

200 (item count) Write a program to find the number of occurrences of a given item in a given list.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§

Let  $L$  be the list and  $a$  be the item. Let  $n$  and  $j$  be *nat* variables.

$$n' = \wp(\S i: 0..#L \cdot L i = a) \iff n:=0. j:=0. n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a)$$

$$n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) \iff$$

**if**  $j=#L$  **then** *ok* **else** **if**  $L j = a$  **then**  $n:=n+1$  **else** *ok* **fi**.

$$j:=j+1.$$

$$n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) \mathbf{fi}$$

The first refinement is proved by two uses of the Substitution Law. The last refinement can be broken into three cases. The first case:

$$\begin{aligned} & j=#L \wedge ok && \text{expand } ok \\ = & j=#L \wedge n'=n \wedge j'=j && \text{bunch theory and arithmetic} \\ \Rightarrow & n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) \end{aligned}$$

Middle case:

$$\begin{aligned} & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge (n:=n+1. j:=j+1. n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a)) && \text{substitution twice} \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge n' = n+1 + \wp(\S i: j+1..#L \cdot L i = a) \\ & \text{if } L j = a, \text{ then } \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) = 1 + \wp(\S i: j+1..#L \cdot L i = a) \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) && \text{specialize} \\ \Rightarrow & n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) \end{aligned}$$

Last case:

$$\begin{aligned} & j\neq\#L \wedge L j \neq a \wedge (ok. j:=j+1. n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a)) && \text{substitution and identity} \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j \neq a \wedge n' = n + \wp(\S i: j+1..#L \cdot L i = a) \\ & \text{if } L j \neq a, \text{ then } \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) = \wp(\S i: j+1..#L \cdot L i = a) \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) && \text{specialize} \\ \Rightarrow & n' = n + \wp(\S i: j..#L \cdot L i = a) \end{aligned}$$

Here are the timing refinements.

$$t' = t + \#L \iff n:=0. j:=0. t' = t + \#L - j$$

$$t' = t + \#L - j \iff$$

**if**  $j=#L$  **then** *ok* **else** **if**  $L j = a$  **then**  $n:=n+1$  **else** *ok* **fi**.

$$j:=j+1. t:=t+1. t' = t + \#L - j \mathbf{fi}$$

The first refinement is proven by the Substitution Law. The last breaks into three cases.

The first case is:

$$\begin{aligned} & j=#L \wedge ok && \text{expand } ok \\ = & j=#L \wedge n'=n \wedge j'=j \wedge t'=t && \text{arithmetic} \\ \Rightarrow & t' = t + \#L - j \end{aligned}$$

Middle case:

$$\begin{aligned} & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge (n:=n+1. j:=j+1. t:=t+1. t' = t + \#L - j) && \text{substitution three times} \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j = a \wedge t' = t + 1 + \#L - (j + 1) && \text{simplify and specialize} \\ \Rightarrow & t' = t + \#L - j \end{aligned}$$

Last case:

$$\begin{aligned} & j\neq\#L \wedge L j \neq a \wedge (ok. j:=j+1. t:=t+1. t' = t + \#L - j) && \text{substitution and identity} \\ = & j\neq\#L \wedge L j \neq a \wedge t' = t + 1 + \#L - (j + 1) && \text{simplify and specialize} \\ \Rightarrow & t' = t + \#L - j \end{aligned}$$

Here is a **for**-loop solution with invariant  $A j \equiv n = \wp(\S i: 0..j \cdot L i = a)$ .

$$n' = \wp(\S i: 0..#L \cdot L i = a) \iff n:=0. A 0 \Rightarrow A'(\#L)$$

$$A 0 \Rightarrow A'(\#L) \iff \mathbf{for} \ j:=0;..#L \ \mathbf{do} \ j: 0..#L \wedge A j \Rightarrow A'(j+1) \ \mathbf{od}$$

$$j: 0..#L \wedge A j \Rightarrow A'(j+1) \iff \mathbf{if} \ L j = a \ \mathbf{then} \ n:=n+1 \ \mathbf{else} \ ok \ \mathbf{fi}$$

To prove the first refinement, start with the right side.

$$\begin{aligned} & n:=0. A 0 \Rightarrow A'(\#L) && \text{expand } A \text{ and } A' \\ = & n:=0. n = \wp(\S i: 0..0 \cdot L i = a) \Rightarrow n' = \wp(\S i: 0..#L \cdot L i = a) && \text{domain } 0..0 \\ = & n:=0. n = \wp null \Rightarrow n' = \wp(\S i: 0..#L \cdot L i = a) && \wp null \\ = & n:=0. n=0 \Rightarrow n' = \wp(\S i: 0..#L \cdot L i = a) && \text{substitution law} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 0=0 \Rightarrow n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, \#L \cdot Li = a) && \text{reflexive and identity} \\ &= n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, \#L \cdot Li = a) \end{aligned}$$

The middle refinement is the invariant **for**-loop law. The last refinement is proven by cases. First:

$$\begin{aligned} &Lj = a \wedge (n := n+1) \Rightarrow (j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge Aj \Rightarrow A'(j+1)) && \text{portation, expand assignment} \\ &= Lj = a \wedge n' = n+1 \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge Aj \Rightarrow A'(j+1) && \text{expand } A \text{ and } A' \\ &= Lj = a \wedge n' = n+1 \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j+1 \cdot Li = a) && \text{split range } 0, \dots, j+1 \\ &= Lj = a \wedge n' = n+1 \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp((\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a), (\xi i: j \cdot Li = a)) && \text{the domains are disjoint} \\ &= Lj = a \wedge n' = n+1 \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) + \wp(\xi i: j \cdot Li = a) && \text{context} \\ &= Lj = a \wedge n' = n+1 \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \Rightarrow n' = n+1 && \text{specialization} \\ &= \top \end{aligned}$$

Last case:

$$\begin{aligned} &Lj \neq a \wedge ok \Rightarrow (j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge Aj \Rightarrow A'(j+1)) && \text{portation, expand } ok \\ &= Lj \neq a \wedge n' = n \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge Aj \Rightarrow A'(j+1) && \text{expand } A \text{ and } A' \\ &= Lj \neq a \wedge n' = n \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j+1 \cdot Li = a) && \text{split range } 0, \dots, j+1 \\ &= Lj \neq a \wedge n' = n \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp((\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a), (\xi i: j \cdot Li = a)) && \text{the domains are disjoint} \\ &= Lj \neq a \wedge n' = n \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \\ &\Rightarrow n' = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) + \wp(\xi i: j \cdot Li = a) && \text{context} \\ &= Lj \neq a \wedge n' = n \wedge j: 0, \dots, \#L \wedge n = \wp(\xi i: 0, \dots, j \cdot Li = a) \Rightarrow n' = n+0 && \text{specialization} \\ &= \top \end{aligned}$$

Time  $\#L$ .