

# CSC373 Summer '22

## Tutorial 2

May 26, 2022

### Q1 Interval Scheduling on $m$ Machines (Clue for A1Q3!)

Let us recall the interval scheduling problem from class. We are given  $n$  jobs, where each job  $I_j = [s_j, f_j]$  is an interval. Two jobs are *compatible* if their intervals have empty intersection. In class, we saw how to schedule a maximum number of mutually compatible jobs on one machine: consider the jobs one-by-one in an increasing order of their finish time (EFT), and greedily pick the job being considered if it is compatible with the ones picked so far.

Now, suppose that we have  $m$  machines available instead of just one. A feasible schedule can be thought of as a mapping  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , where job  $I_j$  is assigned to machine  $k$  if  $\sigma(j) = k > 0$ , and unassigned if  $\sigma(j) = 0$ . Jobs scheduled on any single machine must still be mutually compatible. Subject to that, we want to maximize the number of jobs scheduled, i.e.,  $|\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \sigma(j) > 0\}|$ .

(a) Consider the following Earliest Start Time (EST) algorithm.

---

**Algorithm 1:** m-ISP-EST

---

```
1 Sort the jobs in an increasing order of their start time so that  $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_n$ 
2 for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  do
3   | if there is a machine  $k$  such that all jobs assigned to it are compatible with  $I_j$  then
4   |   | Assign job  $I_j$  to any such machine  $k$ 
5   | else
6   |   | Let job  $I_j$  remain unscheduled
7   | end
8 end
```

---

Consider the following attempt to prove optimality of this algorithm.

1. Consider any job  $I_j$  that the algorithm does not schedule.
2. At that point, each machine must have a job scheduled that conflicts with  $I_j$ .
3. As seen in class, all  $m$  conflicting jobs must have start time earlier than  $s_j$  (due to the EST order) and finish time after  $s_j$  (since they conflict with  $I_j$ ).
4. Hence, there are at least  $m + 1$  jobs that all contain time  $s_j$  in their intervals.
5. Since there are only  $m$  machines, even the optimal algorithm must drop at least one of them.
6. The optimal algorithm drops a job for every job dropped by our EST algorithm. Hence, our EST algorithm schedules the maximum number of jobs.

Which step(s) of the argument above are flawed, and why?

(b) Next, consider the Earliest Finish Time (EFT) algorithm.

---

**Algorithm 2:** m-ISP-EFT

---

```
1 Sort the jobs in an increasing order of their finish time so that  $f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_n$ 
2 for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  do
3   | if there is a machine  $k$  such that all jobs assigned to it are compatible with  $I_j$  then
4   |   | Assign job  $I_j$  to any such machine  $k$ 
5   | else
6   |   | Let job  $I_j$  remain unscheduled
7   | end
8 end
```

---

Prove that this algorithm does not always yield an optimal solution by producing a counterexample.

### Q2 Cops and Robbers

You are given an array  $A[1, \dots, n]$  with the following specifications:

- Each element  $A[i]$  is either a cop ('c') or a robber ('r').
- A cop can catch any robber who is within a distance of  $K$  units from the cop, but each cop can catch at most one robber.

Write an algorithm to find the maximum number of robbers that can be caught.