fe	ati		0	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
· · ·	•	A	n	at	U	al	7.	noc	le	1	7	ha	t :	we	•	US	e	l	ve	ny	da	y	!	•	•	· ·	•	•	· ·	•	•	· ·	•	•	•	•	· ·	•	•	
• •		• •										• •														• •			• •			• •				•	• •			
• •		• •	• •		•	• •		• •	•		•	• •		• •			•	•	• •	•		•			•	• •		•	• •	•	•	• •		•	•	•	• •	•	• •	
				•	•		•										•				•							•							•					
						• •																				• •														
		• •	• •			• •		• •											• •							• •			• •			• •							• •	
• •			• •			• •		• •		•		• •						•	• •			•				• •	•		• •		•	• •				•	• •	•	• •	
		• •	• •			• •		• •		•		• •	•					•	• •			•				• •	•		• •		•	• •				•		•	• •	
		• •	• •			• •		• •				• •						•	• •			•				• •			• •			• •						•	• •	
																			• •																				• •	

Decision Trees once we built a DT, can use it to classify a new example.

• Make predictions by splitting on features according to a tree structure.



	outplot class	edge. label at	- that	node.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • •			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	· · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Decision Trees—Continuous Features real-valued.

- Split *continuous features* by checking whether that feature is greater than or less than some threshold.
- Decision boundary is made up of axis-aligned planes.

contrast this w/ KNN.

D pick a threshold
D perform a binary split.



Decision Trees



- Internal nodes test a feature
- Branching is determined by the feature value
- Leaf nodes are outputs (predictions)

Question: What are the hyperparameters of this model?

		• •		• • •			
huber being begins the first states							
hyper-parometers of decision tree							
	•						
μ Λ μ μ		0 0					
- # of nodes in the tree		0 0		• • •		• •	
	• •	• •					
max 1 il A that	• •	• •	• •	• • •	• •		• • •
- max depth of thee		• •	• •				
	• •	0 0		• • •		• •	
- # of branches at split	• •	• •	• •	• • •	• •	• •	• • •
$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T} = $		• •	• •			• •	• • •
	• •	• •	• •	• • •		• •	• • •
- min # of examples at a node.		• •	• •	• • •	• •	• •	• • •
		• •	• •	• • •	• •	• •	• • •
n an							• • •
- max # of features to consider.		• •			• •		• • •
		• •					
		• •					

Decision Trees—Classification and Regression

- Each path from root to a leaf defines a region R_m of input space 4 regions.
- Let $\{(x^{(m_1)}, t^{(m_1)}), \dots, (x^{(m_k)}, t^{(m_k)})\}$ be the training examples that fall into R_m



- m = 4 on the right and k is the same across each region
- Regression tree: e.g. predict house price.
 - continuous output⁶
 - leaf value y^m typically set to the mean value in $\{t^{(m_1)}, \ldots, t^{(m_k)}\}$
- Classification tree (we will focus on this):
 - discrete output
 - leaf value y^m typically set to the most common value in $\{t^{(m_1)}, \ldots, t^{(m_k)}\}$

CSC311-Lec02

Decision Trees—Discrete Features



Decision Trees—Discrete Features

• Split *discrete features* into a partition of possible values.

Example					Input	Attribu	ites				Goal
	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	WillWait
\mathbf{x}_1	Yes	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	0–10	$y_1 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_2	Yes	No	No	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Thai	30–60	$y_2 = \mathit{No}$
\mathbf{x}_3	No	Yes	No	No	Some	\$	No	No	Burger	0–10	$y_3 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_4	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	Yes	No	Thai	10–30	$y_4 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_5	Yes	No	Yes	No	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	>60	$y_5 = No$
\mathbf{x}_6	No	Yes	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Italian	0–10	$y_6 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_7	No	Yes	No	No	None	\$	Yes	No	Burger	0–10	$y_7 = No$
\mathbf{x}_8	No	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Thai	0–10	$y_8 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_9	No	Yes	Yes	No	Full	\$	Yes	No	Burger	>60	$y_9 = \mathit{No}$
\mathbf{x}_{10}	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	Italian	10–30	$y_{10} = No$
\mathbf{x}_{11}	No	No	No	No	None	\$	No	No	Thai	0–10	$y_{11} = No$
\mathbf{x}_{12}	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Burger	30–60	$y_{12} = Yes$

1.	Alternate: whether there is a suitable alternative restaurant nearby.
2.	Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in.
З.	Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays.
4.	Hungry: whether we are hungry.
5.	Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full).
6.	Price: the restaurant's price range (\$, \$\$, \$\$\$).
7.	Raining: whether it is raining outside.
8.	Reservation: whether we made a reservation.
9.	Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger).
10.	WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60).

Features:

Intro ML (UofT)

CSC311-Lec02

1) Patron Input Attributes Goal Example WillWait Alt BarFriHun PatPrice Rain Res Type EstYes No No Yes \$\$\$ No Yes French 0-10 $y_1 = Yes$ Some \mathbf{x}_1 2 Hungry No No No $y_2 = No$ Yes Yes Full \$ No Thai 30-60 \mathbf{x}_2 $y_3 = Yes$ No No Yes No No Some \$ No Burger 0-10 \mathbf{x}_3 Yes $y_4 = Yes$ \mathbf{x}_4 Yes No Yes Yes Full \$ No Thai 10-30 Yes No Yes No Full \$\$\$ No Yes $y_5 = No$ French >60 \mathbf{x}_5 Yes No Yes No Yes Some \$\$ Yes Italian 0-10 $u_6 = Yes$ \mathbf{x}_{6} Yes Yes No No None \$ No $y_7 = No$ \mathbf{x}_7 No Burger 0-10 No No No Yes Some \$\$ Yes Yes Thai 0-10 $u_8 = Yes$ \mathbf{x}_8 Yes No Yes Yes No Full \$ No >60 $u_0 = No$ \mathbf{x}_9 Burger Yes Yes Yes No Yes Italian $y_{10} = No$ Yes Full \$\$\$ 10 - 30 \mathbf{x}_{10} No \mathbf{x}_{11} No No No No None No Thai 0-10 $y_{11} = No$ Yes Yes Yes No \mathbf{x}_{12} Yes Full No Burger 30-60 = Yes1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12 +; 2,5,7,9,10,11 Patron None Full +: 4,12 Some 7,11 -: 2,5,9,10, IVo es Hungry 1,3,6,8 + : Yes 4,12 keep 5,9 2, 10, splitting

similar to	
	· · · · · · · ·
Algorithm 1 Decision Tree Learner (e	xamples, features)
1: if all examples are in the same class t	hen
2: return the class label.	
3: else if no features left then	
4 [·] return the majority decision	
5: else if no examples left then	
6: return the majority decision at the	parent node.
7: else	
real size f : choose a feature f .	
9: for each value v of feature f do	
10: build edge with label v .	and the second
11: build sub-tree using examples v	where the value of f is v .
() no features left : multiple examples have olata is noisy. class may be influen	
(2) no examples left: a combination of the in the data set	ecture values in Not present

Learning Decision Trees

veny powerful, can classify any training set perfectly, but this overfits !

• Decision trees are universal function approximators.

- ▶ For any training set we can construct a decision tree that has exactly the one leaf for every training point, but it probably won't generalize.
- Example If all D features were binary, and we had N = 2^D unique training examples, a Full Binary Tree would have one leaf per example.
- Finding the smallest decision tree that correctly classifies a training set is NP complete. is too computationally expensive / not worth it.
 - ▶ If you are interested, check: Hyafil & Rivest'76.
- So, how do we construct a useful decision tree?

Learning Decision Trees

at each step, choose the most informative feature right now. this is greedy because it's not forward looking. • Resort to a greedy heuristic:

- ▶ Start with the whole training set and an empty decision tree.
- ▶ Pick a feature and candidate split that would most reduce a loss
- ▶ Split on that feature and recurse on subpartitions.
- What is a loss?
 - ▶ When learning a model, we use a scalar number to assess whether we're on track
 - Scalar value: low is good, high is bad
- Which loss should we use?

optimal choice needs to think about the future.

Choosing a Good Split

- Consider the following data. Let's split on width.
- Classify by majority.



Choosing a Good Split

• Which is the best split? Vote!



Choosing a Good Split

- In general, the foster we can assign a class label or reach a leaf node, the better.
 - A feels like a better split, because the left-hand region is very certain about whether the fruit is an orange.
 - Can we quantify this?



- How can we quantify uncertainty in prediction for a given leaf node?
 - ▶ If all examples in leaf have same class: good, low uncertainty
 - If each class has same amount of examples in leaf: bad, high uncertainty half lemons, half oranges.
- Idea: Use counts at leaves to define probability distributions; use a probabilistic notion of uncertainty to decide splits.
- A brief detour through information theory...

Take examples, convert to counts, then to a probability distribution. Use a concept in information theory to measure the uncertainty in the distribution.

Entropy - Quantifying uncertainty

- You may have encountered the term entropy quantifying the state of chaos in chemical and physical systems,
- In statistics, it is a property of a random variable,
- The entropy of a discrete random variable is a number that quantifies the uncertainty inherent in its possible outcomes.
- The mathematical definition of entropy that we give in a few slides may seem arbitrary, but it can be motivated axiomatically.
 - ▶ If you're interested, check: *Information Theory* by Robert Ash or Elements of Information Theory by Cover and Thomas.
- To explain entropy, consider flipping two different coins... will not dive into how people came up w/ entropy. will simply take the formula and use it.

We Flip Two Different Coins *Two biosed coins*. *How biased are they*? Each coin is a binary random variable with outcomes Heads (1) or Tails (1)

Sequence 1: 000100000000000100...? Sequence 2: 010101110100110101...? 16 10 8 versus 0 1 1

Quantifying Uncertainty

• The entropy of a loaded coin with probability p of heads is given by binary distribution (P, I-P) $-p \log_2(p) - (1-p) \log_2(1-p)$ 8/9 5/9 4/9 closer to a fair coin very likely to observe 0 next. $-\frac{4}{2}\log_2\frac{4}{9} - \frac{5}{2}\log_2\frac{5}{9} \approx 0.99$ $-\frac{8}{9}\log_2\frac{8}{9} - \frac{1}{9}\log_2\frac{1}{9} \approx \frac{1}{2}$

• Notice: the coin whose outcomes are more certain has a lower entropy.

• In the extreme case p = 0 or p = 1, we were certain of the outcome before observing. So, we gained no certainty by observing it, i.e., entropy is 0.

$$P=0 \implies -0 \log 0 - 1 \log 1 = 0$$

Intro ML (UofT)

CSC311-Lec02

Quantifying Uncertainty

• Can also think of entropy as the expected information content of a random draw from a probability distribution.



- Claude Shannon showed: you cannot store the outcome of a random draw using fewer expected bits than the entropy without losing information.
- So units of entropy are bits; a fair coin flip has 1 bit of entropy.

Intro ML (UofT)

CSC311-Lec02

Entropy

• More generally, the entropy of a discrete random variable Y is given by

$$\geqslant 2 \text{ outcomes} \qquad H(Y) = -\sum_{y \in Y} p(y) \log_2 p(y)$$

- "High Entropy":
 - ▶ Variable has a uniform like distribution over many outcomes
 - Flat histogram
 - ▶ Values sampled from it are less predictable
- "Low Entropy"
 - Distribution is concentrated on only a few outcomes
 - Histogram is concentrated in a few areas
 - ▶ Values sampled from it are more predictable

[Slide credit: Vibhav Gogate]

is its width >6.5cm? lemon or orange.

- Suppose we observe partial information X about a random variable Y
 - For example, $X = \operatorname{sign}(Y)$.
- We want to work towards a definition of the expected amount of information that will be conveyed about Y by observing X.
 - Or equivalently, the expected reduction in our uncertainty about Y after observing X.

Knowing X gives us information about Y, and reduces our uncertainty about Y.

Entropy of a Joint Distribution

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining}, \text{Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy}, \text{Not cloudy}\}$

-when raining,		Cloudy	Not Cloudy
almost cloudy	Raining	24/100	1/100
for sure.	Not Raining	25/100	50/100

– when not raining, slightly more likely to be Not Cloudy.

$$\begin{aligned} H(X,Y) &= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x,y) \\ &= -\frac{24}{100} \log_2 \frac{24}{100} - \frac{1}{100} \log_2 \frac{1}{100} - \frac{25}{100} \log_2 \frac{25}{100} - \frac{50}{100} \log_2 \frac{50}{100} \\ &\approx 1.56 \text{ bits} \end{aligned}$$

Conditional Entropy

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

• What is the entropy of cloudiness Y, given that it is raining?

 $\begin{array}{rcl} H(Y|X=x) &=& -\sum_{y\in Y} p(y|x) \log_2 p(y|x) \\ \rightarrow & \text{if raining,} \\ \text{very likely cloudy.} &=& -\frac{24}{25} \log_2 \frac{24}{25} - \frac{1}{25} \log_2 \frac{1}{25} \\ \approx & 0.24 \text{bits} \quad \text{low uncertainty} \\ \bullet & \text{We used: } p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}, & \text{and} \quad p(x) = \sum_y p(x,y) \quad (\text{sum in a row}) \\ \end{array}$

Conditional Entropy

P(raining) * P(Y| raining) + P(not raining) * P(Y| not raining)

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

• The expected conditional entropy:

$$H(Y|X) = \mathbb{E}_{x}[H[Y|x]] \xrightarrow{=}_{\mathbf{x}} \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}_{\mathbf{y}} \xrightarrow{\Rightarrow} \log_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$
$$= \sum_{x \in X} p(x)H(Y|X = x) \xrightarrow{\Rightarrow} \log_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$
$$= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x, y) \log_{2} p(y|x)$$

 $\sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{y} P(y|x) \log_{2} P(y|x)$

•															•	•	•				•		•																	•		•		•	•				•	
	•	•	•			•								•						•		•						•				•	•		•		•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	• •
•		•			•	1	<u>ر</u> ر	m	M	0	<u> </u>	ł	, i	nr	า่	Ċ	Ś	ni	$d\hat{r}$	ti	Jr	in	1	· /	ZŃ	1	7	DI	1:			•	•				•	•						•	•	•			•	• •
				•		U	λ	,,,	///	U	10	1 -					01	1.0	~ 1	/./(<i>n</i>	•	· L	~ (1	Ũ	1.4	•	•	•	•											•		•				• •
						•																•		• •							•		•				•	•							•					
											•									· ^	•			••••											•															• •
	•	0		•		0		-	·k	'no	Ŵ	ìn	q	ė	oc	h	Va		2	<i>o</i> †		X	T	<u>ed</u>	UC	es	5 1	M	Y.	W	106	277	<i>JUI</i>	nt	1	3b	no	r j	7.											
													~																-																					
								_	- 1		t	I	Ċ	ani	not	51	obs	3er	ve	1	Ċ	ue	t.	SO	I	4	:an	ı Ç	mk	1	Ċal	CL	ila	te	- 7	he														
																													. ``																					
									U	nc	Cel	tc	un	tu	1	Pl	tu	ct	ì m	.7	'n	AX	De	cta	T	in	1																							
										~1		-	H Ì	ົກກ	ì		NH		+	0	กก	-h	M	4	ha	2	no	1.	ea	_				Λ.																
									- 6	~}		10			10	، د		.		- 1								g .	ea	<u>n</u>	VO	<u>u</u>	e 0	τ	K.															
																							•																											
																	•						•										•								•			•					•	
																																												•					•	
•											•			•						•		•										•	•				•	•			•		•	•	•	• •			•	• •
	•	•			•												•			•							•					•								•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •
	•	•	•									•										•		• •								•	•					•			•	•	•	•	•	• •	•		•	• •
•		•			•						•									•	•	•	•									•	•								•	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	• •
	•	•	•	•							•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•		• •		•				•	•	•	•		•		•	•			•		•	•	•	•			•	• •
•		•			•							•								•	•		•										•													• •			•	• •
•	•	•		1	•			•	•	•	•				•						•	•	•	• •						1		•	•					•								• •			•	• •
																					•	•	•	•							•	•	•				•	•						•		•			•	• •
•	•	•			•			•	•	•	•										•	•	•	• •									•													• •				• •
				1																		•		• •	•					1																• •				• •
					•									•							•	•	•	• •									•				•										•			
																								• •																										

Conditional Entropy

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

• What is the entropy of cloudiness, given the knowledge of whether or not it is raining?

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in X} p(x)H(Y|X = x)$$

= $\frac{1}{4}H(\text{cloudy}|\text{is raining}) + \frac{3}{4}H(\text{cloudy}|\text{not raining})$
 $\approx 0.75 \text{ bits}$

Intro ML (UofT)

- Some useful properties:
 - \blacktriangleright *H* is always non-negative
 - Chain rule: H(X, Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)
 - If X and Y independent, then X does not affect our uncertainty about Y: H(Y|X) = H(Y)
 - But knowing Y makes our knowledge of Y certain: H(Y|Y) = 0
 - ▶ By knowing X, we can only decrease uncertainty about Y: $H(Y|X) \le H(Y)$

Information Gain

mutual info: amount of info obtained about one random variable by observing

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

the other random variable.

- How much more certain am I about whether it's cloudy if I'm told whether it is raining? My uncertainty in Y minus my expected uncertainty that would remain in Y after seeing X.
- This is called the information gain IG(Y|X) in Y due to X, or the mutual information of Y and X

$$IG(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
(1)

- If X is completely uninformative about Y: IG(Y|X) = 0
- If X is completely informative about Y: IG(Y|X) = H(Y)
- Information gain measures the informativeness of a variable, which is exactly what we desire in a decision tree split!
- The information gain of a split: how much information (over the training set) about the class label Y is gained by knowing which side of a split you're on.

Information Gain of Split B

• What is the information gain of split B? Not terribly informative...



- Entropy of class outcome before split: $H(Y) = -\frac{2}{7}\log_2(\frac{2}{7}) - \frac{5}{7}\log_2(\frac{5}{7}) \approx 0.86$
- Conditional entropy of class outcome after split: $H(Y|left) \approx 0.81, H(Y|right) \approx 0.92$
- $IG(split) \approx 0.86 (\frac{4}{7} \cdot 0.81 + \frac{3}{7} \cdot 0.92) \approx 0.006$

Y blue: $2 \quad (\stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow}, \stackrel{5}{\Rightarrow})$ red: 5 R $H(Y) = -\frac{2}{7}\log_2 \frac{2}{7} - \frac{5}{7}\log_2 \frac{5}{7}$ height $(eft: blue: 1 (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}))$ (4) red: 3 ($\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$) right : blue: $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ red: 2width $H(Y(split)) = \frac{4}{7} \left(-\frac{1}{4}\log_2 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4}\log_2 \frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{3}{7} \left(-\frac{1}{5}\log_2 \frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5}\log_2 \frac{3}{5}\right)$ IG(spirt) = H(Y) - H(Y) spirt)

Information Gain of Split A

• What is the information gain of split A? Very informative!



- Entropy of class outcome before split: $H(Y) = -\frac{2}{7}\log_2(\frac{2}{7}) - \frac{5}{7}\log_2(\frac{5}{7}) \approx 0.86$
- Conditional entropy of class outcome after split: $H(Y|left) = 0, H(Y|right) \approx 0.97$
- $IG(split) \approx 0.86 (\frac{2}{7} \cdot 0 + \frac{5}{7} \cdot 0.97) \approx 0.17!!$

Constructing Decision Trees



- At each level, one must choose:
 - 1. Which feature to split.
 - 2. Possibly where to split it.
- Choose them based on how much information we would gain from the decision! (choose feature that gives the highest gain)

Decision Tree Construction Algorithm

- Simple, greedy, recursive approach, builds up tree node-by-node
 - 1. pick a feature to split at a non-terminal node
 - 2. split examples into groups based on feature value
 - 3. for each group:
 - ▶ if no examples return majority from parent
 - else if all examples in same class return class
 - else loop to step 1
- Terminates when all leaves contain only examples in the same class or are empty.
- Questions for discussion:
 - ▶ How do you choose the feature to split on?
 - ▶ How do you choose the threshold for each feature?

Back to Our Example

Example	Input Attributes									Goal	
	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	WillWait
\mathbf{x}_1	Yes	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	0–10	$y_1 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_2	Yes	No	No	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Thai	30–60	$y_2 = No$
\mathbf{x}_3	No	Yes	No	No	Some	\$	No	No	Burger	0–10	$y_3 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_4	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	Yes	No	Thai	10–30	$y_4 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_5	Yes	No	Yes	No	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	>60	$y_5 = \mathit{No}$
\mathbf{x}_6	No	Yes	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Italian	0–10	$y_6 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_7	No	Yes	No	No	None	\$	Yes	No	Burger	0–10	$y_7 = No$
\mathbf{x}_8	No	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Thai	0–10	$y_8 = Yes$
\mathbf{x}_9	No	Yes	Yes	No	Full	\$	Yes	No	Burger	>60	$y_9 = \mathit{No}$
\mathbf{x}_{10}	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	Italian	10–30	$y_{10} = No$
\mathbf{x}_{11}	No	No	No	No	None	\$	No	No	Thai	0–10	$y_{11} = No$
\mathbf{x}_{12}	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Burger	30–60	$y_{12} = Yes$

- 1. Alternate: whether there is a suitable alternative restaurant nearby.
- 2. Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in.
- 3. Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays.
- 4. Hungry: whether we are hungry.
- 5. Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full).
- 6. Price: the restaurant's price range (\$, \$\$, \$\$\$).
- 7. Raining: whether it is raining outside.
- 8. Reservation: whether we made a reservation.
 - Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger).
- 10. WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60). [from: Russell & Norvig]

Features:

Intro ML (UofT)

9.

CSC311-Lec02

Feature Selection



$$IG(Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$IG(type) = 1 - \left[\frac{2}{12}H(Y|\text{Fr.}) + \frac{2}{12}H(Y|\text{It.}) + \frac{4}{12}H(Y|\text{Thai}) + \frac{4}{12}H(Y|\text{Bur.})\right] = 0$$

$$IG(Patrons) = 1 - \left[\frac{2}{12}H(0,1) + \frac{4}{12}H(1,0) + \frac{6}{12}H(\frac{2}{6},\frac{4}{6})\right] \approx 0.541$$

Intro ML (UofT)

Which Tree is Better? Vote!



What Makes a Good Tree?

- Not too small: need to handle important but possibly subtle distinctions in data
- Not too big:
 - ▶ Computational efficiency (avoid redundant, spurious attributes)
 - Avoid over-fitting training examples
 - Human interpretability
- "Occam's Razor": find the simplest hypothesis that fits the observations
 - ▶ Useful principle, but hard to formalize (how to define simplicity?)
 - See Domingos, 1999, "The role of Occam's razor in knowledge discovery"
- We desire small trees with informative nodes near the root

Decision Tree Miscellany

- prune leaves w/ too little data - optimal choice at each step * a globally optimal tree.

- Problems:
 - ▶ You have exponentially less data at lower levels
 - ▶ Too big of a tree can overfit the data
 - Greedy algorithms don't necessarily yield the global optimum
- Handling continuous attributes
 - ▶ Split based on a threshold, chosen to maximize information gain
- Decision trees can also be used for regression on real-valued outputs. Choose splits to minimize squared error, rather than maximize information gain.

Advantages of decision trees over KNNs

- Simple to deal with discrete features, missing values, and poorly scaled data
- Fast at test time KNN needs to iterate thru entire data set.
- More interpretable easily explain the decision making process.

Advantages of KNNs over decision trees

- Few hyperparameters (k)
- Can incorporate interesting distance measures (e.g. shape contexts)

- We've seen many classification algorithms.
- We can combine multiple classifiers into an ensemble, which is a set of predictors whose individual decisions are combined in some way to classify new examples
 - ▶ E.g., (possibly weighted) majority vote
- For this to be nontrivial, the classifiers must differ somehow, e.g.
 - Different algorithm
 - ▶ Different choice of hyperparameters
 - Trained on different data
 - ▶ Trained with different weighting of the training examples
- Next lecture, we will study some specific ensembling techniques.

1 Introduction

2 Decision Trees

3 Bias-Variance Decomposition

- Today, we deepen our understanding of generalization through a bias-variance decomposition.
 - This will help us understand ensembling methods.
- What is generalization?
 - ▶ Ability of a model to correctly classify/predict from unseen examples (from the same distribution that the training data was drawn from).
 - Why does this matter? Gives us confidence that the model has correctly captured the right patterns in the training data and will work when deployed.

Bias-Variance Decomposition

- Overly simple models underfit the data, and overly complex models overfit.
- We can quantify underfitting and overfitting in terms of the bias/variance decomposition.







Basic Setup for Classification

- p_{sample} is a data generating distribution. For lemons and oranges, p_{sample} characterizes heights and widths.
- Pick a fixed query point **x** (denoted with a green **x**). We want to get a prediction y at \mathbf{x} .
- A training set \mathcal{D} consists of pairs (\mathbf{x}_i, t_i) sampled independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) from p_{sample} .
- We can sample lots of training sets independently from p_{sample} .



Basic Setup for Classification

- Run our learning algorithm on each training set, and compute its prediction y at the query point **x**.
- We can view y as a random variable, where the randomness comes from the choice of training set.
- The classification accuracy is determined by the distribution of y.
- Since y is a random variable, we can compute its expectation, variance, etc.



Intro ML (UofT)

Basic Setup for Regression



CSC311-Lec02

Basic Setup

- Fix a query point **x**.
- Repeat:
 - Sample a random training dataset \mathcal{D} i.i.d. from the data generating distribution p_{sample} .
 - Run the learning algorithm on \mathcal{D} to get a prediction y at x.
 - Sample the (true) target from the conditional distribution $p(t|\mathbf{x})$.
 - Compute the loss L(y, t).
- Comments:
 - Notice: y is independent of t. (Why?)
 - produced y using the training set D.
 - cannot recover Psample from D. (if we could, we don't need D anymore.)

					• • • •		
	· • • • • • • • • • • •						
	l'sample						
	Psample						
/	la anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-						
		<u>s</u>					
K	V	2					
\mathcal{D}	B a start	D	$\sim 10^{-1}$	\mathbf{N}			
	$r \sim r \sim V_2 \sim r \sim r$	13	a a a a X a a a a				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
					• • • •		
				· · · · · · ·	• • • •		
					• • • •		
				a la la la la			
	· · · · · · · · · · ·	\mathbf{z}					
		03	- 1 i i i i i i i i i i i i 🔨				
		. 🔪 🏹 .	· · · · · · · · · / .				
		\					
		🔪					
	2-	N/C					
	(4, +)	$L(y_2,t)$	1 / 11		• • • •		
		$L(\mathcal{Y}_2, \mathcal{T})$	$L(9_2 +)$				
				• • • • •			
						• • • • • • •	
						· · · · · · · ·	
						· · · · · · · ·	

Basic Setup

- Fix a query point **x**.
- Repeat:
 - ▶ Sample a random training dataset D i.i.d. from the data generating distribution p_{sample} .
 - Run the learning algorithm on \mathcal{D} to get a prediction y at \mathbf{x} .
 - Sample the (true) target from the conditional distribution $p(t|\mathbf{x})$.
 - Compute the loss L(y, t).

Comments:

- Notice: y is independent of t. (Why?)
- This gives a distribution over the loss at \mathbf{x} , with expectation $\mathbb{E}[L(y,t) | \mathbf{x}].$
- For each query point \mathbf{x} , the expected loss is different. We are interested in minimizing the expectation of this with respect to

 $\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{sample}}$.

Choosing a prediction y

- Consider squared error loss, $L(y,t) = \frac{1}{2}(y-t)^2$.
- Suppose that we knew the conditional distribution $p(t | \mathbf{x})$. What value of y should we predict?
 - Treat t as a random variable and choose y.

Choosing a prediction y

- Consider squared error loss, $L(y,t) = \frac{1}{2}(y-t)^2$.
- Suppose that we knew the conditional distribution $p(t | \mathbf{x})$. What value of y should we predict?
 - Treat t as a random variable and choose y.
- Claim: $y_* = \mathbb{E}[t | \mathbf{x}]$ is the best possible prediction.
- Proof:

$$\mathbb{E}[(y-t)^2 | \mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[y^2 - 2yt + t^2 | \mathbf{x}]$$

= $y^2 - 2y\mathbb{E}[t | \mathbf{x}] + \mathbb{E}[t^2 | \mathbf{x}]$
= $y^2 - 2y\mathbb{E}[t | \mathbf{x}] + \mathbb{E}[t | \mathbf{x}]^2 + \operatorname{Var}[t | \mathbf{x}]$
= $y^2 - 2yy_* + y_*^2 + \operatorname{Var}[t | \mathbf{x}]$
= $(y - y_*)^2 + \operatorname{Var}[t | \mathbf{x}]$

How do we choo	se y to minimize $E[(y_{-t})^2] \times]$?
	$x] = E\left[(y^2 - 2yt + t^2) x\right]$
(E is linear)	$= E[y^{2}/x] - E[2yt/x] + E[t^{2}/x]$
(we choose y.)	$= y^{2} - 2y E[t] \times] + E[t^{2}] \times]$
$(Var[x] = E[x^2] - (EEx])^2$	= $y^{2} - 2y E[t] \times] + (E[t] \times])^{2} + Var[t] \times]$
(let $Y^* = E[t x]$)	$= (Y - E[t x])^{2} + Var[t x]$
	$= (y - y^*)^2 + \underbrace{Var[t x]}_{x}$
y cannot influence l	Bayes error Iar[t]x] since y and t are independent.
best choice of y is	$y = y^* = E[t]x]$

Bayes Optimality

$$\mathbb{E}[(y-t)^2 \mid \mathbf{x}] = (y-y_*)^2 + \operatorname{Var}[t \mid \mathbf{x}]$$

- The first term is nonnegative, and can be made 0 by setting $y = y_*$.
- The second term is the Bayes error, or the noise or inherent unpredictability of the target t.
 - An algorithm that achieves it is **Bayes** optimal.
 - This term doesn't depend on y.
 - Best we can ever hope to do with any learning algorithm.
- This process of choosing a single value y_* based on $p(t | \mathbf{x})$ is an example of decision theory.

Decomposition Continued

*Y**=*E*[*t*|*X*]

- Now let's treat *y* as a random variable (where the randomness comes from the choice of dataset).
- We can decompose the expected loss further (suppressing the conditioning on **x** for clarity):

$$\mathbb{E}[(y-t)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(y-y_{\star})^2] + \operatorname{Var}(t)$$
expanding the square.

$$= \mathbb{E}[y_{\star}^2 - 2y_{\star}y + y^2] + \operatorname{Var}(t)$$

$$= y_{\star}^2 - 2y_{\star}\mathbb{E}[y] + \mathbb{E}[y^2] + \operatorname{Var}(t)$$

$$= y_{\star}^2 - 2y_{\star}\mathbb{E}[y] + \mathbb{E}[y^2] + \operatorname{Var}(y) + \operatorname{Var}(t)$$
regrouping terms.

$$= \underbrace{(y_{\star} - \mathbb{E}[y])^2}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{Var}(y)}_{\text{variance}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{Var}(t)}_{\text{Baves error}}$$

Bayes Optimality



We split the expected loss into three terms:

- bias: how wrong the expected prediction is (corresponds to underfitting) large bias → Underfitting.
- variance: the amount of variability in the predictions (corresponds to overfitting) large variance -> overfitting.
- Bayes error: the inherent unpredictability of the targets

Bias and Variance

• Throwing darts = predictions for each draw of a dataset



- Be careful, what doesn't this capture?
 - \blacktriangleright We average over points ${\bf x}$ from the data distribution.