#### Solving Regularized Inverse Problems with ADMM



David Lindell University of Toronto <u>cs.toronto.edu/~lindell/teaching/2529</u>

CSC2529

losslandscape.com

\*slides adapted from Gordon Wetzstein

#### Announcements

- HW5 due Friday 10/18
- HW6 is out (last one) & problem session tomorrow
- Project proposals due Friday!

#### Announcements

#### Final Project (50%)

The final project grade takes into account your poster presentation (organization of poster, clarify of presentation, ability to answer question), your source code submission (code organization and documentation), and your final project report (appropriate format and length, abstract, introduction, related work, description of your method, quantitative and qualitative evaluation of your method, results, discussion & conclusion, bibliography).

You can work in teams of up to 3 students for the project. Submit only one proposal and final report for each team. The expected amount of work is relative to the number of team members, so if two teams work on a similar project, we'd expect less work from a smaller team. Before you start to work on the proposal or the report, take a look at some of the past project proposals and reports to give you sense for what's expected (see link at the bottom of this page).

The project proposal is a 1-2 page document that should contain the following elements: clear motivation of your idea, a discussion of related work along at least 3 scientific references (i.e., scientific papers not blog articles or websites), an overview of what exactly your project is about and what the final goals are, milestones for your team with a timeline and intermediate goals. Once you send us your proposal, we may ask you to revise it and we will assign a project mentor to your team.

The final project report should look like a short (~6 pages) conference paper. We expect the following sections, which are standard practice for conference papers: abstract, introduction, related work, theory (i.e., your approach), analysis and evaluation, results, discussion and conclusion, references. To make your life easier, we provide an LaTex template that you can use to get started on your report (see schedule for link).

The project proposal is a 1-2 page document that should contain the following elements: clear motivation of your idea, a discussion of related work along at least 3 scientific references (i.e., scientific papers not blog articles or websites), an overview of what exactly your project is about and what the final goals are, milestones for your team with a timeline and intermediate goals. Once you send us your proposal, we may ask you to revise it and we will assign a project mentor to your team.

### Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The ADMM method
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

Must read: course notes on Deconvolution and Compressive Imaging!

### Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The ADMM method
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging



Given: blurry & noisy image

Desired: sharp & noise-free image



- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:  $b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \mathcal{F} \{c\} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{x\} \} + \eta$

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:  $b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \mathcal{F} \{c\} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{x\} \} + \eta$
- Inverse filtering:

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:

$$b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big\{ \mathcal{F} \{ c \} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{ x \} \Big\} + \eta$$

• Inverse filtering:

$$\widetilde{x}_{\rm if} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:

$$b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big\{ \mathcal{F} \{ c \} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{ x \} \Big\} + \eta$$

• Inverse filtering:

$$\widetilde{x}_{\rm if} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$

• Wiener filtering:

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:

 $b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big\{ \mathcal{F} \{ c \} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{ x \} \Big\} + \eta$ 

- Inverse filtering:
- Wiener filtering:

$$\widetilde{x}_{if} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$
$$\widetilde{x}_{wf} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2}{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{SNR}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$

- Image formation model:  $b = c * x + \eta$
- Convolution theorem:

$$b = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big\{ \mathcal{F} \{ c \} \cdot \mathcal{F} \{ x \} \Big\} + \eta$$

 $(\sigma(1))$ 

• Inverse filtering:

Wiener filtering:

•

$$\widetilde{x}_{if} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$
$$\widetilde{x}_{wf} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2}{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{SNR}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$$

 $C \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \quad b, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ 

• Duality of "signal processing" and "algebraic" interpretation:

$$b = c * x \Leftrightarrow b = Cx$$

# Image Deconvolution – Inverse Filtering

Ground Truth



 $\widetilde{x}_{if} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\}$ 

Measurements



No Noise



*σ*=0.1

*σ*=1.0



### Image Deconvolution – Wiener Filtering

#### Ground Truth



 $\mathcal{F}_{wf} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2}{\left| \mathcal{F}\{c\} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{SNR}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{F}\{b\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}} \right\} \text{for the set of the$ 

Measurements

No Noise





*σ*=0.1

*σ*=1.0



#### Image Deconvolution

• Problem: this is an ill-posed inverse problem, i.e., there are infinitely many solutions that satisfy the measurements

 Need some way to determine how "desirable" any one of these feasible solutions is → need an image prior

# Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The ADMM method
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{b}) = ?$$

- Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$
- Bayes' rule:

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Bayes' rule: 
$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{b})} \propto p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})$$
  
•  $\mathbf{\uparrow}$   $\mathbf{\uparrow}$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
  $\boldsymbol{b} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \sim ?$ 

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Bayes' rule: 
$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{b})} \propto p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})$$
  
†  $p(\mathbf{b})$  † †  
posterior image formation model prior

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^{2}) \qquad \boldsymbol{b} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x},\sigma^{2})$$
$$p(\boldsymbol{b} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \propto e^{-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}$$

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Bayes' rule: 
$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{b})} \propto p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})$$
  
• posterior image formation model prior

• Maximum-a-posterior (MAP) solution:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{MAP} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} - \log \left( p(\boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{b}) \right)$$

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Bayes' rule: 
$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{b})} \propto p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})$$
  
• posterior image formation model prior

• Maximum-a-posterior (MAP) solution:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{MAP} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} -\log(p(\boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{b}))$$
$$= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} -\log(p(\boldsymbol{b} | \boldsymbol{x})) - \log(p(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Bayes' rule: 
$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{b})} \propto p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})$$
  
• posterior image formation model prior

• Maximum-a-posterior (MAP) solution:

$$\mathbf{x}_{MAP} = \arg \min_{x} -\log(p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}))$$
  
=  $\arg \min_{x} -\log(p(\mathbf{b} | \mathbf{x})) - \log(p(\mathbf{x}))$   
=  $\arg \min_{x} \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \Psi(\mathbf{x})$ 

regularizer prior  $\mathbf{U}$   $\mathbf{U}$ DGY:  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = -\log(p(\mathbf{x}))$ 

Terminology:

data fidelity term regularization term  

$$\mathbf{x}_{MAP} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \| \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \|_2^2 + \Psi(\mathbf{x})$$

### Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The ADMM method
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

#### blurry stuff



#### Promote smoothness!

#### blurry stuff



Promote smoothness!

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\| \Delta \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}}{\overset{\bullet}$$

#### blurry stuff



stars



Promote smoothness!

Promote sparsity!

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\| \Delta \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\stackrel{\clubsuit}{\overset{\text{Laplace operator}}{\overset{\text{Laplace operator}}{\overset{Laplace op$$

#### blurry stuff



stars



Promote smoothness!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \left\| \Delta \mathbf{x} \right\|_2$  Promote sparsity!  $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_1$ 

Laplace operator

#### blurry stuff



stars



"natural" image



Promote smoothness!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{2}$ 

Laplace operator

Promote sparsity!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}$  Promote sparse gradients!

#### blurry stuff



stars



"natural" image



Promote smoothness!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \left\| \Delta \mathbf{x} \right\|_{2}$  Promote sparsity!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$  Promote sparse gradients!  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = TV(\mathbf{x})$ 

Laplace operator

### Total Variation (TV)

express (forward finite difference) gradient as convolution

x

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{x}\boldsymbol{x} = d_{x} * x, \ d_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{D}_{y}\boldsymbol{x} = d_{y} * x, \ d_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



0.3

#### Total Variation (TV)



# Total Variation (TV)

 Examples are mostly black, indicating that gradient magnitudes are close to 0 → natural images have sparse gradients!
- Examples are mostly black, indicating that gradient magnitudes are close to 0 → natural images have sparse gradients!
- This intuition is well-captured by the TV pseudo-norm, either anisotropic or isotropic:

- Examples are mostly black, indicating that gradient magnitudes are close to 0 → natural images have sparse gradients!
- This intuition is well-captured by the TV pseudo-norm, either anisotropic or isotropic:

$$TV_{anisotropic}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\|\boldsymbol{D}_{x}\boldsymbol{x}\right\|_{1} + \left\|\boldsymbol{D}_{y}\boldsymbol{x}\right\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left|\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{x}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}\right| + \left|\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{y}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}\right| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{x}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}} + \sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{y}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}}$$

- Examples are mostly black, indicating that gradient magnitudes are close to 0 → natural images have sparse gradients!
- This intuition is well-captured by the TV pseudo-norm, either anisotropic or isotropic:

$$TV_{anisotropic}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\|\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x}\right\|_{1} + \left\|\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{x}\right\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left|\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}\right| + \left|\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}\right| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}} + \sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}}$$
$$TV_{isotropic}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x}\right\|_{2,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\|\begin{bmatrix}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}\right\|_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}} + \left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)_{i}^{2}\right\|_{2}$$

The TV pseudo-norm is one of the most popular regularization schemes for natural images!

Extensions to make it more general or applicable for other data:

- Hyper-Laplacian: Levin et al. 2009, Krishnan & Fergus 2009
- Total generalized variation: Bredies et al. 2009
- Frobenius norm of Hessian: Lefkimmiatis et al. 2003

# How to solve inverse problem that use these regularizers?

# Solving Regularized Inverse Problem

• Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
  
weight of regularizer

# Solving Regularized Inverse Problem

 Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
  
weight of regularizer

- Practical #1 go-to solution: Adam solver implemented in PyTorch
- 3 simple steps, will explore in problem session & homework:
  - 1. Implement evaluation of loss function
  - 2. Set hyperparameters, including learning rate
  - 3. Run

# Solving Regularized Inverse Problem

• Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
  
weight of regularizer

- Practical #1 go-to solution: Adam solver implemented in PyTorch
- 3 simple steps, will explore in problem session & homework:
  - 1. Implement evaluation of loss function
  - 2. Set hyperparameters, including learning rate
  - 3. Run
- The "fine print": convenient but doesn't always converge well

# Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM)
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2} ||b - Ax||_2^2 + \lambda \Gamma(x)$$
  
 $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$   
data fidelity  
term L1 norm or others



split into two parts → mathematically equivalent



$$L(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^{T}(Kx - z)$$

• Lagrangian

$$L(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^T (Kx - z)$$

#### Optimal if all partial derivatives are zero!

$$\nabla_{x,z,y}L = 0$$

$$L(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^{T}(Kx - z)$$

Also implies:  

$$\nabla_{x,z,y}L = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla_{x,z}f(x) + g(z) = y^T \nabla_{x,z}(Kx - z)$$

$$\overline{Kx - z = 0}$$

$$L(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^{T}(Kx - z)$$

Also implies:  

$$\nabla_{x,z,y}L = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla_{x,z}f(x) + g(z) = y^T \nabla_{x,z}(Kx - z)$$

$$\overline{Kx - z = 0}$$

$$L(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^{T}(Kx - z)$$

Also implies:  

$$\nabla_{x,z,y}L = 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{\nabla_{x,z}f(x) + g(z)} = y^T \nabla_{x,z}(Kx - z)$$

$$Kx - z = 0$$



Augmented Lagrangian

$$L_{\rho}(x,z,y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^{T}(Kx - z) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||Kx - z||_{2}^{2}$$

We add quadratic penalty: improves convergence properties compared to standard Lagrangian

 $\rho$  is called the "penalty parameter" (see Boyd 2011)

- Scaled dual form of the augmented Lagrangian
  - Given by some algebraic manipulation (easier form to work with)

$$L_{\rho}(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Kx - z + u\|^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u\|^{2}$$

Where  $u = y/\rho$  is the scaled dual variable

- Recap
  - Split the objective function and enforce consistency with constraint
  - handle constraints using Lagrangian
  - Lagrangian -> Augmented Lagrangian -> scaled dual form

$$L_{\rho}(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Kx - z + u\|^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u\|^{2}$$

- Recap
  - Split the objective function and enforce consistency with constraint
  - handle constraints using Lagrangian
  - Lagrangian -> Augmented Lagrangian -> scaled dual form
  - optimize using ADMM!

$$L_{\rho}(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Kx - z + u\|^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|u\|^{2}$$

Regularized Image Reconstruction  
$$L_{\rho}(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||Kx - z + u||^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} ||u||^2$$

repeat until converged

$$x \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{|||_{2},\rho}(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\{x\}} L_{\rho}(x,z,y)$$
$$z \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\Gamma,\rho}(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\{z\}} L_{\rho}(x,z,y)$$
$$u \leftarrow u + Kx - z$$

iterative updates - ADMM

$$\mathbf{prox}_{\lambda f}(v) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x} \left( f(x) + (1/2\lambda) \|x - v\|_2^2 \right)$$

gives proximal point of v with respect to f

 finds a value of that is close to v and minimum of f, or moves to the domain of f



Figure 1.1: Evaluating a proximal operator at various points.

Regularized Image Reconstruction  
$$L_{\rho}(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||Kx - z + u||^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} ||u||^2$$

repeat until converged

$$x \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\|\|_{2},\rho}(v) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\{x\}} L_{\rho}(x,z,y) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\{x\}} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} ||Kx - v||, v = z - u$$
  
$$z \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\Gamma,\rho}(v) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\{z\}} L_{\rho}(x,z,y) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\{z\}} \lambda \Gamma(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||v - z||, v = Kx + u$$
  
$$u \leftarrow u + Kx - z$$

• iterative updates - ADMM

# Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM)
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

Generic: 
$$L_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{u}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + g(\boldsymbol{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}$$
  
Deconv:  $L_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  unknown sharp image

- $C \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  circulant convolution matrix for known kernel c
- $z, u \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$  slack/dual variable, twice the size of x!

 $\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}_x \\ \boldsymbol{D}_y \end{vmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times N} \quad \text{finite difference gradients, horizontal & vertical}$ 

$$L_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}$$

while not converged:

$$\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2}, \ \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{u}$$
$$\boldsymbol{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} \lambda \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2}, \ \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{u}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})^{T} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})^{T} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v})$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})^{T} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v})$$

$$\downarrow \text{ find solution by setting gradient to 0}$$

$$0 = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2^{2}}\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{T} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})^{T} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{b}) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v})$$

$$\downarrow \text{ find solution by setting gradient to 0}$$

$$0 = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v}$$

$$\downarrow \text{ closed-form solution}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow (\mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{C} + \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{D})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{b} + \rho \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{v})$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{D}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{v}\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{D}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{v}\right)$$

Exploit duality of algebraic & signal processing interpretation

$$C^{T}C \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\mathcal{F}\left\{c\right\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left\{c\right\}\right\} \qquad D^{T}z = D_{x}^{T}v_{1} + D_{y}^{T}v_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\mathcal{F}\left\{d_{x}\right\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\} + \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\}\right\} \qquad C^{T}b \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\mathcal{F}\left\{d_{x}\right\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\} + \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left\{d_{y}\right\}\right\}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{D}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{v}\right)$$

Exploit duality of algebraic & signal processing interpretation  $C^{T}C \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\}\} \qquad D^{T}z = D_{x}^{T}v_{1} + D_{y}^{T}v_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\mathcal{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\} + \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\}\} \qquad C^{T}b \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\}\}$ 

$$\underbrace{C^{T}C + \rho D^{T}D}_{C^{T}b + \rho D^{T}v} \Leftrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathscr{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{c\} + \rho \left(\mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\} + \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\} \right) \right\} \\
\underbrace{C^{T}b + \rho D^{T}v}_{C^{T}b + \rho D^{T}v} \Leftrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathscr{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{b\} + \rho \left(\mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{v_{1}\} + \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{v_{2}\} \right) \right\}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{D}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho\mathbf{D}^{T}\mathbf{v}\right)$$

• Efficient *x*-update operates purely on 2D images with FFTs and element-wise multiplications and divisions:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(z) = \mathscr{F}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathscr{F}}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}\{b\} + \rho \left( \mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{v_{1}\} + \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{v_{2}\} \right) \\ & \overline{\mathscr{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{c\} + \rho \left( \mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{d_{x}\} + \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{d_{y}\} \right)} \\ & \operatorname{can \ pre-compute \ most \ parts} \quad v_{1} = \boldsymbol{v}(1:N), v_{2} = \boldsymbol{v}(N+1:2N) \end{aligned} \right\}$$
#### ADMM for Image Deconvolution with TV

$$\frac{z - \text{update:}}{z \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{z} \lambda \|z\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - z\|_{2}^{2}$$

• Efficient *z*-update uses element-wise soft thresholding operator  $\mathscr{S}_{\kappa}(\cdot)$ :

#### ADMM for Image Deconvolution with TV

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{z} - \text{update:}}{\boldsymbol{z} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1}, \rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{z}} \lambda \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$

1 1

Efficient *z*-update uses element-wise soft thresholding operator S<sub>κ</sub>(·):

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \mathscr{S}_{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{cases} v - \kappa & v > \kappa \\ 0 & |v| \le \kappa = (v - \kappa)_{+} - (-v - \kappa)_{+} \\ v + \kappa & v < -\kappa \end{cases}$$

This element-wise soft thresholding is the proximal operator for anisotropic TV, see course notes on block soft thresholding for isotropic TV.

 $\begin{array}{l}
\rho\\
v = Dx + u
\end{array}$ 

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2}$$

 $\frac{\boldsymbol{x} - \text{update:}}{\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} \qquad \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ 

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2} \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow (\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho\mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho\mathbf{v}) \qquad \text{no matrix } \mathbf{D}!$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \text{update:}}{\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2} \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow (\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{C} + \rho \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{b} + \rho \mathbf{v}) \qquad \text{no matrix } \mathbf{D}!$$

 Efficient *x*-update operates purely on 2D images with FFTs and element-wise multiplications and divisions:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\}$$

$$\frac{z - \text{update:}}{z \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{z} \lambda \Psi(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2} \quad \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{u}$$

. . . . . . . . .

 $\frac{z - \text{update:}}{z \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{z} \lambda \Psi(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - z\|_{2}^{2} \quad \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{u}$  $= \arg\min_{z} \Psi(z) + \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \|\boldsymbol{v} - z\|_{2}^{2}$ 

This is a denoising problem with a regularizer that imposes a prior!

$$\frac{z - \text{update:}}{z \leftarrow \text{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \arg\min_{z} \lambda \Psi(z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - z\|_{2}^{2} \quad \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{u}$$
$$= \arg\min_{z} \Psi(z) + \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \|\boldsymbol{v} - z\|_{2}^{2}$$

• Efficient *z*-update uses arbitrary denoiser  $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ , such as DnCNN and non-local means, using noise variance  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}$ 

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right)$$

#### Image Deconvolution with ADMM



Target Image





ADMM+TV, PSNR 26.3 dB



Measurements, σ=0.1



Wiener Deconv., PSNR В ADMM+DnCNN, PSNR 26.7

19.5 dB



### Image Deconvolution with ADMM

#### ADMM for deconvolution with TV

1: initialize  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$ 2: x = zeros(W, H);3: z = zeros(W, H);4: u = zeros(W, H);5: for k = 1 to max iters do 6: v = z - u $x = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}(v) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho(\mathcal{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{v_{1}\} + \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{v_{2}\})}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho(\mathcal{F}\{d_{x}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{d_{x}\} + \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{d_{y}\})} \right\}$ 7:  $v = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}$ 8:  $z = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{S}_{\lambda/\rho}\left(v\right)$ 9:  $u = u + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - z$ 10: 11: end for

#### ADMM for deconvolution with denoiser

1: initialize  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$ 2: x = zeros(W, H);3: z = zeros(W, H);4: u = zeros(W, H);5: for k = 1 to max\_iters do 6: v = z - u $x = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^{*} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\}$ 7: 8. v = x + u $z = \mathbf{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v,\sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right)$ 9: u = u + x - z10: 11: end for

## ADMM - Convergence Criterion

- Run or "unroll" ADMM for *K* iterations
- Run until change in residual between iterations is < threshold

$$\begin{split} v &= z - u \\ x &= \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2,\rho}}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ v &= x + u \\ z &= \mathbf{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ u &= u + x - z \\ v &= z - u \\ x &= \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2,\rho}}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ v &= x + u \\ z &= \mathbf{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ u &= u + x - z \\ v &= z - u \\ x &= \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2,\rho}}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ v &= x + u \\ z &= \mathbf{prox}_{\mathbb{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ u &= u + x - z \\ \cdot \end{split}$$

## Outlook on Unrolled Optimization

- Run or "unroll" ADMM for K iterations
- Interpret as unrolled feedforward network:

$$\begin{split} & v = z - u \\ & x = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ & v = x + u \\ & z = \mathbf{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ & u = u + x - z \\ \\ & v = z - u \\ & x = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ & v = x + u \\ & z = \mathbf{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ & u = u + x - z \\ \\ & v = z - u \\ & x = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{b\} + \rho \mathcal{F}\{v\}}{\mathcal{F}\{c\}^* \cdot \mathcal{F}\{c\} + \rho}\right\} \\ & v = x + u \\ & z = \mathbf{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{2},\rho}\left(v\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(v, \sigma^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \\ & u = u + x - z \end{split}$$

.



[Diamond et al. 2017]

# Outlook on Unrolled Optimization

- Run or "unroll" ADMM for K iterations
- Interpret as unrolled feedforward network:

Benefits over unrolled optimization

- Learnable parameters:  $\lambda^{(k)}, \rho^{(k)}$ , denoiser  $\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$
- DenseNet-like skip connections
- Denoiser/regularizer can adapt to matrix C
- Can train with advanced loss functions (perceptual, adversarial, other network, ...)



## Overview

- Brief review of deconvolution with inverse/Wiener filtering
- A Bayesian perspective of inverse problems
- Image priors/regularization and total variation
- The Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM)
- Image deconvolution with ADMM
- Compressive imaging

Compressive Imaging

## Single-pixel Imaging



Duarte et al. 2008

# Single-pixel Imaging







10%





Duarte et al. 2008

## Single-pixel Imaging



• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• What makes it under-determined (or a compressive imaging problem):

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

M < N

• What makes it under-determined (or a compressive imaging problem):

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• What makes it under-determined (or a M < N compressive imaging problem):

Problem: infinitely many solutions satisfy the observations!
 Same problem as ill-posed problems! → need image priors

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Standard approach – the least-norm solution:  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{ln} = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T) \mathbf{b}$ 

- This is the solution of optimization problem
   subject of the solution of optimization problem
- minimize<sub>x</sub>  $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$ subject to  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$

Note: among the infinitely many solutions satisfying the observations, the least-norm solution is the one with the smallest L2 norm, thus equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_2$  regularizer

• Image formation model:  $b = Ax + \eta$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ 

• Standard approach – the least-norm solution:  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{ln} = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T) \mathbf{b}$ 

• Results (not great):



# Other Inverse Problems in Imaging



Computed Tomography (CT)



#### Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)







## Other Inverse Problems in Imaging

- All these inverse problems have important applications and are very different
- Yet, they all boil down to the same inverse problem, each with a different matrix **A**: minimize<sub>x</sub>  $\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$
- The methods derived here also apply to all those problems and applications; single-pixel imaging is a great example problem → "if you can solve this, you can solve anything"

 Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$
  
weight of regularizer

• Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2 + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$

weight of regularizer

• Reformulate as:

minimize<sub>{x,z}</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{z})$$
  
subject to  $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} = 0$ 

• Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2 + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$

weight of regularizer

Reformulate as:

minimize<sub>{x,z}</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{z})$$
  
subject to  $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} = 0$ 

 Remove constraints using augmented Lagrangian

$$L_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{u}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + g(\boldsymbol{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2}$$

 Alternating gradient descent approach to solving penalty formulation leads to following iterative algorithm:

while not converged:  

$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{f,\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$$
  
 $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{g,\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{z}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{z}} g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

- For general inverse problems, we don't necessarily have an efficient closed-form solution for this problem, like we did for the deconvolution problem
- Use matrix-free iterative solver, such as the conjugate gradient method, to solve  $\tilde{A}x = \tilde{b}$  (e.g., scipy.sparse.linalg.cg)

<u>z – update for TV regularizer in closed form:</u>

$$z \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_{1},\rho}(v) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} \lambda \|z\|_{1} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|v - z\|_{2}^{2} = \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(v)$$

<u>z – update for denoising-based regularizer in closed form:</u>

$$\boldsymbol{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\mathcal{D},\rho}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2} = \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{v}, \sigma^{2} = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right)$$

#### **ADMM – Results**

PSNR 32.0

PSNR 26.0

**PSNR 16.3** 





PSNR 33.7



PSNR 18.6



HQS+TV

HQS+DnCNN ADMM+TV





PSNR 19.6



PSNR 15.2

#### ADMM+DnCNN



PSNR 42.2



PSNR 34.7



**PSNR 30.5** 

Compression Factor  $\frac{N}{M}$ 



**PSNR 9.7** 

**PSNR 10.4** 



Short tangent on Half Quadratic Splitting (HQS) (Another solver for constrained optimization problems)

## The Half-quadratic Splitting (HQS) Method

 Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem: minimize<sub>x</sub>  $\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ weight of regularizer

## The Half-quadratic Splitting (HQS) Method

 Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2 + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$

weight of regularizer

• Reformulate as:

minimize<sub>{x,z}</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{z})$$
  
subject to  $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} = 0$ 

The Half-quadratic Splitting (HQS) Method

 Objective or "loss" function of general inverse problem:

minimize<sub>x</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$$

weight of regularizer

Reformulate as:

minimize<sub>{x,z}</sub> 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\boldsymbol{z})$$
  
subject to  $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} = 0$ 

Remove constraints using L
 penalty term (equivalent for large ρ):

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$

penalty term
The Half-quadratic Splitting (HQS) Method  

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$

• Alternating gradient descent approach to solving penalty formulation leads to following iterative algorithm:

while not converged:  

$$\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{f,\rho}(\mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{x} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{x} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$
  
 $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{g,\rho}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

The Half-quadratic Splitting (HQS) Method

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$

- Alternating gradient descent approach to solving penalty
  - form Steps not tied together with dual variable
- Can be very sensitive to the penalty parameter, requiring more tuning than ADMM (technically, penalty needs to go to infinity)

 $\boldsymbol{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{g,\rho}(\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} L_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{z} g(\boldsymbol{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

 $- z |_{2}^{2}$ 

#### Applications of Compressive Imaging

### **Compressive Medical Imaging**

 reduce acquisition time, radiation exposure, or allow for more patients in same time, ...

• examples: x-ray computed tomography and MRI

#### Computed Tomography (CT)



# Computed Tomography – Fourier Slice Theorem

• compressive CT: e.g. fewer slices





# Magnetic Resonance Imaging

- measurements = (random) Fourier coefficients
- compressive MRI: fewer Fourier coefficients





# Compressive Imaging: CT & MRI

- people in bio-medical imaging often hesitant about priors:
  - few guarantees for success
  - if reconstruction breaks, not clear how exactly
  - is that feature a reconstruction artifact or the thing I'm looking for?

• motivation:



- conventional: either scan over xy or over lambda!
- idea: capture hyperspectral datacube with a single, coded image
   use compressive sensing to reconstruct
- first approach: CASSI (coded aperture snapshot spectral imager), Wagadarikar 2008





- moderate quality for snapshot, but good quality for coded multi-shot
- applications: remote sensing, cultural heritage, ...



Arce et al. 2014

### Compressive Imaging Everywhere

- metamaterials
- THz imaging
- x-ray imaging
- thermal IR
- ultra-fast imaging
- not as much on compressive coherent imaging (could be interesting for course projects: OCT, holography, ...)

#### Notes

 compressive imaging is an exploding area: check COSI, ICCP, CVPR, ICCV conferences, other optics journals and conferences

 most variants of compressive imaging problems can be implemented with ADMM

• check lecture notes online to help with homework

 Increasingly we want to learn the sensing matrices, reconstruction using neural networks and datasets...

### References and Further Reading

Must read: course notes on Image Deconvolution with ADMM & course notes on compressive imaging

#### Adam

D. Kingma, J. Ba "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

#### ADMM

 S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato, J. Eckstein "Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers", Foundation and Trends in Machine Learning, 2001

#### Single-pixel Imaging

M. Duarte, M. Davenport, D. Takhar, J. Laska, T. Sun, K. Kelly, R. Baraniuk "Single-pixel imaging via compressive sampling", IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 2008

#### TV Prior and Extensions

- L. Rudin, S. Osher, E. Fatemi "Nonlinear total variation-based noise removal algorithm", Physica D, 1992
- A. Levin, Y. Weiss, F. Durand, W. Freeman "Understanding and evaluating blind deconvolution algorithms", CVPR 2009
- D. Krishnan, R. Fergus "Fast Image Deconvolution using Hyper-Laplacian Priors", NIPS 2009
- K. Bredies, K. Kunisch, T. Pock "Total Generalized Variation", Technical Report 2009
- S. Lefkimmiatis, J. Ward, M. Unser "Hessian Schatten-Norm Regularization for Linear Inverse Problems", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 2003

#### Unrolled Optimization

S. Diamond, V. Sitzmann, F. Heide, G. Wetzstein "Unrolled optimization with deep priors", arxiv, 2017