Composing graphical models with neural networks for structured representations and fast inference

Matt Johnson, David Duvenaud, Alex Wiltschko, Bob Datta, Ryan Adams






HARVARD INTELLIGENT PROBABILISTIC SYSTEMS

HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL





dart



pause



rear



[1,2]

/b/ /ax/ /n/ /ae/ /n/ /ax/





[1] Lee and Glass. A Nonparametric Bayesian Approach to Acoustic Model Discovery. ACL 2012.
[2] Lee. Discovering Linguistic Structures in Speech: Models and Applications. MIT Ph.D. Thesis 2014.



Frame 0





Alexander Wiltschko, **Matthew Johnson**, et al., Neuron 2015.



image
manifold



depth
video

image
manifold



depth
video

image
manifold



depth
video

image
manifold



depth
video

image
manifold


depth
video
image
manifold


depth
video
image
manifold


depth
video
image
manifold
manifold
coordinates
dart
rear













unsupervised learning



supervised learning



Probabilistic graphical models	Deep learning
+ structured representations	– neural net “goo”
+ priors and uncertainty	– difficult parameterization
+ data and computational efficiency	– can require lots of data
– rigid assumptions may not fit	+ flexible
– feature engineering	+ feature learning
– top-down inference	+ recognition networks


MAKE PGMS
GREAT AGAIN

Modeling idea: graphical models on latent variables,
neural network models for observations



Inference: recognition networks output conjugate potentials,
then apply fast graphical model inference





Application: learn syllable representation of behavior from video



Modeling idea: graphical models on latent variables,
neural network models for observations





$$z_{t+1} \sim \pi^{(z_t)}$$





$$x_{t+1} = A^{(z_t)} x_t + B^{(z_t)} u_t \qquad u_t \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$






$\pi =$
$\pi^{(1)}$
$\pi^{(2)}$
$\pi^{(3)}$


$A^{(1)}$
$A^{(2)}$
$A^{(3)}$
$B^{(1)}$
$B^{(2)}$
$B^{(3)}$


z_1
z_2
z_3
z_4
z_5
z_6
z_7
x_1
x_2
x_3
x_4
x_5
x_6
x_7


mm


mm


mm


θ
z_1
z_2
z_3
z_4
z_5
z_6
z_7
x_1
x_2
x_3
x_4
x_5
x_6
x_7


mm
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
110
120
130
140
150


θ
z_1
z_2
z_3
z_4
z_5
z_6
z_7
x_1
x_2
x_3
x_4
x_5
x_6
x_7


mm
mm
mm



$$y_t \,|\, x_t, \gamma \;\sim\; \mathcal{N}(\mu(x_t;\gamma),\, \Sigma(x_t;\gamma))$$







$p(\theta)$ conjugate prior on global variables

$p(x \mid \theta)$ exponential family on local variables

$p(\gamma)$ any prior on observation parameters

$p(y \mid x, \gamma)$ neural network observation model



[1]

Gaussian mixture model



[2]

Linear dynamical system



[3]

Hidden Markov model



[4]

Switching LDS



[5]

Mixture of Experts



[2]

Driven LDS



[6]

IO-HMM



[7]

Factorial HMM



[8,9]

Canonical correlations analysis



[10]

admixture / LDA / NMF

[1] Palmer, Wipf, Kreutz-Delgado, and Rao. Variational EM algorithms for non-Gaussian latent variable models. NIPS 2005.
[2] Ghahramani and Beal. Propagation algorithms for variational Bayesian learning. NIPS 2001.
[3] Beal. Variational algorithms for approximate Bayesian inference, Ch. 3. U of London Ph.D. Thesis 2003.
[4] Ghahramani and Hinton. Variational learning for switching state-space models. Neural Computation 2000.
[5] Jordan and Jacobs. Hierarchical Mixtures of Experts and the EM algorithm. Neural Computation 1994.
[6] Bengio and Frasconi. An Input Output HMM Architecture. NIPS 1995.
[7] Ghahramani and Jordan. Factorial Hidden Markov Models. Machine Learning 1997.
[8] Bach and Jordan. A probabilistic interpretation of Canonical Correlation Analysis. Tech. Report 2005.
[9] Archambeau and Bach. Sparse probabilistic projections. NIPS 2008.
[10] Hoffman, Bach, Blei. Online learning for Latent Dirichlet Allocation. NIPS 2010.



Inference?



$p(x \mid \theta)$ is linear dynamical system
$p(y \mid x, \theta)$ is linear-Gaussian
$p(\theta)$ is conjugate prior



$$q(\theta)q(x) \approx p(\theta, x \mid y)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\, q(\theta)q(x)\,] \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,x,y)}{q(\theta)q(x)}\right]$$

$$q(\theta) \leftrightarrow \eta_\theta \qquad q(x) \leftrightarrow \eta_x$$



$p(x \mid \theta)$ is linear dynamical system
$p(y \mid x, \theta)$ is linear-Gaussian
$p(\theta)$ is conjugate prior



$$q(\theta)q(x) \approx p(\theta, x \mid y)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,x,y)}{q(\theta)q(x)}\right]$$

$$\eta_x^*(\eta_\theta) \triangleq \arg\max_{\eta_x} \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{SVI}}(\eta_\theta) \triangleq \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x^*(\eta_\theta))$$

Proposition (natural gradient SVI of Hoffman et al. 2013)

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\mathcal{L}_{\text{SVI}}(\eta_\theta) = \eta_\theta^0 + \mathbb{E}_{q^*(x)}(t_{xy}(x, y), 1) - \eta_\theta$$



$p(x \mid \theta)$ is linear dynamical system
$p(y \mid x, \theta)$ is linear-Gaussian
$p(\theta)$ is conjugate prior



$$q(\theta)q(x) \approx p(\theta, x \mid y)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,x,y)}{q(\theta)q(x)}\right]$$

$$\eta_x^*(\eta_\theta) \triangleq \arg\max_{\eta_x} \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{SVI}}(\eta_\theta) \triangleq \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x^*(\eta_\theta))$$

Proposition (natural gradient SVI of Hoffman et al. 2013)

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\mathcal{L}_{\text{SVI}}(\eta_\theta) = \eta_\theta^0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{q^*(x_n)}(t_{xy}(x_n, y_n), 1) - \eta_\theta$$

Step 1: compute evidence potentials



[1] **Johnson** and Willsky. Stochastic variational inference for Bayesian time series models. ICML 2014.
[2] Foti, Xu, Laird, and Fox. Stochastic variational inference for hidden Markov models. NIPS 2014.

Step 1: compute evidence potentials



[1] **Johnson** and Willsky. Stochastic variational inference for Bayesian time series models. ICML 2014.
[2] Foti, Xu, Laird, and Fox. Stochastic variational inference for hidden Markov models. NIPS 2014.

Step 1: compute evidence potentials



Step 2: run fast message passing



Step 3: compute natural gradient



[1] **Johnson** and Willsky. Stochastic variational inference for Bayesian time series models. ICML 2014.
[2] Foti, Xu, Laird, and Fox. Stochastic variational inference for hidden Markov models. NIPS 2014.



$q^*(x) \triangleq \arg\max_{q(x)} \mathcal{L}[\, q(\theta)q(x)\,]$

Natural gradient SVI

+ optimal local factor

– expensive for general obs.

+ exploits conj. graph structure

+ natural gradients



$q^*(x) \triangleq \mathcal{N}(x \,|\, \mu(y;\phi), \Sigma(y;\phi))$

Variational autoencoders [1,2]

– suboptimal local factor

+ fast for general obs.

– ϕ does all local inference

– no natural gradients



$q^*(x) \triangleq$?

Structured VAEs

± optimal given conj. evidence

+ fast for general obs.

+ exploits conj. graph structure

+ natural gradients on η_θ

[1] Kingma and Welling. Auto-encoding variational Bayes. ICLR 2014.
[2] Rezende, Mohamed, and Wierstra. Stochastic backpropagation and approximate inference in deep generative models. ICML 2014

Inference: recognition networks output conjugate potentials, then apply fast graphical model inference









$$\mathcal{L}[\, q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)\,] \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,\gamma,x)p(y \mid x,\gamma)}{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\right]$$

$$q(\theta) \leftrightarrow \eta_\theta \qquad q(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \eta_\gamma \qquad q(x) \leftrightarrow \eta_x$$





$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \eta_x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,\gamma,x)p(y \mid x,\gamma)}{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\right]$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x, \phi) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\left[\log \frac{p(\theta,\gamma,x)\exp\{\psi(x;y,\phi)\}}{q(\theta)q(\gamma)q(x)}\right]$$

where $\psi(x; y, \phi)$ is a conjugate potential for $p(x \mid \theta)$

$\mathbb{E}_{q(\gamma)} \log p(y_t \mid x_t, \gamma)$



$\psi(x_t; y_t, \phi)$

$$\eta_x^*(\eta_\theta, \phi) \triangleq \arg\max_{\eta_x} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_x, \phi) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{SVAE}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \phi) \triangleq \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \eta_x^*(\eta_\theta, \phi))$$

Fact (conjugate graphical models are easy)

The local variational parameter $\eta_x^*(\eta_\theta, \phi)$ is easy to compute.

Proposition (log evidence lower bound)





if $\exists\, \phi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\psi(x; y, \phi) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\gamma)} \log p(y \,|\, x, \gamma)$

Proposition (reparameterization trick)

Estimate $\nabla_{\eta_\gamma, \phi} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SVAE}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \phi)$ with samples $\hat{\gamma} \sim q(\gamma)$ and $\hat{x} \sim q^*(x \,|\, \phi)$ via

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SVAE}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \phi) \approx \log p(y \,|\, \hat{x}, \hat{\gamma}) - \text{KL}(q(\theta)q(\gamma)q^*(x \,|\, \phi) \,\|\, p(\theta, \gamma, x))$$

Proposition (easy natural gradient)

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\eta_\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SVAE}}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \phi) = (\eta_\theta^0 + \mathbb{E}_{q^*(x \,|\, \phi)}(t_x(x), 1) - \eta_\theta) + (\nabla_{\eta_x} \mathcal{L}(\eta_\theta, \eta_\gamma, \eta_x^*(\eta_\theta, \phi)), 0)$$

Step 1: apply recognition network



Step 1: apply recognition network



Step 1: apply recognition network



Step 2: run fast PGM algorithms



Step 3: sample, compute flat grads



Step 4: compute natural gradient



```python
from autograd import value_and_grad as vgrad
from util import add, sub, scale, unbox


def make_gradfun(run_inference, recognize, loglike, eta_prior, return_flat=False):
    saved = lambda: None

    def mc_vlb(eta, gamma, phi, y_n, N, L):
        T = y_n.shape[0]
        nn_potentials = recognize(y_n, phi)
        samples, stats, global_kl, local_kl = run_inference(
            eta_prior, eta, nn_potentials, num_samples=L)
        saved.stats = scale(N, unbox(stats))
        return -global_kl + N * (-local_kl + loglike(y_n, samples, gamma))

    def gradfun(y_n, N, L, eta, gamma, phi):
        objective = lambda (gamma, phi): mc_vlb(eta, gamma, phi, y_n, N, L)
        vlb, (gamma_grad, phi_grad) = vgrad(objective)((gamma, phi))
        eta_natgrad = sub(add(eta_prior, saved.stats), eta)
        return vlb, (eta_natgrad, gamma_grad, phi_grad)

    return gradfun
```























data space



latent space


data
predictions
latent states
0
20
40
60
80
frame index


10
5
0
−5
−10
−15
$\mathcal{L}$
0
1000
2000
3000
4000
iteration
flat
gradient
natural
gradient

Application: learn syllable representation of behavior from video















start rear



fall from rear



grooming

Discovery of Heterozygous Phenotypes in Rorlb Mice



Alexander Wiltschko, **Matthew Johnson**, et al., Neuron 2015.

… and high and low doses of each drug



from Alex Wiltschko preprint

Modeling idea: graphical models on latent variables,
neural network models for observations



Inference: recognition networks output conjugate potentials,
then apply fast graphical model inference





Application: learn syllable representation of behavior from video



Limitations and future work

capacity

- How expressive is latent linear structure?
  - word embeddings [1], analogical reasoning in image models
  - SVAE can use nonlinear latent structure

complexity

- PGMs get complicated
  - SVAE keeps complexity modular

future work

- model-based reinforcement learning
- automatic structure search [2,3]
- semi-supervised applications

[1] Hashimoto, Alvarez-Melis, and Jaakkola, Word, graph and manifold embedding from Markov processes, Preprint 2015.
[2] Grosse et al., Exploiting compositionality to explore a large space of model structures, UAI 2012.
[3] Duvenaud et al., Structure discovery in nonparametric regression through compositional kernel search, ICML 2013.