# **Corner Detection & Optical Flow**



CSC420 David Lindell University of Toronto <u>cs.toronto.edu/~lindell/teaching/420</u> Slide credit: Babak Taati ←Ahmed Ashraf ←Sanja Fidler





•A2 due on Friday

# Overview

- •Recap
- •Image features
- •Corner detection
- •Optical flow

Recap

- Images
  - what is an image?

- Images
  - what is an image?
  - what do pixel values represent?

- Filtering
  - what is correlation?

- Filtering
  - what is correlation?
  - what is convolution?

- Filtering
  - what is correlation?
  - what is convolution?
  - what is the convolution theorem?

- Filtering
  - what is correlation?
  - what is convolution?
  - what is the convolution theorem?
  - what is the Nyquist theorem?

- Filtering
  - what is correlation?
  - what is convolution?
  - what is the convolution theorem?
  - what is the Nyquist theorem?
  - how do we "smooth" an image?

- Edges
  - how do we extract edges from an image?

- Edges
  - how do we extract edges from an image?
  - advantages of using edges vs. a conventional image for computer vision?

- Image resizing
  - what is an image pyramid?

- Image resizing
  - what is an image pyramid?
  - what is aliasing?

- Image resizing
  - what is an image pyramid?
  - what is aliasing?
  - how do we downsample an image?

- Image resizing
  - what is an image pyramid?
  - what is aliasing?
  - how do we downsample an image?
  - how do we upsample an image?

### Image Features: Interest Point (Keypoint) Detection

•What skyline is this?



•What skyline is this?





•What skyline is this?



#### •What skyline is this?

We matched in:

- Distinctive locations: keypoints
- Distinctive features: descriptors









• Detection: Identify the interest points.



• Detection: Identify the interest points.

• Description: Extract feature vector descriptor around each interest point.



- Detection: Identify the interest points.
- Description: Extract feature vector descriptor around each interest point.
- Matching: Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views.



### Goal: Repeatability of the Interest Point Operator

Our goal is to detect (at least some of) the same points in both images
We need to run the detection procedure independently per image



Figure: Too few keypoints  $\rightarrow$  little chance to find the true matches

[Source: K. Grauman, slide credit: R. Urtasun]

### Goal: Repeatability of the Interest Point Operator

Our goal is to detect (at least some of) the same points in both images
We need to run the detection procedure independently per image
Is it better to detect more interest points or fewer interest points?

Figure: Too few keypoints  $\rightarrow$  little chance to find the true matches

[Source: K. Grauman, slide credit: R. Urtasun]

## What Points to Choose?







is this a good interest point?



how about this one?



this one? which is best?



• textureless patches are nearly impossible to localize.



- textureless patches are nearly impossible to localize.
- large contrast changes (gradients) make it easier!



- textureless patches are nearly impossible to localize.
- large contrast changes (gradients) make it easier!
  - can we localize with a single horizontal/vertical/diagonal edge?
# What Points to Choose for matching?



- textureless patches are nearly impossible to localize.
- large contrast changes (gradients) make it easier!
  - can we localize with a single horizontal/vertical/diagonal edge?
  - no-gradients with at least two orientations are easiest (corners)

[Adopted from: Szelski (Book)]









- "Corner-like" patch can be reliably matched
- •A straight line patch can have multiple matches (Aperture Problem)
- •Zero texture, useless, can have infinite matches

[Source: K. Grauman]

#### **Corner Detection**

• How can we find corners in an image?





What if we use a small window?

What happens to the intensity variation within the window if we change it's location?

[Source: Alyosha Efros, Darya Frolova, Denis Simakov]



What if we use a small window?

What happens to the intensity variation within the window if we change it's location?

[Source: Alyosha Efros, Darya Frolova, Denis Simakov]



What if we use a small window?

What happens to the intensity variation within the window if we change it's location?

[Source: Alyosha Efros, Darya Frolova, Denis Simakov]

- •Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference
- Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift

- •Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference
- Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u, v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x, y) [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$$
  
window function shifted intensity intensity

- •Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference
- Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift



- •Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference
- Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift



- •Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference
- Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift



•Compare two image patches using (weighted) summed square difference

• Measures change in appearance of window w(x, y) for the shift





- Let's look at *E*wssD
- We want to find out how this function behaves for small shifts



• Remember our goal to detect corners:



$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

• Using a simple first order Taylor series expansion about x, y:

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

• Using a series of polynomials to approximate I, more info on Taylor Series here

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

- Using a series of polynomials to approximate I, more info on Taylor Series here
- And plugging it in our expression for E<sub>WSSD</sub>:

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right)^2$$

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

- Using a series of polynomials to approximate I, more info on Taylor Series here
- And plugging it in our expression for E<sub>WSSD</sub>:

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$
$$\approx \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x,y) + u \cdot I_{x} + v \cdot I_{y} - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

- Using a series of polynomials to approximate I, more info on Taylor Series here
- And plugging it in our expression for E<sub>WSSD</sub>:

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$
  

$$\approx \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x,y) + u \cdot I_{x} + v \cdot I_{y} - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$
  

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( u^{2} I_{x}^{2} + 2u \cdot v \cdot I_{x} \cdot I_{y} + v^{2} I_{y}^{2} \right)$$

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + u \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(x, y) + v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

- Using a series of polynomials to approximate I, more info on Taylor Series here
- And plugging it in our expression for E<sub>WSSD</sub>:

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$
  

$$\approx \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( I(x,y) + u \cdot I_{x} + v \cdot I_{y} - I(x,y) \right)^{2}$$
  

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \left( u^{2}I_{x}^{2} + 2u \cdot v \cdot I_{x} \cdot I_{y} + v^{2}I_{y}^{2} \right)$$
  

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \cdot \left[ u \quad v \right] \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}^{2} & I_{x} \cdot I_{y} \\ I_{x} \cdot I_{y} & I_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• Since (u, v) doesn't depend on (x, y) we can rewrite it slightly:

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• Since (u, v) doesn't depend on (x, y) we can rewrite it slightly:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)}_{u} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's denotes this with M

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• Since (u, v) doesn't depend on (x, y) we can rewrite it slightly:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \left( \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}^{2} & I_{x} \cdot I_{y} \\ I_{x} \cdot I_{y} & I_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
Let's denotes this with  $M$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• Since (u, v) doesn't depend on (x, y) we can rewrite it slightly:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \left( \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}^{2} & I_{x} \cdot I_{y} \\ I_{x} \cdot I_{y} & I_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
Let's denotes this with  $M$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

what is M?

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• Since (u, v) doesn't depend on (x, y) we can rewrite it slightly:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \left( \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}^{2} & I_{x} \cdot I_{y} \\ I_{x} \cdot I_{y} & I_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
Let's denotes this with  $M$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

• M is a 2x2 second moment matrix computed from image gradients

$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Let's say I have this image



image

• Let's say I have this image

• I need to compute a  $2 \times 2$  second moment matrix in each image location



image

- Let's say I have this image
- I need to compute a  $2 \times 2$  second moment matrix in each image location
- In a particular location I need to compute M as a weighted average of gradients in a window





- Let's say I have this image
- I need to compute a  $2 \times 2$  second moment matrix in each image location
- In a particular location I need to compute M as a weighted average of gradients in a window  $\begin{bmatrix} r & 2 & r & r \end{bmatrix}$

$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
image  
$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \qquad I_y = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \qquad I_x \cdot I_y$$

- Let's say I have this image
- I need to compute a 2 × 2 second moment matrix in each image location
- In a particular location I need to compute M as a weighted average of gradients in a window

$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
I can do this efficiently by computing three images,  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$  and  $I_x \cdot I_y$ , and convolving each one with a filter, e.g. a box or Gaussian filter
$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \qquad I_y = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \qquad I_x \cdot I_y$$

• Let's take a "slice" of  $E_{WSSD}(u, v)$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \text{const}$$

what is this the equation for?
• Let's take a "slice" of E<sub>WSSD</sub>(u, v):

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{const}$$

• This is the equation of an ellipse



Figure: Different ellipses obtain by different horizontal "slices"

- We now have *M* computed in each image location
- Our  $E_{WSSD}$  is a quadratic function where M implies its shape

$$E_{\text{WSSD}}(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



• Our matrix M is symmetric:

$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• And thus we can diagonalize it (in Matlab: [V,D] = eig(M)):

$$M = V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

• Columns of V are major and minor axes of ellipse, the lengths of the radii proportional to  $\lambda^{-1/2}$ 



• for these images, what will the eigenvalues and eigenvectors look like?



[Source: R. Szeliski, slide credit: R. Urtasun]

• how about for these windows?



• how about for these windows?



"edge":  $\lambda_1 >> \lambda_2$  $\lambda_2 >> \lambda_1$ 

#### • how about for these windows?





"edge":  $\lambda_1 >> \lambda_2$  $\lambda_2 >> \lambda_1$ 

#### • how about for these windows?



#### • how about for these windows?







"edge":  $\lambda_1 >> \lambda_2$  $\lambda_2 >> \lambda_1$ 

"corner":  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are large,  $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$ ;

#### • how about for these windows?





[Source: K. Bala]



can you write an equation that uses the eigenvalues to detect a corner?

[Source: K. Bala]

- Harris and Stephens, '88, is rotationally invariant and downweighs edge-like features where  $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_0$ 

$$R = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - \alpha (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2 = \det(M) - \alpha \cdot \operatorname{trace}(M)^2$$

•  $\alpha$  a constant (0.04 to 0.06)

- Harris and Stephens, '88, is rotationally invariant and downweighs edge-like features where  $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_0$ 

$$R = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - \alpha (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2 = \det(M) - \alpha \cdot \operatorname{trace}(M)^2$$

•  $\alpha$  a constant (0.04 to 0.06)



- Harris and Stephens, '88, is rotationally invariant and downweighs edge-like features where  $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_0$ 

$$R = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - \alpha (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2 = \det(M) - \alpha \cdot \operatorname{trace}(M)^2$$

•  $\alpha$  a constant (0.04 to 0.06)



• The corresponding detector is called Harris corner detector

• Harris & Stephens (1998)

$$R = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - \alpha (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2 = \det(M) - \alpha \cdot \operatorname{trace}(M)^2$$

• Kande & Tomasi (1994)

$$R = \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

• Nobel (1998)

$$R = \frac{\det(M)}{\operatorname{trace}(M) + \epsilon}$$

[Source Mubarak Shah, Szelski]

1. Compute gradients  $I_x$  and  $I_y$ 





- 1. Compute gradients  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$
- 2. Compute  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$ ,  $I_x I_y$



- 1. Compute gradients  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$
- 2. Compute  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$ ,  $I_x I_y$
- Average (Gaussian) → gives M per voxel



- 1. Compute gradients  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$
- 2. Compute  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$ ,  $I_x I_y$
- 3. Average (Gaussian)  $\rightarrow$  gives M per voxel
- 4. Compute  $R = det(M) \alpha trace(M)^2$  for each image window (cornerness score)





- 1. Compute gradients  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$
- 2. Compute  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$ ,  $I_x I_y$
- 3. Average (Gaussian)  $\rightarrow$  gives M per voxel
- 4. Compute  $R = det(M) \alpha trace(M)^2$  for each image window (cornerness score)
- 5. Find points with large R(R > threshold).





- 1. Compute gradients  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$
- 2. Compute  $I_x^2$ ,  $I_y^2$ ,  $I_x I_y$
- 3. Average (Gaussian)  $\rightarrow$  gives M per voxel
- 4. Compute  $R = det(M) \alpha trace(M)^2$  for each image window (cornerness score)
- 5. Find points with large R(R > threshold).
- 6. Take only points of local maxima, i.e., perform non-maximum suppression



har

# Example



# 1) Compute Cornerness



# 2) Find High Response



# 3) Non-maxima Suppresion



## Results



# Another Example



#### Cornerness



#### Interest Points



• Is the Harris corner detector rotation invariant? Shift invariant?

• Is the Harris corner detector rotation invariant? Shift invariant?



• Is the Harris corner detector rotation invariant? Shift invariant?



• Second moment ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

- Harris corner detector is rotation-covariant
- what about scale?

Scale?





• Corner location is not scale invariant/covariant!

#### **Optical Flow**

Slide Credit: Ali Farhadi
## We live in a moving world

• Perceiving, understanding and predicting motion is an important part of our daily lives

#### Motion scenarios (priors)



Static camera, moving scene



Moving camera, static scene



Moving camera, moving scene

Static camera, moving scene, moving light

- Extract visual features (corners, textured areas) and "track" them over multiple frames.
- Recover image motion at each pixel from spatio-temporal image brightness variations (optical flow).

B. Lucas and T. Kanade. An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 674–679, 1981.



Jonschkowski et al. 2020]

#### Feature tracking



• Given two subsequent frames, estimate the point translation

### Feature tracking



- Given two subsequent frames, estimate the point translation
- Key assumptions:
  - Brightness constancy: projection of the same point looks the same in every frame
  - Small motion: points do not move very far
  - Spatial coherence: points move like their neighbors

### Feature tracking



- Given two subsequent frames, estimate the point translation
- Key assumptions:
  - Brightness constancy: projection of the same point looks the same in every frame
  - Small motion: points do not move very far
  - Spatial coherence: points move like their neighbors

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{pmatrix}
(x,y) \\
(x,y) \\
(x+u,y+v) \\
I(x,y,t)
\end{pmatrix} = (u,v) \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\circ \\
(x+u,y+v) \\
I(x,y,t+1)
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (x,y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (x,y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

Brightness Constancy Equation: I(x, y, t) = I(x + u, y + v, t + 1)

• Now, take the Taylor expansion of I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) at (x, y, t) to linearize the right side

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (x,y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

$$I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) \approx I(x, y, t) + I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (x,y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

$$I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) \approx I(x, y, t) + I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t$$
$$I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) - I(x, y, t) \approx I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (x,y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

$$I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) \approx I(x, y, t) + I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t$$
$$I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) - I(x, y, t) \approx I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t$$

$$\nabla I \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + I_t = 0$$

• Can we use this equation to recover image motion (u,v) at each pixel?

$$\nabla I \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + I_t = 0$$

• How many equations and unknowns per pixel?

• Can we use this equation to recover image motion (u,v) at each pixel?

$$\nabla I \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + I_t = 0$$

- How many equations and unknowns per pixel?
- One equation (this is a scalar equation!), two unknowns (u,v)

• The component of the motion perpendicular to the gradient (i.e., parallel to the edge) cannot be measured.

- The component of the motion perpendicular to the gradient (i.e., parallel to the edge) cannot be measured.
  - If (u, v) satisfies the equation, so does (u + u', v + v') if





# The aperture problem



## The aperture problem



## The aperture problem





## The barber pole illusion



• How to get more equations for a pixel?

- How to get more equations for a pixel?
- what if the motion is smooth over a local region?

- How to get more equations for a pixel?
- what if the motion is smooth over a local region?
- Assume the pixel's neighbors have the same (u, v)
  - If we use a 5x5 window, that gives us 25 equations per pixel

• For 
$$\forall_{p_i} : \nabla I(p_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + I_t(p_i) = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(p_{25}) & I_y(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I_t(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(p_{25}) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(p_{25}) & I_y(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I_t(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(p_{25}) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(p_{25}) & I_y(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} I_t(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A d = b$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(p_{25}) & I_y(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I_t(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(p_{25}) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(p_{25}) & I_y(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} I_t(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(p_{25}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A d = b$$

how do we solve this?

• Least squares solution for d given by

 $A^T A d = A^T b$ 

• Least squares solution for d given by

 $A^T A d = A^T b$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{x} & \sum I_{x}I_{y} \\ \sum I_{x}I_{y} & \sum I_{y}I_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{t} \\ \sum I_{y}I_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Least squares solution for d given by

 $A^T A d = A^T b$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{x} & \sum I_{x}I_{y} \\ \sum I_{x}I_{y} & \sum I_{y}I_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{t} \\ \sum I_{y}I_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

• The summations are over all pixels in the K x K window

#### does this look familiar?

• Least squares solution for d given by

 $A^T A d = A^T b$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{x} & \sum I_{x}I_{y} \\ \sum I_{x}I_{y} & \sum I_{y}I_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_{x}I_{t} \\ \sum I_{y}I_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

• The summations are over all pixels in the K x K window

does this look familiar? 
$$M = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x \cdot I_y \\ I_x \cdot I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Optimal (u, v) satisfies Lucas-Kanade equation
- When is this solvable? I.e., what are good points to track?

- Optimal (u, v) satisfies Lucas-Kanade equation
- When is this solvable? I.e., what are good points to track?
  - A<sup>T</sup>A should be invertible

- Optimal (u, v) satisfies Lucas-Kanade equation
- When is this solvable? I.e., what are good points to track?
  - **A<sup>T</sup>A** should be invertible
  - A<sup>T</sup>A should not be too small due to noise
    - eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of  $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$  should not be too small

- Optimal (u, v) satisfies Lucas-Kanade equation
- When is this solvable? I.e., what are good points to track?
  - **A<sup>T</sup>A** should be invertible
  - A<sup>T</sup>A should not be too small due to noise
    - eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of  $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$  should not be too small
  - A<sup>T</sup>A should be well-conditioned
    - $-\,\lambda_1/\lambda_2$  should not be too large ( $\lambda_1 =$  larger eigenvalue)

## Edges cause problems



- large gradients, all the same
- large  $\lambda_1$ , small  $\lambda_2$




#### Low texture regions don't work







#### High textured region work best







 $\sum \nabla I (\nabla I)^T$ - gradients are different, large magnitudes
- large  $\lambda_1$ , large  $\lambda_2$ 

- What are the potential causes of errors in this procedure?
  - Suppose A<sup>T</sup>A is easily invertible
  - Suppose there is not much noise in the image

- What are the potential causes of errors in this procedure?
  - Suppose A<sup>T</sup>A is easily invertible
  - Suppose there is not much noise in the image
- When our assumptions are violated

- What are the potential causes of errors in this procedure?
  - Suppose A<sup>T</sup>A is easily invertible
  - Suppose there is not much noise in the image
- When our assumptions are violated
  - Brightness constancy is not satisfied
  - The motion is not small
  - A point does not move like its neighbors
    - window size is too large
    - what is the ideal window size?

- What are the potential causes of errors in this procedure?
  - Suppose A<sup>T</sup>A is easily invertible
  - Suppose there is not much noise in the image
- When our assumptions are violated
  - Brightness constancy is not satisfied
  - The motion is not small
  - A point does not move like its neighbors
    - window size is too large
    - what is the ideal window size?





3. Shift window by (v, v): x' = x' + u; y' = y' + v;



- 3. Shift window by (v, v): x' = x' + u; y' = y' + v;
- 4. Recalculate  $I_t$



- 3. Shift window by (u, v): x' = x' + u; y' = y' + v;
- 4. Recalculate  $I_t$
- 5. Repeat steps 2-4 until small change
  - Use interpolation for subpixel values

#### Revisiting the small motion assumption



• Is this motion small enough?

#### Revisiting the small motion assumption



- Is this motion small enough?
  - Probably not—it's much larger than one pixel (2<sup>nd</sup> order terms dominate)

#### Revisiting the small motion assumption



# How might we solve this problem?

- Is this motion small enough?
  - Probably not—it's much larger than one pixel (2<sup>nd</sup> order terms dominate)

#### Reduce the resolution!



#### Coarse-to-fine optical flow estimation



#### Coarse-to-fine optical flow estimation



- Apply L-K to get a flow field representing the flow from the first frame to the second frame.
- Apply this flow field to warp the first frame toward the second frame.

- Apply L-K to get a flow field representing the flow from the first frame to the second frame.
- Apply this flow field to warp the first frame toward the second frame.
- Rerun L-K on the new warped image to get a flow field from it to the second frame.
- Repeat till convergence.

- Apply L-K to get a flow field representing the flow from the first frame to the second frame.
- Apply this flow field to warp the first frame toward the second frame.
- Rerun L-K on the new warped image to get a flow field from it to the second frame.
- Repeat till convergence.
- Next Level
  - Upsample the flow field to the next level as the first guess of the flow at that level.

- Apply L-K to get a flow field representing the flow from the first frame to the second frame.
- Apply this flow field to warp the first frame toward the second frame.
- Rerun L-K on the new warped image to get a flow field from it to the second frame.
- Repeat till convergence.
- Next Level
  - Upsample the flow field to the next level as the first guess of the flow at that level.
  - Apply this flow field to warp the first frame toward the second frame.
  - Rerun L-K and warping till convergence as above.
- Etc.

### The Flower Garden Video

- What should the
- optical flow be?





## **Optical Flow Results**



### **Optical Flow Results**



### Next Time

• Can we also define keypoints that are shift, rotation, and scale invariant/covariant?

• What should be our description around keypoint?