

CSC290 Design Review Presentation Rubric

| | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Slides and Visuals (20%) | <p>Visuals are clear, succinct, cohesive, and enhance the presentation.</p> <p>Text is large and has good contrast.</p> | <p>Visuals are clear, and complements the presentation, but can be less wordy. Visuals are mostly cohesive.</p> <p>Text is mostly large enough and readable, but may have figures with small text.</p> | <p>Visuals are unclear, not readable in time, or does not support the presentation. Different slides carry their own style.</p> <p>Text is too small to be readable, or does not have enough contrast.</p> | <p>Visuals are ineffective or do not support the presentation. Different slides carry their own style.</p> <p>Text is too small to be readable, or does not have enough contrast.</p> | <p>Visuals are ineffective and do not support the presentation.</p> |
| Speak Flow (10%) +20% Individual | <p>Speakers are balanced. Presentation division is effective (eg. strongest speaker begins/ends or interesting division of presentation).</p> <p>Every presenter spoke for at least 1 minute. Presentation flows smoothly and cohesively.</p> | <p>Speakers are equally balanced.</p> <p>Presentation flows smoothly.</p> <p>Every presenter spoke for at least 1 minute.</p> <p>Some lack of cohesion in presentation style.</p> | <p>Speakers are unbalanced.</p> <p>Most presenters spoke for at least 1 minute.</p> <p>Presentation is choppy at times; handoff between presenters may need improvement.</p> | <p>Speakers are very unbalanced. Speaking time was not spread out.</p> <p>Presentation flow needs improvement.</p> <p>Presenters carry their own style of presentation.</p> | <p>Not all group members spoke.</p> <p>Presentation flow has serious issues.</p> |
| Introduction (10%) | <p>Presentation has an effective introduction that tells the reader what the presentation will be about, and "hooks" the audience.</p> | <p>Presentation has an introduction that sets the readers' expectations.</p> | <p>Introduction too short, too long, or does not effectively set the readers' expectations. Presentation lacks an agenda slide.</p> | <p>Presentation lacks an introduction.</p> | <p>Presentation lacks an introduction.</p> |
| Content (30%) | <p>Easy to follow presentation with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A clear description of the game mechanics. • A class diagram is used effectively to explain design decisions. • A clear and persuasive description of key design decisions and their rationales. | <p>Mostly easy to follow presentation with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the game mechanics. • A class diagram, used to explain most key design decisions. • A clear description of key design decisions and their rationales. | <p>A presentation with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of most game mechanics. • A class diagram that is not used effectively. • A list of key design decisions, but without sufficient description or explanation. <p>Presentation is difficult to follow.</p> | <p>A presentation with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A confusing description of the game mechanics. • A class diagram that is not used effectively. • Omissions of key design decisions. <p>Presentation is confusing and difficult to follow.</p> | <p>No attempt to describe game mechanics.</p> <p>No class diagrams.</p> <p>No attempt to describe key decisions.</p> |
| Conclusion (10%) | <p>Presentation has an effective conclusion that summarizes the content, and an effective call-to-action that reflects presentation goals.</p> | <p>Presentation has a clear conclusion and a call-to-action.</p> <p>Conclusion does not introduce new material.</p> | <p>Presentation has a conclusion.</p> <p>Presentation might lack a call-to-action.</p> | <p>The conclusion is weak, abrupt, and/or fails to summarize or drive home the message.</p> | <p>Presentation lacks a conclusion.</p> |

CSC290 Presenter Grading Rubric (20%)

| Presenter: | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Audibility (25%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Consistently audible from the back of the room 3. Mostly audible 2. Sometimes audible, but inconsistent 1. Difficult to hear most of the time 0. Difficult to hear | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Consistently audible from the back of the room 3. Mostly audible 2. Sometimes audible, but inconsistent 1. Difficult to hear most of the time 0. Difficult to hear | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Consistently audible from the back of the room 3. Mostly audible 2. Sometimes audible, but inconsistent 1. Difficult to hear most of the time 0. Difficult to hear | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Consistently audible from the back of the room 3. Mostly audible 2. Sometimes audible, but inconsistent 1. Difficult to hear most of the time 0. Difficult to hear | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Consistently audible from the back of the room 3. Mostly audible 2. Sometimes audible, but inconsistent 1. Difficult to hear most of the time 0. Difficult to hear | |
| Eye Contact (25%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Excellent eye contact across the entire room 3. Constant eye contact with the audience; little reading of notes 2. Makes eye contact with a few people; reads from notes 1. Little eye contact; reads from notes 0. No eye contact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Excellent eye contact across the entire room 3. Constant eye contact with the audience; little reading of notes 2. Makes eye contact with a few people; reads from notes 1. Little eye contact; reads from notes 0. No eye contact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Excellent eye contact across the entire room 3. Constant eye contact with the audience; little reading of notes 2. Makes eye contact with a few people; reads from notes 1. Little eye contact; reads from notes 0. No eye contact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Excellent eye contact across the entire room 3. Constant eye contact with the audience; little reading of notes 2. Makes eye contact with a few people; reads from notes 1. Little eye contact; reads from notes 0. No eye contact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Excellent eye contact across the entire room 3. Constant eye contact with the audience; little reading of notes 2. Makes eye contact with a few people; reads from notes 1. Little eye contact; reads from notes 0. No eye contact | |
| Enthusiasm (25%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Speaks naturally, comfortably, and enthusiastically 3. Mostly naturally 2. Clearly reciting 1. Monotone; makes distracting motions 0. Clear lack of understanding | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Speaks naturally, comfortably, and enthusiastically 3. Mostly naturally 2. Clearly reciting 1. Monotone; makes distracting motions 0. Clear lack of understanding | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Speaks naturally, comfortably, and enthusiastically 3. Mostly naturally 2. Clearly reciting 1. Monotone; makes distracting motions 0. Clear lack of understanding | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Speaks naturally, comfortably, and enthusiastically 3. Mostly naturally 2. Clearly reciting 1. Monotone; makes distracting motions 0. Clear lack of understanding | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Speaks naturally, comfortably, and enthusiastically 3. Mostly naturally 2. Clearly reciting 1. Monotone; makes distracting motions 0. Clear lack of understanding | |
| Clarity and Cadence (25%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Pronunciation is clear; good cadence 3. Pronunciation is mostly clear; good cadence; some "um", "uh". 2. Sometimes unclear; speaks uncomfortably quickly or slowly 1. Unclear; speaks too quickly or slowly to be understood 0. Cannot understand |