CSC165, Summer 2014 Assignment 6 Weight: 8% Solutions

1. State whether the following claim is true, and then prove or disprove it. Give a detailed structured proof, justifying every step.

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [(\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k) \lor (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1)]$ 

## Solution:

The statement is **false**. We prove its negation. The strategy is to realize that the statement is false since, for example, 2mod4 = 2 and not 0 or 1, and to go back to the definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## **Proof**:

Let n = 2 Then  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  #  $2 \in \mathbb{N}$ Then  $n \notin \{0, 4, 8, 12, ...\}$  # by inspection, 2 is not in that sorted list Then  $n \notin \{4 * 0, 4 * 1, 4 * 2, ...\}$  # algebra Then  $\neg [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k]$ Then also  $n \notin \{1, 5, 9, 13, ...\}$  # by inspection, 2 is not in that sorted list Then  $n \notin \{4 * 0 + 1, 4 * 1 + 1, 4 * 2 + 1, ...\}$  # algebra Then  $\neg [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1]$ Then  $\neg [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k] \land \neg [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1]$  # conjunction of two true statements Then  $\neg [[\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k] \lor [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1]]$  # De Morgan Then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg [[\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n' = 4k] \lor [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1]]$  # introduce existential, n = 2 is such an nThen  $\neg [\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [[\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n' = 4k] \lor [\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = 4k + 1]]]$  # quantifier negation

2. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let [f] be a function such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [(\lceil f \rceil)(n) = \lceil f(n) \rceil].$$

State whether the following claim is true, and then prove or disprove it. Give a detailed structured proof, justifying every step.

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall g \in \mathcal{F}, [f \in \mathcal{O}(g) \Rightarrow \lceil f \rceil \in \mathcal{O}(g)]$$

#### Solution:

The statement is **false**. We prove its negation. The strategy is to prove that for f(n) = 1/(n+1)and g(n) = 1/(n+1),  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  but  $\lceil f \rceil = 1 \notin \mathcal{O}(g)$ . (The reason we use 1/(n+1) is that 1/n is not defined for n = 0.

## **Proof:**

Let f(n) = 1/(n+1)Let g(n) = 1/(n+1)Let B = 1, c = 1Then  $B \in \mathbb{N}, c \in \mathbb{R} \quad \# \ 1 \in \mathbb{R}^+, 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n \ge B] \Rightarrow [f(n) \le cf(n)] \quad \# f(n) \le f(n) = 1 * f(n)$  always, so the consequent is always true Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n \ge B] \Rightarrow [f(n) \le cf(n)] \#$  introduce existential, n = 1 and B = 1 are such c and BThen  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  # definition of big-Oh, g = fAlso  $[f] = 1 \quad \# \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < 1/(n+1) < 1$ Assume  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+, B \in \mathbb{N}$ Let n = max(B+1, ([c]+1))Then  $(B+1) \in \mathbb{N}$  # integers are closed under addition Also  $([c] + 1) \in \mathbb{N} \quad \# \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+[x] \in \mathbb{N}$ Then  $n = max(B+1, \lceil c \rceil + 1) \in \mathbb{N}$  # both possibilities for the value of max are integers Then  $n > B \quad \# n = max(x, y) \Rightarrow n \ge x$ Then  $(n > c) \# (\lceil c \rceil + 1) \ge c + 1 > c$ Then 1 > n/(n+1) > c/(n+1) = c \* (1/(n+1) # c > n > 0Then 1 > c \* (1/(n+1)) # transitivity Then  $\neg[[n > B] \Rightarrow [1 \le c * (1/(n+1))]] \#$  the antecedent is true and the consequent is false Then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg [[n > B] \Rightarrow [1 \leq c * (1/(n+1))]] \# n = max(B+1, [c]+1) \in \mathbb{N}$  works Then  $\neg [\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n > B] \Rightarrow [1 \leq c * (1/(n+1))]] \#$  quantifier negation Then  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall B \in \mathbb{N}, \neg [\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n > B] \Rightarrow [1 \leq c * (1/(n+1))]] \#$  introduce universal Then  $\neg [\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n > B] \Rightarrow [1 \leq c * (1/(n+1))]]] \#$  quantifier negation twice Then  $\neg [1 \in \mathcal{O}(1/(n+1))] \#$  definition of big-Oh Then  $\neg [[f] \in \mathcal{O}(g)] \#$  substitution Then  $[f \in \mathcal{O}(g)] \land [\neg [[f] \in \mathcal{O}(g)]] \#$  conjunction Then  $\neg [[f \in \mathcal{O}(g)] \Rightarrow [[f] \in \mathcal{O}(g)]]] \#$  implication negation Then  $\exists f \in \mathcal{F}, \exists g \in \mathcal{F}, \neg [[f \in \mathcal{O}(g)] \Rightarrow [[f] \in \mathcal{O}(g)]]] \# f(n) = 1/(n+1) \text{ and } g(n) = 1/(n+1)$ are such f and gThen  $\neg [\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall g \in \mathcal{F}, [[f \in \mathcal{O}(g)] \Rightarrow [[f] \in \mathcal{O}(g)]]]] \#$  quantifier negation twice

3. The Fibonacci numbers fib(n) are defined as follows:  $fib(0) = 1, fib(1) = 1, \text{ and } fib(n) = fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) \text{ for } n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, ...\}.$ Prove that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, fib(n) \leq 2^n$$
.

It will be helpful to prove, using induction, that  $[\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)]$  where

$$P(n): \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leqslant n] \Rightarrow fib(k) \le 2^k.$$

### Solution:

Define

$$P(n): \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leqslant n] \Rightarrow fib(k) \le 2^k.$$

We would like to prove that  $[\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)]$ .

We first prove P(0) and P(1).  $1 \leq 1 = 2^0 \quad \#$  algebra Then  $fib(0) \leq 2^0 \quad \#$  substitution  $1 \leq 2 = 2^1 \quad \#$  algebra Then  $fib(1) \leq 2^1 \quad \#$  substitution Then  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leq 1] \Rightarrow fib(k) \leq 2^k \quad \#$  the consequent is true when the antecedent is true Then  $P(1) \quad \#$  substitution Also,  $P(0) \quad \#$  the consequent is true in P(0) whenever it's true in P(1)

#### Base case:

P(1) # proved above

#### Induction step:

Assume  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...\}$ Assume P(n) Then  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leq n] \Rightarrow fib(k) \leq 2^k \#$  substitution Then  $fib(n-1) \leq 2^{n-1}, fib(n) \leq 2^n \# n \leq n, (n-1) \leq n$ Then  $fib(n-1) + fib(n) \leq 2^{n-1} + 2^n \leq 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1} \#$  algebra Then  $fib(n+1) \leq 2^{n+1} \#$  definition of fib() for  $n \geq 1$ Then  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leq (n+1)] \Rightarrow fib(k) \leq 2^k \#$  proved for  $k \leq n$  and for k = n+1Then P(n+1) # substitution  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1) \#$  introduce implication  $\forall n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...\}, P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1) \#$  introduce universal

# We can now conclude:

 $\begin{array}{ll} P(1) & \# \text{ proved above} \\ \forall n \in \{1,2,3,4,5,\ldots\}, P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1) & \# \text{ proved above} \\ \text{Then } \forall n \in \{1,2,3,4,5,\ldots\}, P(n) & \# \text{ by the principle of simple induction} \\ \text{Also, } P(0) & \# \text{ proved above} \\ \text{Then } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) & \# \text{ true for all } \mathbb{N} = \{0,1,2,3,4,\ldots\} \end{array}$ 

But P(n) is not exactly what we need to prove. We now conclude:

 $\begin{bmatrix} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [k \leq n] \Rightarrow fib(k) \leq 2^k \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow [fib(n) \leq 2^n] \quad \# n \leq n \\ \text{Then } P(n) \Rightarrow [fib(n) \leq 2^n] \quad \# \text{ substitution} \\ \text{Then } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \land [P(n) \Rightarrow [fib(n) \leq 2^n]] \quad \# \text{ conjunction with a true statement Then} \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, fib(n) \leq 2^n \quad \# \text{ implication elimination}$ 

(The last part is more formal that it has to be.)

- 4. (a) Write a function def lowest\_terms(n,m) that takes two integers as inputs, and returns True iff n/m is a fraction that is reduced to lowest terms. For example, lowest\_terms(2,3) is True but lowest\_terms(4,6) is False. In the comments to your code, explain how the code works, and argue informally (i.e., no formal proof is required) that it produces the desired output. In the comments, provide the output for 8 test cases.
  - (b) In the comments in a6.py, give a tight upper bound on the total number of comparison operators ((==, <, >, <=, >=) and arithmetic operators (+,-, mod, /, \*,...) performed when running def lowest\_terms(n,m). Your answer should be one expression for the tight upper bound on sum of the number of comparison operators and the number of arithmetic operators. Justify your answer. (A formal proof is not required). Note: your answer will be an expression that may depend on both m and n.

### Solution:

See a6.py.

5. Bonus question, worth half the weight of the other questions: Claims 5.3 and 5.4 in Section 5.4 in the notes present a method to list all the rational numbers. Note, however, that, if that method is used, every rational number is actually listed an infinite number of times (for example, the number 1 is listed as 1/1, 2/2, 3/3, 4/4, 5/5...). It is possible to modify this method that produces a list of all the rational numbers such that every rational number appears in the list exactly once. Write a Python function def r(n) that takes an integer n as input and prints the *n*-th (starting from 0) rational number in such a list. For example, if the list begins with  $\{0, 1, -1, 1/2, -1/2, 2, -2, ...\}$ , r(4) should print "-1/2" (the output should not contain quotes). In the comments to your code, explain how the code works, and argue informally (i.e., no formal proof is required) that it produces the desired output. Also in the comments, include the output for r(0), r(1), r(2), ..., r(20). *Hints: (1) I suggest implementing code that prints a list using the method in Claim 5.3, then modifying that code to print a list using the method in Claim 5.4, and then thinking how to implement def r(n) by modifying the code from there. You only need to submit def r(n) and not anything else. Clearly documented attempts at a solution that run and make progress towards the solution will get part marks. Submit your code and comments in bonus6.py.* 

## Solution:

See a6b.py.