CSC488/2107 Winter 2019 – Compilers & Interpreters

https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~csc488h/

Peter McCormick pdm@cs.toronto.edu

Agenda

- Local storage
 - Activation records
 - Lexical level
 - Displays
 - Closures
- Code generation
 - IR
 - Expressions and statements
 - Control flow graphs

Local storage

- Whereas a given program will have a fixed amount of required global storage (code, constants, variables, static data), the dynamic nature of procedural programming requires another level of *local storage*
- For most typical languages, this means some amount of memory is allocated on *entry* into a procedure/function, and deallocated on *exit*
 - Stack-like LIFO ordering: Last In, First Out
- A stack is an ideal structure for this because allocations & deallocations are very cheap operations (simple arithmetic on a stack pointer)
- These per-function-call allocations are laid out as activation records

Activation Records

Activation Records

- Organization of local storage for a particular function/procedure call (each call has its own copy of the record)
- Typical contents:
 - Return address
 - Parameters originally passed to function
 - Control block (extra bookkeeping)
 - Storage for *all* locally scoped variables (including enclosed minor scopes)
 - Pointers to any dynamically allocated memory (arrays, variable length arguments)
 - Temporary storage
- Use one hardware register to store address, and then use *register+constant displacement* instruction addressing to index into it

Activation Record – Example

func F(P, Q int32) { var x, y, z int32 var c [4] int8 if true { var t [4] int32 while true { var u, v int32

Offset	Record	
76	V	
72	u	
68	t[3]	
64	t[2]	
60	t[1]	
56	t[0]	
52	c[0], c[1], c[2], c[3]	
48	Z	
44	У	
40	X	
36	Q	
32	Р	
0	Control <i>(example 32B)</i>	

Activation Record – Compact

```
func F(P, Q int32) {
  var x, y, z int32
 var c [4] int8
  if true {
   var t [4]int32
  while true {
    var u, v int32
```

Offset	Record	
68	t[3] -	
64	t[2] -	
60	t[1] v	
56	t[0] u	
52	c[0] c[1] c[2] c[3]	
48	Z	
44	У	
40	X	
36	Q	
32	Р	
0	Control	

Lexical Level

- The *lexical level* is the depth of static nested enclosing scopes within a program
 - Usually counted in terms of *major* scopes (since minor scopes can be compacted into the parent enclosing major scope)
 - Top level definitions at 0, top level defined routines at 1, nested routines at 2, etc.
- Each activation record is associated at the lexical level of the corresponding major scope
 - For most languages, at most one activation record at each lexical level is in scope at each point in the program

Lexical Levels – Static Depth

Activation Records
Q
A
В
Q
Р
A
В
A
F

Call Sequence: $F \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow Q$

Lexical Levels – Static Depth

Displays

- The <u>display</u> is an array of activation record stack addresses, indexed by lexical level
- Combining a display entry with an offset lets you relatively address memory within the activation records
 - Non-negative (≥0) offsets indexed into the activation record itself
 - Negative offsets index below the activation record on the stack (this can be useful depending on *when* the activation frame is setup)
- Enables the access of activation records of enclosing parent scopes

Activation Records and Displays



Call Sequence: $F \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow Q$

Display update

- The display must be updated whenever the list of visible scopes changes
- Updates happen at functions/procedures call and return boundaries
- Activation records correspond with the major scopes of functions/procedures
 - All minor scopes are encapsulated within parent major scope
 - Semantic analysis controls visibility, even though the physical memory may overlap or be adjacent with the local storage of sibling minor scopes

Display update

Call type	Relationship	Example	LL 0
Same level	Caller and calle have same lexic level	A calls B, P calls Q	F
Up level	Caller lexic level is less than callee level	F calls A/B, A calls P/Q	

Caller lexic level is greater than callee level Down level

P/Q calls F/A/B, A/B calls F

LL 1

Α

В

LL 2

Ρ

Q

Display update

Call Sequence: $F_0 \Rightarrow A_1 \Rightarrow B_2 \Rightarrow A_3 \Rightarrow P_4 \Rightarrow Q_5 \Rightarrow B_6 \Rightarrow A_7 \Rightarrow Q_8$

Depth/ Record #	Func	Display LL 0	Display LL 1	Display LL 2
0	F	<u>F 0</u>		
1	А	F 0	<u>A 1</u>	
2	В	F 0	<u>B 2</u>	
3	А	F 0	<u>A 3</u>	
4	Р	F 0	A 3	<u>P 4</u>
5	Q	F 0	A 3	<u>Q 5</u>
6	В	F 0	<u>B 6</u>	Q 5
7	А	F 0	<u>A 7</u>	Q 5
8	Q	F 0	Α7	<u>Q 8</u>



Where F 0, A 1, etc. represent activation record stack address

Display update & restore

- Both entry to and exit from a major scope requires updating the display
- On <u>entry</u> at lexical level L:
 - Save current display entry L to local storage
 - Prepare new activation record
 - Save record stack address to display entry L
- On <u>exit</u> at lexical level L:
 - Tear down the activation record
 - Restore previous value for display entry L

Problems with displays

- Only works with languages that have specific notion of nested functions that are only visible within the scope of the immediately enclosing parent scope
- Problems with languages that support passing function pointers:
 - The activation records of enclosing parent scopes may have gone away by the time the function pointer is called (since they may have returned)
 - Other activation frames may be currently in the display at a parents' lexical level

Closures

- Modern languages allow passing of *functional closures*, whereby a kind of activation record is dynamically allocated (i.e. does not live on the stack) and thus can outlive the duration of the enclosing function
- Requires a strategy for dealing with dynamically allocated closures that are no longer needed/referenced
 - Automatic garbage collection, or a form of *lifetime* analysis to determine when its safe to free

Closures

```
func F(p int) func() int {
  var x int
  var e int
  func G() int {
    var y int
    e += 1
    return e
  }
  e
    = p
  return G
G := F(488)
G() // returns 489
    // returns 490
G ( )
```

- Activation frames (stack)
 - Stack allocated (fixed lifetime)
 - F: p, x
 - G: y
- G closure
 - Heap allocated (open ended lifetime)
 - e (escaped F when G referenced it)
- F returns a function value
- A *function value* consists of a function pointer (code reference) and a pointer to the dynamically allocated closure
 - Code reference known at compile time
 - Closure pointer is runtime variable

Closures

typedef struct {
 int (*G)(ClosureG *);
 int e;
} ClosureG;

```
int F_G(ClosureG *cg) {
    int y;
    cg->e += 1;
    return cg->e;
```

```
ClosureG *F(int p) {
    int x;
    int e;
    e = p;
```

```
ClosureG *cg = malloc(...);
cg->e = e;
cg->G = F_G;
return cg;
```

ClosureG *cg; cg = F(488);

cg->G(cg); // == 489 cg->G(cg); // == 490

// Eventually???
free(cg);

Implementation details

- Each local variable has storage requirements in terms of units of the underlying memory model
 - Parameters, local scalars, arrays
- Laying out activation records can be done at semantic analysis time (as you encounter major and minor scopes), or as another pass between semantic analysis and code generation
 - Consider what information is specifically required for particulars of the language, and how to order the record fields for your convenience
- Determine and record total size of record by summing all variable storage within the major scope, plus the sizes of all enclosed minor scopes
 - Sum of all minor scope sizes, or maximum size over all minor scopes in compacted case
- Each local name/identifier/symbol needs to be associated with its lexical level, its storage size and an offset into the record

Recognize Analyze Transform



Code Generation

Code Generation

- In the end, the user wants the compiler to transform their program sources into something the machine can actually execute (and hopefully quickly!)
- This transformation must be faithful to the particulars of the input language, and to the reasonable expectations of users
- During code generation, the compiler must translate each facet of the language into an appropriate sequence of machine instructions
- While the AST is a helpful abstraction for performing languagefocused semantic analyses like name resolution and type checking, it is also helpful to have a machine-focused *intermediate representation*

Quadruple Intermediate Representation

Express machine instructions with their input and output registers as 4-tuples

(opcode, left, right, result)

- Assume an infinite number of temporary registers R_i
 - Hence the term *intermediate*, these will have to be mapped onto a finite set of physically available machine registers
- Special opcode called *label* (not an actual machine instruction)
- Results can include registers R_i , constant indices T_i and *labels*:
 - Constant T_i refers to tuple *i* in sequence
 - Symbolic label *L_{name}* will be resolved to a specific target tuple index later

IR – Example expression

A + B * C - D / E

- (mul, B, C, R_1) $R_1 \leftarrow B \ast C$
- (div , D , E , R_2) $R_2 \leftarrow D / E$
- (add , A , R_1 , R_3) $R_3 \leftarrow A + R_1$

 (sub, R_3, R_2, R_4) $R_4 \leftarrow R_3 - R_2$

Inputs: A, B, C, D, E Output: R₄ Temporaries: R₁, R₂, R₃

IR – Branching

(X if (A < B + C) else Y)

(add	, B	, C	, R1)	R₁ ← B + C
(It	, A	, R1	, R ₂)	$R_2 \leftarrow A < R_1$
(bf	, R ₂		, L _{false})	PC ← L _{false} if !R ₂
(mov	, X		, R ₃)	R₃ ← X
(jmp			, L _{end})	PC ← L _{end}
(label			, L _{false})	Lfalse:
(mov	, Y		, R ₃)	R₃ ← Y
(label			, L _{end})	Lend:

Both of these are forward branch jumps

Resolving label addresses

- Given a list of quads, resolve away symbolic labels through a two pass procedure
- 1st pass:
 - Iterate through list of quads, assigning an tuple index for each non-label opcode
 - For each *label* opcode, record label → tuple index for the index of the first following non-*label* opcode, and discard the original *label* opcode
- 2nd pass:
 - Iterate through list of quads, and for any symbolic label, lookup label→tuple index mapping, and replace with tuple index
 - If no such mapping, *error* (instruction refers to a label that was never declared)

IR – Branching

(X if (A < B + C) else Y)

- (add, B, C (It, A (bf , R_2 (mov, X (jmp (label (mov, Y (label
 - $, R_{1})$, R1 , R₂) , L_{false}) $, R_{3}$) , L_{end}) , L_{false}) $, R_3)$, L_{end})
- $R_1 \leftarrow B + C$ $R_2 \leftarrow A < R_1$ $PC \leftarrow L_{false}$ if $!R_2$ $R_3 \leftarrow X$ PC ← Lend Lfalse: $R_3 \leftarrow Y$ Lend:

IR – Branching

(X if (A < B + C) else Y)

 (add, B, C, R_1) T_1 (It , A , R_1 , R_2) T_2 T₃ (bf, R₂ $, T_6 \vdash_{\text{false}})$ T_4 (mov, X $, R_{3}$) **T**5 (jmp $, T_7 \vdash_{end})$ (label , L_{false}) (mov,Y $, R_{3})$ T₆ (label , L_{end})

T₇

 $R_{1} \leftarrow B + C$ $R_{2} \leftarrow A < R_{1}$ $PC \leftarrow T_{6} \text{ if } !R_{2}$ $R_{3} \leftarrow X$ $PC \leftarrow T_{7}$ $- \underline{lfalse:}$ $R_{3} \leftarrow Y$ $- \underline{lend:}$

Generating Quadruples

- emit(opcode, left, right, result): generate an quad where opcode is an available machine instruction
- *label(name)*: generates a uniquely identifiable symbolic label quad with optional prefix *name*
- *tempReg()*: generates a new unique temporary register
- resolveLabels(code): performs two pass label address resolution process, returning the resultant pure-opcode code list

From AST to Quadruples

- In order to generate code for a given type of AST node, there will be some number of input registers & labels, and output registers, labels and code lists
- General from: genCodeFoo(a_foo, inRegs, inLabels) → (outRegs, outLabels, outCode)
 - More useful to pass in what we want and only return code, more on this later
- genCode(node, ...) can be polymorphic in the type of node
 - Calls genCode_Foo for node of type Foo, calls genCode_Bar for node of type Bar, etc.

Unary operators

```
genCode UnaryExpr(node):
  argCode, argOutput = genCode(node.operand)
  result = tempReg()
  code = argCode + [
     emit(node.operatorOpcode,
          argOutput, result)
  return code, result
```

Binary operators

```
genCode_BinaryExpr(node):
    leftCode, leftReg = genCode(node.left)
    rightCode, rightReg = genCode(node.right)
```

```
result = tempReg()
code = leftCode + rightCode + [
    emit(node.operatorOpcode,
        leftReg, rightReg, result)
]
```

return code, result

Non-short circuiting

Assignment statement

```
genCode_AssignStmt(node):
    addrCode, addrReg = genCode(node.lhs)
    valCode, valReg = genCode(node.rhs)
```

```
code = addrCode + valCode + [
    # *addrReg = valReg
    emit(Store, valReg, addrReg)
]
```

return code

Order of operations

Does it matter what order operations are executed in?


Order of operations

Does it matter what order operations are executed in?



print f(g(), h())

- Many languages feature Boolean conditional expressions that short circuit, that is, they evaluate only the operands they absolutely have in order to determine the final result
- Example: *false and F()*
 - *F* is never called, since there is no way that its' return value could have any impact on the resultant *false* value
- Example: *true or G()*
 - G is never called, since the result of the expression will already have been determined to be *true*

result = (P and Q)

result = P if result { result = Q

result = (P or Q)

result = P if !result { result = Q

result = (P and Q)

/* genCode(P) */ $R_1 \leftarrow P$ (bf , R_1 , L_{end}) PC ← L_{end} if ! R_1 /* genCode(Q) */ $R_1 \leftarrow Q$ (label L_{end}) _Lend:



result = (P or Q)

/* genCode(P) */ $R_1 \leftarrow P$ (bt , R_1 , L_{end}) PC ← L_{end} if R_1 /* genCode(Q) */ $R_1 \leftarrow Q$ (label L_{end}) _Lend:



result = (P or Q)

- /* genCode(P) */
- $(\mathbf{bf}, \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{L_{right}})$
- (jmp L_{end}) PC $\leftarrow L_{end}$
- (label Lright) _Lright:
- /* genCode(Q) */
- (label L_{end})

R₁ ← P

 $R_1 \leftarrow Q$

Lend:

PC ← L_{right} if !R₁

```
result = P
if !result {
    result = Q
}
```

result = (P or Q)



But genCode(Q) tells <u>us</u> what register it put its return value into...

result = (P or Q)

- /* genCode(P) */ (bf , R_1 , L_{right}) PC $\leftarrow L_{right}$ if $!R_1$ (jmp L_{end}) PC $\leftarrow L_{end}$ (label /* genCode(Q) */ (mov, R_2, R_1) $R_1 \leftarrow R_2$ (label L_{end}) _Lend:
 - $R_1 \leftarrow P$

 - L_{right}) _Lright:
 - $R_2 \leftarrow Q$

Binary operators

```
genCode_BinaryExpr(node):
    leftCode, leftReg = genCode(node.left)
    rightCode, rightReg = genCode(node.right)
```

```
result = tempReg()
code = leftCode + rightCode + [
    emit(node.operatorOpcode,
        leftReg, rightReg, result)
]
```

return code, result

Binary operators

For expressions instead pass in the target result register

```
genCode BinaryExpr(node, result):
  leftReg = tempReg()
  leftCode = genCode(node.left, leftReg)
  rightReg = tempReg()
  rightCode = genCode(node.right, rightReg)
  code = leftCode + rightCode + [
     emit(node.operatorOpcode,
          leftReg, rightReg, result)
```

return code

Assignment statement

genCode_AssignStmt(node):
 addrCode, addrReg = genCode(node.lhs)
 valCode, valReg = genCode(node.rhs)

code = addrCode + valCode + [
 emit(Store, valReg, addrReg)
]

return code

Assignment statement

genCode_AssignStmt(node): addrReg = tempReg() addrCode = genCode(node.lhs, addrReg) valReg = tempReg() valCode = genCode(node.rhs, valReg) code = addrCode + valCode + [

emit(Store, valReg, addrReg)
1

return code

result = (P and Q)

/* genCode(P) */ $R_1 \leftarrow P$ (bf , R_1 , L_{end}) PC ← L_{end} if ! R_1 /* genCode(Q) */ $R_1 \leftarrow Q$ (label L_{end}) _Lend:



```
result = (P \text{ and } Q)
```

```
genCode BoolAndExpr(node, result):
leftCode = genCode(node.left, result)
  rightCode = genCode(node.right, result)
 Lend = label("end")
  code =
     leftCode +
     [ emit(Bf, result, Lend) ] +
     rightCode +
     [ Lend ]
  return code
```

result = (P or Q)

- /* genCode(P) */
- $(\mathbf{bf}, \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{L_{right}})$
- (jmp L_{end}) PC $\leftarrow L_{end}$
- (label Lright) _Lright:
- /* genCode(Q) */
- (label L_{end})

- R₁ ← P
- PC ← L_{right} if !R₁
 - Lright: R1 ← Q Lend:
 - result = P
 if !result {
 result = Q
 }

result = (P or Q)

```
genCode BoolOrExpr(node):
 # result, leftCode, rightCode, Lend ...
  Lright = label("right")
 code =
     leftCode +
     [ emit(Bf, result, Lright),
          emit(Jmp, Lend),
          Lright ] +
     rightCode +
     [ Lend ]
  return code
```

What about also passing in labels?

Control Flow and Boolean Expressions

- Boolean expressions (both conditional and relational equality/inequality) always appear as the condition expression in *if* statements, *while* and *repeat...until* loops
- Instead of thinking of them solely as Boolean valued, it's useful to think of them in terms of how they affect control flow

- A <u>basic block</u> is a sequence of instructions with exactly one entry point and one exit point
 - No branching or jump instructions
 - Execution will always run top to bottom uninterrupted
 - Nothing will ever jump into the middle of a basic block



 Two basic blocks can be connected through either an <u>unconditional</u> or a <u>conditional</u> branch



- The <u>control flow graph</u> for a program is the collection of basic blocks and all their interconnection edges
- It describes all possible flows of control through the program



Two-sided branch opcode

Assume a branch (*br*) opcode that specifies both an *if-true* branching target, as well as *if-false* one

(**br**, R_{result} , T_{true} , T_{false}) **PC** \leftarrow T_{true} if R_{result} else T_{false}

Question: why can we just add whatever is convenient to the IR?



result = (P or Q)

- /* genCode(P) */
- $(\mathbf{bf}, \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{L_{right}})$
- (jmp L_{end}) PC $\leftarrow L_{end}$
- (label Lright) _Lright:
- /* genCode(Q) */
- (label L_{end})

- R₁ ← P
- PC ← L_{right} if !R₁
 - Lright: R1 ← Q Lend:
 - result = P
 if !result {
 result = Q
 }

Basic Blocks – Boolean or



result = (P or Q)

genBB_BoolOrExpr(node, result, bbT, bbF):
 bbR = genBB(node.right, result, bbT, bbF)
 bbL = genBB(node.left, result, bbT, bbR)
 return bbL

result = (P or Q)

genBB_BoolOrExpr(node, result, bbT, bbF):
 bbR = genBB(node.right, result, bbT, bbF)
 bbL = genBB(node.left, result, bbT, bbR)
 return bbL

result = (P and Q)

genBB_BoolAndExpr(node, result, bbT, bbF):
 bbR = genBB(node.right, result, bbT, bbF)
 bbL = genBB(node.left, result, bbR, bbF)
 return bbL

Value of Boolean or



Assignment in basic blocks

genBB_AssignStmt(node, nextBB):
 addrReg, valReg = tempReg(), tempReg()

bb = newUnconditionalBB(code=[emit(Store, valReg, addrReg)], jump=nextBB)

<u>bbR</u> = genCode(node.rhs, valReg, <u>bb</u>, <u>bb</u>)

bbL = genBB(node.lhs, addrReg, <u>bbR</u>, <u>bbR</u>)

return bbL

- A control flow graph of interconnected basic blocks can be assembled into a final linear list of instructions and labels
- Many opportunities for optimization at the level of the intermediate representation:
 - Block layout order can take advantage of branch fall-through instructions
 - Remove unconditional jumps by merging two basic blocks into single sequence of instructions (possibly with a label if second block was the target of >1 branches)
 - When bbLeft=bbRight, transform conditional branch into unconditional jump (then apply above)
 - Delete instructions that produce values that are never used (requires more elaborate *usage analysis* to prove)
 - Propagate constant values, unroll short loops, hoist out common subexpressions, convert expensive instructions to cheaper ones

Control flow graph examples

CFG Examples

if expression? { statements... }



CFG Examples

if expression? { s1... } else { s2... }


if e1? { s1... } else if e2? { s2... }



while expression? { statements... }



repeat { statements... } until expression?



for (init; cond?; step) statement



Contains both forwards and backwards jumps

Mutually recursive basic blocks



genBB_Rec(...):
bb3 = genBB(...)

Uh oh... <u>bb1</u> = genBB(..., <u>bb2</u>, bb3) <u>bb2</u> = genBB(..., <u>bb1</u>, bb3)

return bb3

Mutually recursive basic blocks

genBB Rec(...): bb3 = genBB(...)bb2ref = bbRef()bblref = bbRef()bb1 = genBB(..., <u>bb2ref</u>, bb3.ref) bb2 = genBB(..., bb1ref, bb3)bb2ref.ref = bb2bb1ref.ref = bb1class BB:

return bb3

lass BB: ____init___: ___self.ref = self