

Midterm 3 over, yay!

Rest of today:

- Complete Pivoting
- Iterative Refinement
- Modified Problems

Complete Pivoting

In partial pivoting we choose the largest element below the diagonal to be our pivot.

e.g/

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 2 & 5 & 9 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

partial pivoting: $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$ pivot = 4.

full pivoting: $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_4$.

$C_2 \leftrightarrow C_3$ pivot = 5

Before

In complete (full) pivoting, we search for the largest entry in the remaining submatrix.

Q: Does exchanging columns change our solution?

Yes. $A \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \\ x_2 &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

But if we exchange columns.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \\ x_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The solution will change, but predictably.
We need to keep track of the column swaps.

In practise, full pivoting is very expensive.

and partial pivoting is usually good enough.

Iterative Refinement

Suppose \underline{x}_0 is a solution to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$.

but we have a residual $r_0 = \underline{b} - A\underline{x}_0 \neq 0$.

We can obtain a better solution by solving

$$A\underline{z}_0 = \underline{r}_0$$

Then if we let $\underline{x}_1 := \underline{x}_0 + \underline{z}_0$

$$A\underline{x}_1 = A(\underline{x}_0 + \underline{z}_0)$$

$$= A\underline{x}_0 + A\underline{z}_0$$

$$= (\underline{b} - \underline{r}_0) + \underline{r}_0$$

$$= \underline{b}.$$

If \underline{z}_0 is not exact, we can repeat this process.

Not practical in practise for this problem

but is a useful idea in general.

Modified Problems .

(3)

If we have a solution to $\underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{b}$.

What if we have a different.

- (1) \underline{b} . $\rightarrow \underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{b}' \rightarrow$ Keep the LU factorization
of A . $LU\underline{x} = \underline{b}'$

$$\text{then } \begin{cases} L\underline{y} = \underline{b}' \\ U\underline{x} = \underline{y} \end{cases}$$

In general, if the matrix A changes, then we have an entirely different problem, and we start over.

In some cases, we can make use of the existing
solution $\underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{b}$:

Rank one update $A_1 = A - \underbrace{uv^T}_{\text{this update}}$ u is $n \times 1$ vector
 v is $n \times 1$ vector
 matrix has rank 1.

In this case, we can use the
Sherman-Morrison Formula to compute

$$(A - uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} + A^{-1}u(1 - v^T A^{-1}u)^{-1}v^T A^{-1}$$

Then

$$\underline{x} = (A - uv^T)^{-1} \underline{b}$$

$$= \frac{A^{-1}b}{y} + \frac{A^{-1}u}{z} \frac{(1 - v^T A^{-1}u)^{-1}}{z} \frac{v^T A^{-1}b}{y}$$

1. Solve $A\underline{z} = \underline{u}$
 2. Solve $A\underline{y} = \underline{b}$
 3. $\underline{y} + \underline{z}(1 - \underline{v}^T \underline{z})^{-1} \underline{v}^T \underline{y}$
 scalar

Pf. of Sherman-Morrison Formula:

We'll show that $(A - uv^T)(A^{-1} + A^{-1}u(1-v^TA^{-1}u)^{-1}v^TA^{-1}) = I$.

~~CORRECT~~ Let $\gamma = \frac{(1-v^TA^{-1}u)^{-1}}{1-v^TA^{-1}u}$ to reduce the amount of writing
note this is a scalar!

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (A - uv^T)(A^{-1} + A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}\gamma) \\
 &= AA^{-1} - uv^TA^{-1} + AA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}\gamma - uv^TA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}\gamma \\
 &= I - uv^TA^{-1} + uv^TA^{-1}\gamma - uv^TA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}\gamma \\
 &= I - uv^TA^{-1} + u(1-v^TA^{-1}u)v^TA^{-1}\gamma \quad \text{Factor out } u \text{ at beginning} \\
 &= I - uv^TA^{-1} + \frac{u(1-v^TA^{-1}u)v^TA^{-1}}{(1-v^TA^{-1}u)} \quad \text{v}^TA^{-1}\gamma \text{ at end of both terms} \\
 &= I - uv^TA^{-1} + uv^TA^{-1} \\
 &= I.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (A - uv^T)^{-1} = \left(A^{-1} + \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1-v^TA^{-1}u} \right)$$