CSC 311: Introduction to Machine Learning

Lecture 5 - Multiclass Classification & Neural Networks

Amir-massoud Farahmand & Emad A.M. Andrews

University of Toronto

Overview

- Classification: predicting a discrete-valued target
  - Binary classification: predicting a binary-valued target
  - Multiclass classification: predicting a discrete(> 2)-valued target

- Examples of multi-class classification
  - predict the value of a handwritten digit
  - classify e-mails as spam, travel, work, personal

Multiclass Classification

- Classification tasks with more than two categories:





Multiclass Classification

- Targets form a discrete set $\{1, \ldots, K\}$.
- It's often more convenient to represent them as one-hot vectors, or a one-of-K encoding:

$$\mathbf{t} = \underbrace{(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)}_{\text{entry } k \text{ is } 1} \in \mathbb{R}^K$$

Multiclass Classification

- Now there are D input dimensions and K output dimensions, so we need $K \times D$ weights, which we arrange as a weight matrix $\mathbf{W}$.
- Also, we have a K-dimensional vector $\mathbf{b}$ of biases.
- Linear predictions:

$$z_k = \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{kj} x_j + b_k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, ..., K$$

- Vectorized:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$

Multiclass Classification

- Predictions are like probabilities: want $1 \geq y_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_k y_k = 1$
- A natural activation function to use is the softmax function, a multivariable generalization of the logistic function:

$$y_k = \mathrm{softmax}(z_1, \ldots, z_K)_k = \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{k'} e^{z_{k'}}}$$

- The inputs z_k are called the logits.
- Properties:
  - Outputs are positive and sum to 1 (so they can be interpreted as probabilities)
  - If one of the z_k is much larger than the others, $\mathrm{softmax}(\mathbf{z})_k \approx 1$ (behaves like argmax).
  - **Exercise:** how does the case of $K = 2$ relate to the logistic function?
- Note: sometimes $\sigma(\mathbf{z})$ is used to denote the softmax function; in this class, it will denote the logistic function applied elementwise.

Multiclass Classification

- If a model outputs a vector of class probabilities, we can use cross-entropy as the loss function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{CE}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{K} t_k \log y_k \\ &= -\mathbf{t}^\top (\log \mathbf{y}),\end{aligned}$$

  where the log is applied elementwise.

- Just like with logistic regression, we typically combine the softmax and cross-entropy into a softmax-cross-entropy function.

Multiclass Classification

- Softmax regression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{y} &= \text{softmax}(\mathbf{z}) \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}} &= -\mathbf{t}^\top (\log \mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Gradient descent updates can be derived for each row of $\mathbf{W}$:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_k} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}}{\partial z_k} \cdot \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_k} = (y_k - t_k) \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_k \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \alpha \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_k^{(i)} - t_k^{(i)}) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}
$$

- Similar to linear/logistic reg (no coincidence) (verify the update)

Limits of Linear Classification

- Visually, it's obvious that **XOR** is not linearly separable. But how to show this?



Limits of Linear Classification

Showing that XOR is not linearly separable (proof by contradiction)

- If two points lie in a half-space, line segment connecting them also lie in the same halfspace.
- Suppose there were some feasible weights (hypothesis). If the positive examples are in the positive half-space, then the green line segment must be as well.
- Similarly, the red line segment must line within the negative half-space.



- But the intersection can't lie in both half-spaces. Contradiction!

Limits of Linear Classification

- Sometimes we can overcome this limitation using feature maps, just like for linear regression. E.g., for **XOR**:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

x_1	x_2	$\psi_1(\mathbf{x})$	$\psi_2(\mathbf{x})$	$\psi_3(\mathbf{x})$	t
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	0

- This is linearly separable. (Try it!)
- Not a general solution: it can be hard to pick good basis functions. Instead, we'll use neural nets to learn nonlinear hypotheses directly.

Neural Networks



Inspiration: The Brain

- Neurons receive input signals and accumulate voltage. After some threshold they will fire spiking responses.



[Pic credit: www.moleculardevices.com]

Inspiration: The Brain

- For neural nets, we use a much simpler model neuron, or **unit**:



output weights bias

$$y = \phi\left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b\right)$$

activation function inputs

- Compare with logistic regression: $y = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b)$



- By throwing together lots of these incredibly simplistic neuron-like processing units, we can do some powerful computations!

Multilayer Perceptrons

- We can connect lots of units together into a **directed acyclic graph**.
- Typically, units are grouped together into **layers**.
- This gives a **feed-forward neural network**. That's in contrast to **recurrent neural networks**, which can have cycles.



Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each hidden layer i connects N_{i-1} input units to N_i output units.
- In the simplest case, all input units are connected to all output units. We call this a **fully connected layer**. We'll consider other layer types later.
- Note: the inputs and outputs for a layer are distinct from the inputs and outputs to the network.
- If we need to compute M outputs from N inputs, we can do so in parallel using matrix multiplication. This means we'll be using a $M \times N$ matrix
- The output units are a function of the input units:

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}) = \phi\left(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\right)$$

- A multilayer network consisting of fully connected layers is called a **multilayer perceptron**. Despite the name, it has nothing to do with perceptrons!



Multilayer Perceptrons

Some activation functions:



Identity

$$y = z$$



Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)

$$y = \max(0, z)$$



Soft ReLU

$$y = \log 1 + e^z$$

Some activation functions:



Hard Threshold

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z \leq 0 \end{cases}$$



Logistic

$$y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$



Hyperbolic Tangent (tanh)

$$y = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}}$$

Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each layer computes a function, so the network computes a composition of functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}^{(1)} &= f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)}) \\
\mathbf{h}^{(2)} &= f^{(2)}(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}) \\
&\vdots \\
\mathbf{y} &= f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)})
\end{aligned}$$



- Or more compactly:

$$\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

- Neural nets provide modularity: we can implement each layer's computations as a black box.

Feature Learning

Last layer:

- If task is regression: choose
  $\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}) = (\mathbf{w}^{(L)})^T \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} + b^{(L)}$
- If task is binary classification: choose
  $\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}) = \sigma((\mathbf{w}^{(L)})^T \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} + b^{(L)})$
- Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:



- The goal:



Feature Learning

- Suppose we're trying to classify images of handwritten digits. Each image is represented as a vector of $28 \times 28 = 784$ pixel values.
- Each first-layer hidden unit computes $\phi(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x})$. It acts as a **feature detector**.
- We can visualize $\mathbf{w}$ by reshaping it into an image. Here's an example that responds to a diagonal stroke.



Feature Learning

Here are some of the features learned by the first hidden layer of a handwritten digit classifier:



Expressive Power

- We've seen that there are some functions that linear classifiers can't represent. Are deep networks any better?
- Suppose a layer's activation function was the identity, so the layer just computes a affine transformation of the input
  - We call this a linear layer
- Any sequence of *linear* layers can be equivalently represented with a single linear layer.

$$\mathbf{y} = \underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(3)}\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{W}^{(1)}}_{\triangleq \mathbf{W}'}\mathbf{x}$$

  - Deep linear networks are no more expressive than linear regression.

Expressive Power

- Multilayer feed-forward neural nets with *nonlinear* activation functions are **universal function approximators**: they can approximate any function arbitrarily well.
- This has been shown for various activation functions (thresholds, logistic, ReLU, etc.)
  - Even though ReLU is "almost" linear, it's nonlinear enough.



Multilayer Perceptrons

Designing a network to classify XOR:

Assume hard threshold activation function



Multilayer Perceptrons



- h_1 computes $\mathbb{I}[x_1 + x_2 - 0.5 > 0]$
  - i.e. x_1 OR x_2
- h_2 computes $\mathbb{I}[x_1 + x_2 - 1.5 > 0]$
  - i.e. x_1 AND x_2
- y computes $\mathbb{I}[h_1 - h_2 - 0.5 > 0] \equiv \mathbb{I}[h_1 + (1 - h_2) - 1.5 > 0]$
  - i.e. h_1 AND (NOT h_2) $= x_1$ XOR x_2

Expressive Power

Universality for binary inputs and targets:

- Hard threshold hidden units, linear output
- Strategy: 2^D hidden units, each of which responds to one particular input configuration

x_1	x_2	x_3	t
	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
-1	-1	1	-1
-1	1	-1	1
-1	1	1	1
	$\vdots$		$\vdots$



- Only requires one hidden layer, though it needs to be extremely wide.

Expressive Power

- What about the logistic activation function?
- You can approximate a hard threshold by scaling up the weights and biases:



$$y = \sigma(x)$$



$$y = \sigma(5x)$$

- This is good: logistic units are differentiable, so we can train them with gradient descent.

Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
  - You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
  - How can you find the appropriate weights to represent a given function?
  - If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
  - We desire a *compact* representation.

Training Neural Networks with Backpropagation

Recap: Gradient Descent

- **Recall:** gradient descent moves opposite the gradient (the direction of steepest descent)



- Weight space for a multilayer neural net: one coordinate for each weight or bias of the network, in *all* the layers
- Conceptually, not any different from what we've seen so far — just higher dimensional and harder to visualize!
- We want to define a loss $\mathcal{L}$ and compute the gradient of the cost $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$, which is the vector of partial derivatives.
  - This is the average of $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$ over all the training examples, so in this lecture we focus on computing $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$.

Univariate Chain Rule

- We've already been using the univariate Chain Rule.
- Recall: if $f(x)$ and $x(t)$ are univariate functions, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(x(t)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Univariate Chain Rule

Recall: Univariate logistic least squares model

$$z = wx + b$$
$$y = \sigma(z)$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$$

Let's compute the loss derivatives $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b}$

Univariate Chain Rule

How you would have done it in calculus class

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(wx+b)-t) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(wx+b) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(wx+b)-t) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(wx+b) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

What are the disadvantages of this approach?

A more structured way to do it

Computing the loss:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y} &= y - t \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y}\,\sigma'(z) \\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial w} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}w} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}\,x \\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial b} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}b} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember, the goal isn't to obtain closed-form solutions, but to be able to write a program that efficiently computes the derivatives.

Univariate Chain Rule

- We can diagram out the computations using a **computation graph**.
- The nodes represent all the inputs and computed quantities, and the edges represent which nodes are computed directly as a function of which other nodes.

Computing the loss:

$$z = wx + b$$

$$y = \sigma(z)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$$



Univariate Chain Rule

A slightly more convenient notation:

- Use $\overline{y}$ to denote the derivative $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}y$, sometimes called the **error signal**.
- This emphasizes that the error signals are just values our program is computing (rather than a mathematical operation).

Computing the loss:

$$\begin{aligned} z &= wx + b \\ y &= \sigma(z) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Computing the derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{y} &= y - t \\ \overline{z} &= \overline{y}\, \sigma'(z) \\ \overline{w} &= \overline{z}\, x \\ \overline{b} &= \overline{z} \end{aligned}$$

Multivariate Chain Rule

Problem: what if the computation graph has **fan-out** > 1?
This requires the **multivariate Chain Rule**!

L_2-Regularized regression



$$z = wx + b$$
$$y = \sigma(z)$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$$
$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}w^2$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{L} + \lambda\mathcal{R}$$

Softmax regression



$$z_\ell = \sum_j w_{\ell j} x_j + b_\ell$$
$$y_k = \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_\ell e^{z_\ell}}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_k t_k \log y_k$$

Multivariate Chain Rule

- Suppose we have a function $f(x, y)$ and functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. (All the variables here are scalar-valued.) Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(x(t), y(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$



- Example:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= y + e^{xy} \\ x(t) &= \cos t \\ y(t) &= t^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Plug in to Chain Rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= (ye^{xy}) \cdot (-\sin t) + (1 + xe^{xy}) \cdot 2t \end{aligned}$$

Multivariable Chain Rule

- In the context of backpropagation:

Mathematical expressions
to be evaluated

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Values already computed
by our program



- In our notation:

$$\bar{t} = \overline{x}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \overline{y}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Full backpropagation algorithm:

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ be a **topological ordering** of the computation graph (i.e. parents come before children.)



v_N denotes the variable we're trying to compute derivatives of (e.g. loss).

forward pass

For $i = 1, \ldots, N$

Compute v_i as a function of $\mathrm{Pa}(v_i)$

backward pass

$\overline{v_N} = 1$

For $i = N-1, \ldots, 1$

$\overline{v_i} = \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Ch}(v_i)} \overline{v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial v_i}$

Backpropagation

Example: univariate logistic least squares regression



Forward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2 \\
\mathcal{R} &= \frac{1}{2}w^2 \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}} &= \mathcal{L} + \lambda \mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}} &= 1 \\
\overline{\mathcal{R}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}} \, \lambda \\
\overline{\mathcal{L}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}} \\
\overline{y} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}} \, (y - t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{z} &= \overline{y} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}z} \\
&= \overline{y} \, \sigma'(z) \\
\overline{w} &= \overline{z} \, \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} + \overline{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}}{\mathrm{d}w} \\
&= \overline{z} \, x + \overline{\mathcal{R}} \, w \\
\overline{b} &= \overline{z} \, \frac{\partial z}{\partial b} \\
&= \overline{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Backpropagation

Multilayer Perceptron (multiple outputs):



Forward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_i &= \sum_j w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j + b_i^{(1)} \\
h_i &= \sigma(z_i) \\
y_k &= \sum_i w_{ki}^{(2)} h_i + b_k^{(2)} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (y_k - t_k)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}} &= 1 \\
\overline{y_k} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}}\,(y_k - t_k) \\
\overline{w_{ki}^{(2)}} &= \overline{y_k}\, h_i \\
\overline{b_k^{(2)}} &= \overline{y_k} \\
\overline{h_i} &= \sum_k \overline{y_k} w_{ki}^{(2)} \\
\overline{z_i} &= \overline{h_i}\, \sigma'(z_i) \\
\overline{w_{ij}^{(1)}} &= \overline{z_i}\, x_j \\
\overline{b_i^{(1)}} &= \overline{z_i}
\end{aligned}
$$

In vectorized form:



Forward pass:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{h} &= \sigma(\mathbf{z}) \\
\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{t}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Backward pass:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}} &= 1 \\
\overline{\mathbf{y}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}}\,(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{t}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{h}^\top \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(2)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{h}} &= \mathbf{W}^{(2)\top}\overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} &= \overline{\mathbf{h}} \circ \sigma'(\mathbf{z}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}^\top \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{z}}
\end{aligned}$$

Computational Cost

- Computational cost of forward pass: one **add-multiply operation** per weight

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j + b_i^{(1)}$$

- Computational cost of backward pass: two add-multiply operations per weight

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w_{ki}^{(2)}} &= \overline{y_k}\, h_i \\ \overline{h_i} &= \sum_k \overline{y_k} w_{ki}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

- Rule of thumb: the backward pass is about as expensive as two forward passes.
- For a multilayer perceptron, this means the cost is linear in the number of layers, quadratic in the number of units per layer.

Backpropagation

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
  - Even optimization algorithms much fancier than gradient descent (e.g. second-order methods) use backprop to compute the gradients.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.