

149 Is the refinement

$P \Leftarrow \mathbf{if} \ x=0 \ \mathbf{then} \ ok \ \mathbf{else} \ x:=x-1. \ t:=t+1. \ P \ \mathbf{fi}$

a theorem when

$P = x < 0 \Rightarrow x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty$

Is this reasonable? Explain.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§ Yes, it is a theorem. Proof by cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x < 0 \Rightarrow x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty) \Leftarrow x = 0 \wedge ok && \text{portation} \\
 = & x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty \Leftarrow x < 0 \wedge x = 0 \wedge ok \\
 = & x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty \Leftarrow \perp \\
 = & \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x < 0 \Rightarrow x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty) \Leftarrow x \neq 0 \wedge (x := x - 1. t := t + 1. x < 0 \Rightarrow x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty) && \text{portation and two substitutions} \\
 = & x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty \Leftarrow x < 0 \wedge (x - 1 < 0 \Rightarrow x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty) && \text{discharge} \\
 = & x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty \Leftarrow x < 0 \wedge x' = 1 \wedge t' = \infty && \text{specialization} \\
 = & \top
 \end{aligned}$$

When $x < 0$ the execution time is infinite ($t' = \infty$) so there is no final state. It is therefore somewhat unreasonable to say $x' = 1$. On the other hand, no observation can ever show otherwise.