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- Word sense disambiguation (WSD), lexical disambiguation, resolving lexical ambiguity, lexical ambiguity resolution.


## How big is the problem?

- Most words of English have only one sense. (62\% in Longman's Dictionary of Contemporary English; 79\% in WordNet.)
- But the others tend to have several senses. (Avg 3.83 in LDOCE; 2.96 in WordNet.)
- Ambiguous words are more frequently used (In British National Corpus, 84\% of instances have more than one sense in WordNet.)
- Some senses are more frequent than others.


Words occurring in the British National Corpus are plotted on the horizontal axis in rank order by frequency in the corpus. Number of WordNet senses per word is plotted on the vertical axis. Each point represents a bin of 100 words and the average number of senses of words in the bin.
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In each column, the senses are ordered by frequency, normalized per word, and averaged over all words with that number of senses.

- Dictionaries, WordNet list senses of a word. Often, no agreement on proper sensedivision of words.
Don't want sense-divisions to be too coarsegrained or too fine-grained.

Frequent criticism of WordNet
trench (trěnch) $n$. 1. A deep furrow or ditch. 2. A long, narrow ditch embanked with its own soil and used for concealment and protection in warfare. 3. A long, steep-sided valley on the ocean floor. -trench $v$. trenched, trench-ing, trench-es. -tr. 1. To

The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (3rd edition)

> trench /trent $\int / n$ ditch dug in the ground, eg for drainage or to give troops shelter from enemy fire: irrigation trenches o The workmen dug a trench for

Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary (encyclopedic edition)
lif•ter (lǐt'ər) n. 1.a. A disorderly accumulation of objects; a pile. b. Carelessly discarded refuse, such as wastepaper: the litter in the streets after a parade. 2. The offspring produced at one birth by a multiparous mammal. See Synonyms at flock ${ }^{1}$. 3. a. Material, such as straw, used as bedding for animals. b. An absorbent material, such as granulated clay, for covering the floor of an animal's cage or excretory box. 4. An enclosed or curtained couch mounted on shafts and used to carry a single passenger. 5. A flat supporting framework, such as a piece of canvas stretched between parallel shafts, for carrying a disabled or dead person; a stretcher. 6. The uppermost layer of the forest floor consisting chiefly of fallen leaves and other decaying organic matter. - litter
litter $/ \operatorname{lita}(\mathrm{r}) / n 1$ (a) [U] light rubbish (eg bits of paper, wrappings, bottles) left lying about, esp in a public place: Please do not leave litter. $\Rightarrow$ article at environment. (b) [sing] state of untidiness: Her desk was covered in a litter of books and papers. $\circ$ His room was a litter of old clothes, dirty crockery and broken furniture. 2 [U] straw, etc used as bedding for animals. 3 [CGp] all the young born to an animal at one time: a litter of puppies. 4 [C] (a) type of stretcher(1). (b) (formerly) couch carried on men's shoulders or by animals as a means of transport.

## What counts as the right answer?

Often, no agreement on which sense a given word-token is.

Some tokens seem to have two or more senses at the same time.

## Which senses are these?

 1- image

1. a picture formed in the mind;
2. a picture formed of an object in front of a mirror or lens;
3. the general opinion about a person, organization, etc, formed or intentionally created in people's minds;
[and three other senses]
" $\ldots$. of the Garonne, which becomes an unforgettable image. This is a very individual film, mannered, ..."

# Which senses are these? 2 

- distinction

1. the fact of being different;
2. the quality of being unusually good; excellence.
"... before the war, shares with Rilke and Kafka the distinction of having origins which seem to escape ..."

- Therefore, hard to get a definitive sensetagged corpus.
- And hard to get human baseline for performance.
- Human annotators agree about 70-95\% of the time.
[Depending on word, sense inventory, context size, discussions, etc.]
- Assume that input is PoS-tagged. Why?

Obvious baseline algorithm:
Pick most-likely sense (or pick one at random).

- Accuracy: 39-62\%

Simple tricks (1):
Notice when ambiguous word is in unambiguous fixed phrase.

- private school, private eye.
(But maybe not right in all right.)


# Baseline 

Simple tricks (2):
"One sense per discourse":
A homonymous word is rarely used in more than one sense in the same text.

- If word occurs multiple times, ...
- Not true for polysemy.
- Simple tricks (3):

Lesk's algorithm (see below).

- Meaning of word in use depends on (determined by) its context.
- Circumstantial context.
- Textual context.
- Complete text.
- Sentence, paragraph.
- Window of $n$ words.
- Words of context are also ambiguous; need for mutual constraints; often ignored in practice.
- "One sense per collocation".

Collocation: words that tend to co-occur together.

Constraints imposed by one word meaning on another-especially verbs on nouns.
Eagle Airways which has applied to serve New York ... Plain old bean soup, served daily since the turn of the century ...
I don't mind washing dishes now and then.
Sprouted grains and seeds are used in preparing salads and dishes such as chop suey.
It was the most popular dish served in the Ladies' Grill.

- Some words select more strongly than others. see (weak) - drink (moderate) - elapse (strong)
- Negation:
- You can't eat good intentions. It's nonsense to say that a book elapsed.
I am not a crook. (Richard Nixon, 17 Nov 1973 )
Odd events:
- Los Angeles secretary Jannene Swift married a 50-pound pet rock in a formal ceremony in
Lafayette Park. (Newspaper report)


## Limitations of selectional preferences

- Metaphor:

The issue was acute because the exiled Polish Government in London, supported in the main by Britain, was still competing with the new Lublin Government formed behind the Red Army. More time was spent in trying to marry these incompatibles than over any subject discussed at Yalta. ... The application of these formulae could not please both sides, for they really attempted to marry the impossible to the inevitable.

- In practice, attempts to induce selectional preferences or to use them have not been very successful.
- Apply in only about $20 \%$ of cases, achieve about $50 \%$ accuracy. (Minaleea 2006, McCarthy \& Carrol 2003)
- At best, they are a coarse filter for other methods.


## Lesk's a lgor orithm

- Sense $s_{i}$ of ambiguous word $w$ is likely to be the intended sense if many of the words used in the dictionary definition of $s_{i}$ are also used in the definitions of words in the context window.
- For each sense $s_{i}$ of $w$, let $D_{i}$ be the bag of words in its dictionary definition.
- Bag of words: unordered set of words in a string, excepting those that are very frequent (stop list).
- Let $B$ be the bag of words of the dictionary definitions of all senses of all words $v \neq w$ in the context window of $w$. (Might also (or instead) include all $v$ in $B$.)
- Choose the sense $s_{i}$ that maximizes overlap $\left(D_{i}, B\right)$.
... the keyboard of the terminal was ...


## terminal

1. a point on an electrical device at which electric current enters or leaves.
2. where transport vehicles load or unload passengers or goods.
3. an input-output device providing access to a computer.

## keyboard

1. set of keys on a piano or organ or typewriter or typesetting machine or computer or the like.
2. an arrangement of hooks on which keys or locks are hung.

## Lesk's algorithm 2

- Many variants of overlap score, but most common are based on cosine similarity of vectors that count occurrences of each word. Results: Simple versions of Lesk achieve accuracy around 50-60\%; Lesk plus simple smarts gets to nearly $70 \%$.
- Many variants possible on what is included in $D_{i}$ and $B$.
- E.g., include the examples in dictionary definitions.
- E.g., include other manually tagged example texts.
- PoS tags on definitions.
- Give extra weight to infrequent words occurring in the vectors.
- Cosine similarity measures the cosine of the angle between two vectors.
- Inner product normalized by the vector lengths.

$$
\frac{\vec{d}_{j} \cdot \vec{q}}{\left|\vec{d}_{j}\right| \cdot|\vec{q}|}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(w_{i j} \cdot w_{i q}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{ \pm} w_{i j}{ }^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{i q}{ }^{2}}}
$$


$D_{1}=2 T_{1}+3 T_{2}+5 T_{3} \quad \operatorname{CosSim}\left(D_{1}, Q\right)=10 / \sqrt{(4+9+25)(0+0+4)}=0.81$
$D_{2}=3 T_{1}+7 T_{2}+1 T_{3} \quad \operatorname{CosSim}\left(D_{2}, Q\right)=2 / \sqrt{(9+49+1)(0+0+4)}=0.13$
$Q=0 T_{1}+0 T_{2}+2 T_{3}$
$D_{1}$ is 6 times better than $D_{2}$ using cosine similarity but only 5 times better using inner product.

## Maths

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(A \wedge B)}{P(B)}=\frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}
$$

- Typical problem: We have $B$, and want to know which $A$ is now most likely.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{A}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(A \mid B) & =\underset{A}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)} \\
& =\underset{A}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Supervised Bayesian methods 1

- Classify contexts according to which sense of each ambiguous word they tend to be associated with.
- Bayes decision rule: Pick sense, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$, that is most probable in given context, $\mathrm{j}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{C}\right)$.
- Bag-of-words model of context.
- For each sense $s_{k}$ of $w$ in the given context $C$, we know the prior probability $P\left(s_{k}\right)$ of the sense, but require its posterior probability $P\left(s_{k} / C\right)$.
- Want sense s' of word $w$ in context $C$ such that $P\left(s^{\prime} \mid C\right)>P\left(s_{k} \mid C\right)$ for all $s_{k} \neq s^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{\prime} & =\underset{s_{k}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(s_{k} \mid C\right) \\
& =\underset{s_{k}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P\left(C \mid s_{k}\right) P\left(s_{k}\right)}{P(C)} \\
& =\underset{s_{k}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(C \mid s_{k}\right) P\left(s_{k}\right) \\
& =\underset{s_{k}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(s_{k}\right) \prod_{v_{j}} P C\left(v_{j} \mid s_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Bayesian
Naïve Bayes assumption: Attributes $v_{j}$ of context $C$ of sense $s_{k}$ of $w$ are conditionally independent of one another. Hence

$$
P\left(C \mid s_{k}\right)=\prod_{v_{j} \text { in } C} P\left(v_{j} \mid s_{k}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(s_{k}\right) & =\frac{c\left(s_{k}\right)}{c(w)} \\
P\left(v_{j} \mid s_{k}\right) & =\frac{c\left(v_{j}, s_{k}\right)}{c\left(s_{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c\left(v_{j}, s_{k}\right)$ is the number of times $v_{j}$ occurs in the context window of $s_{k}$.

## Training corpora

- Problem: Need large training corpus with each ambiguous word tagged with its sense.
- Expensive, time-consuming human work.
- "Large" for a human is small for WSD training.
- Some sense-tagged corpora:
- SemCor: 700K PoS-tagged tokens (200K WordNet-sense-tagged) of Brown corpus and a short novel.
- Singapore DSO corpus: About 200 "interesting" word-types tagged in about 2M tokens of Brown corpus and Wall Street Journal.
- Systems based on naïve Bayes methods have achieved 62-72\% accuracy for selected words with adequate training data.
(Màrquez etal 2006, Edmonds 2006) but the currently most influential is just an extension of Lesk's algorithm:
(Luo et al. 2018)
- Instead of word counts, use lexical-semantic vectorspace embeddings.
- Use an attention mechanism to distort context-word vectors and dictionary-definition vectors with respect to each other.


## Approace

 dot-products measure the similarity between dictionary senses and context.- Lesk's algorithm: 50-60\%
- Naïve Bayes: 62-72\%
- Neural Lesk: 65-72\%
- Neural Lesk with "sentence" instead of word embeddings: 69-72\%
- Neural hierarchical model with both: 68-73\%
- Verbs are still particularly tough: 56-58\%


## Yarowsky 1995 Unsupervised decision-list learning

## Decision list: ordered list of strong, specific clues to senses of homonym.*

*Yarowsky calls them "polysemous words".

## Decision list for bass:

LogL Context Sense
10.98 fish in $\pm k$ words FISH
10.92 striped bass
9.70 guitar in $\pm k$ words MUSIC
9.20 bass player
9.10 piano in $\pm k$ words MUSIC
8.87 sea bass
8.49 play bass
8.31 river in $\pm k$ words
7.71 on bass
5.32 bass are

FISH

MUSIC

FISH
MUSIC
FISH
MUSIC
FISH

- Separate decision list learned for each homonym.
- Bootstrapped from seeds, very large corpus, heuristics.
- One sense per discourse.
- One sense per collocation.
- Uses supervised classification algorithm to build decision-list.
- Training corpus: 460 M words, mixed texts.


## Yarowsky 1995

- 1-2. Get data (instances of target word); choose seed rules; apply them.
used to strain microscopic plant life from the
zonal distribution of plant life .
close-up studies of plant life and natural too rapid growth of aquatic plant life in water
the proliferation of plant and animal life establishment phase of the plant virus life cycle
that divide life into plant and animal kingdom
many dangers to plant and animal life mammals . Animal and plant life are delicately
automated manufacturing plant in Fremont
vast manufacturing plant and distribution chemical manufacturing plant, producing viscose keep a manufacturing plant profitable without computer manufacturing plant and adjacent discovered at a St. Louis plant manufacturing copper manufacturing plant found that they copper wire manufacturing plant, for example s cement manufacturing plant in Alpena
vinyl chloride monomer plant, which is molecules found in plant and animal tissue Nissan car and truck plant in Japan is and Golgi apparatus of plant and animal cells union responses to plant closures .
cell types found in the plant kingdom are company said the plant is still operating Although thousands of plant and animal species animal rather than plant tissues can be


Initial state after use of seed rules

## Yarowsky 1995

3. Iterate:

- 3a. Create a new decision-list classifier: supervised training with the data tagged so far.
Looks for collocations as features for classification.
- 3b. Apply new classifier to whole data set, tag some new instances.
- 3c. Optional: Apply one-sense-per-discourse rule wherever one sense now dominates a text.


Intermediate state


Final state

## Yarowsky 1995:

- 4. Stop when converged. (Optional: Apply one-sense-per-discourse constraint.)

5. Use final decision list for WSD.

## Yarowsky 1995

 Evaluatio- 400-12,000 hand-tagged instances of each.
- Baseline (most frequent sense) $=63.9 \%$.
- Best results, avg 96.5\% accuracy.
- Base seed on dictionary definition; use one-sense-per-discourse heuristic.
- As good as or better than supervised algorithm used directly on fully labelled data.
- Strength of method:
- The one-sense heuristics.
- Use of precise lexical and positional information.
- Huge training corpus.
- Bootstrapping: Unsupervised use of supervised algorithm.
- Disadvantages:
- Train each word separately.
- Homonyms only. Why?


## Yarowsky 1995

- Not limited to regular words; e.g., in speech synthesis system:
- / as fraction or date:
$3 / 4 \rightarrow$ "three-quarters" or "third of April".
- Roman number as cardinal or ordinal:
chapter VII $\rightarrow$ "chapter seven";
Henry VII $\rightarrow$ "Henry the seventh".


[^0]:    Edmonds, Philip. "Disambiguation, Lexical." Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics (second edition), Elsevier, 2006, pp 607-623.

