SAT Sampling and Counting: From Theory to Practice

Kuldeep Meel

Rice University

M.S. Thesis: Sampling Techniques for Boolean Satisfiability (2014) Advisors: Moshe Vardi (Rice) and Supratik Chakraborty (IIT Bombay)

How do we guarantee that systems work <u>correctly</u>?





Functional Verification

- Formal verification
 - Challenges: formal requirements, scalability
 - ~10-15% of verification effort
- Dynamic verification: *dominant approach*

Dynamic Verification

- Design is simulated with test vectors
- Test vectors represent different verification scenarios
- Results from simulation compared to intended results
- Challenge: Exceedingly large test space!

Motivating Example



How do we test the circuit works?

- Try for all values of a and b
 - 2¹²⁸ possibilities
 - Sun will go nova before done!
 - Not scalable

Constrained-Random Simulation



Sources for Constraints

- Designers: 1. a +₆₄ 11 *₃₂ b = 12
- 2. a <₆₄ (b >> 4)
- Past Experience:
 - 1. 40 <₆₄ 34 + a <₆₄ 5050
 - 2. 120 <₆₄ b <₆₄ 230
- Users:
 - 1. 232 *₃₂ a + b != 1100
 - 2. 1020 <₆₄ (b /₆₄ 2) +₆₄ a <₆₄ 2200
- Test vectors: solutions of constraints

Constrained-Random Simulation



Sources for Constraints

- Designers:
 - a +₆₄ 11 *₃₂ b = 12
 a <₆₄ (b >> 4)
- Past Experience:
 - 1. 40 <₆₄ 34 + a <₆₄ 5050
 - 2. 120 <₆₄ b <₆₄ 230
- Users:

2. $1020 <_{64} (b/_{64} 2) +_{64} a <_{64} 2200$

Problem: How can we <u>uniformly</u> sample the values of a and b satisfying the above constraints?

Problem Formulation



SAT Sampling

Roadmap

- SAT Sampling
- Model Counting
- Works inspired from core ideas
- Future Directions

Diverse Applications



Prior Work



Performance

Core Idea



Partitioning into cells



Cells should be roughly equal in size and small enough to enumerate completely

Partitioning into cells



How to Partition?

How to partition into roughly equal small cells of solutions without knowing the distribution of solutions?

r-Universal Hashing [Carter-Wegman 1979]

Universal Hashing

- Hash functions: mapping {0,1}ⁿ to {0,1}^m
 - (2ⁿ elements to 2^m cells)
- Random inputs => All cells are roughly equal (in <u>expectation</u>)

- Universal family of hash functions:
 - Choose hash function randomly from family
 - For *arbitrary* distribution on inputs => All cells are *roughly equal* (in <u>expectation</u>)

Strong Universality

- H(n,m,r): Family of r-universal hash functions mapping {0,1}ⁿ to {0,1}^m (2ⁿ elements to 2^m cells)
 - r: degree of independence of hashed inputs

Higher r => Stronger guarantee on range of size of cells

r-wise universality => Polynomials of degree r-1

Stronger universality => Higher complexity



Uniform Generation

BGP Algorithm (Bellare et al, 2000)

Scaling to ~o.8M Variables



Solution space

From tens of variables to ~0.8M variables!

BGP Algorithm

All cells required to be small

Uniform Generation

Only a randomly chosen cell needs to be "small"

Almost-Uniform Generation

UniGen

Underlying Hash Functions

- A cell can be represented as the conjunction of:
 - Input formula F
 - *m* random XOR constraints
- 2^m is the number of cells desired

Use CryptoMiniSAT for CNF + XOR formulas

Strong Theoretical Guarantees

Uniformity

$$\Pr[\text{y is output}] = \frac{1}{|R_F|}$$

Almost- Uniformity

$$\forall y \in R_F, \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)|R_F|} \le \Pr[y \text{ is output}] \le (1+\varepsilon)\frac{1}{|R_F|}$$

UniGen succeeds with probability 0.52 (Previous best known: 0.125)

2-3 Orders of Magnitude Faster



Results: Uniformity



- Benchmark: case110.cnf; #var: 287; #clauses: 1263
- Total Runs: 4x10⁶; Total Solutions : 16384

Results: Uniformity



- Benchmark: case110.cnf; #var: 287; #clauses: 1263
- Total Runs: 4x10⁶; Total Solutions : 16384

Roadmap

- SAT Sampling
- Model Counting
- Works inspired from core ideas
- Future Directions

What is Model Counting?

- Given a SAT formula F
- R_F: Set of all solutions of F
- Problem (#SAT): Estimate the number of solutions of F (#F) i.e., what is the cardinality of R_F?
- E.g., F = (a v b)
- R_F = {(0,1), (1,0), (1,1)}
- The number of solutions (#F) = 3

#P: The class of counting problems for decision problems in NP!

Practical Applications

Wide range of applications!

- Estimating coverage achieved
- Probabilistic reasoning/Bayesian inference
- Planning with uncertainty
- Multi-agent/ adversarial reasoning

[Roth 96, Sang o4, Bacchus o4, Domshlak o7]

Counting through Partitioning



Counting through Partitioning



Strong Theoretical Results

ApproxMC (CNF: F, tolerance: ε , confidence: δ)

Suppose ApproxMC(F, ϵ , δ) returns C. Then,

$$Pr[\frac{|R_F|}{(1+\varepsilon)} \le C \le (1+\varepsilon)|R_F|] \ge \delta$$

ApproxMC runs in time polynomial in log $(1-\delta)^{-1}$, $|F|, \varepsilon^{-1}$ relative to SAT oracle

The First Scalable Approximate Model Counter

Mean Error: Only 4% (ε: 0.75)



Mean error: 4% – much smaller than the theoretical guarantee of 75%

Roadmap

- SAT Sampling
- Model Counting
- Works inspired from core ideas
- Future Directions

Extensions



Applications and follow up

Quantified Information flow (Fremont et al, 2014)

Hashing-based integration (Ermon et al, 2014)

Control Improvisation(Fremont et al, 2014)

Probabilistic programming(Chistikov et al, 2015)

Roadmap

- SAT Sampling
- Model Counting
- Works inspired from core ideas
- Future Directions

Extension to More Expressive Domains (SMT, CSP, ASP)

Efficient 3-universal/2-universal hashing schemes

- Solvers to handle F + Hash efficiently
 - CryptoMiniSAT has fueled progress for SAT domain
 - Similar solvers for other domains?

Deeper understanding of hashing

- Improved works on sampling require 3-universal hash functions while 2-universal is sufficient for counting
- Sampling and counting are inter-reducible via Jerrum, Valiant & Vazirani (1986)

Key Takeaways

- Sampling and counting are fundamental problems with wide variety of applications
- Prior methods failed to scale or offered very weak theoretical guarantees
- UniGen: The first scalable generator with theoretical gaurantees of almost-uniformity
- ApproxMC: The first scalable approximate model counter
- Extensions of underlying techniques in different contexts
- Visit: <u>www.cs.rice.edu/~kgm2/</u> for papers/tools/source code!

Acknowledgements

- Advisors
 - Moshe Vardi (Rice)
- Collaborators
 - Daniel Fremont (UCB)
 - Dror Fried (Rice)
 - Alexander Ivrii (IBM, Haifa)
 - Sharad Malik (Princeton)
 - Sanjit Seshia (UCB)
 - Supratik Chakraborty (IITB)

Backup Slides

Can Solve a Large Class of Problems



Large class of problems that lie beyond the exact counters but can be computed by ApproxMC

Exploring CNF+XOR

Very little understanding as of now

Eager/Lazy approach for XORs?

How to reduce size of XORs further?

Weighted Counting

Ref: "Distribution-Aware Sampling and Weighted Model Counting for SAT" (In Proc. of AAAI 2014)

Weighted Counting

<u>Given</u>

- CNF Formula F
- Weight Function W over assignments

<u>Problem</u>

What is the sum of weights of *satisfying* assignments?

<u>Example</u>

- F = (a V b)
- W([0,1]) = W([1,0]) = 1/3 W([1,1]) = W([0,0]) = 1/6
- W(F) = 1/3 + 1/3 + 1/6 = 5/6

Partition into (weighted) equal "small" cells



Partition into (weighted) equal "small" cells



Can you always achieve partitioning?

What if one solution dominates the entire solution space

$$Tilt = w_{max}/w_{min}$$

Small tilt \rightarrow All solutions contribute



Handling Large Tilt

Can be achieved with Pseudo-Boolean Solver Still a SAT problem <u>not</u> Optimization

