CSC 411: Lecture 14: Principal Components Analysis & Autoencoders

Richard Zemel, Raquel Urtasun and Sanja Fidler

University of Toronto

- Dimensionality Reduction
- PCA
- Autoencoders

• One problem with mixture models: each observation assumed to come from one of K prototypes

- One problem with mixture models: each observation assumed to come from one of K prototypes
- Constraint that only one active (responsibilities sum to one) limits the representational power

- One problem with mixture models: each observation assumed to come from one of K prototypes
- Constraint that only one active (responsibilities sum to one) limits the representational power
- Alternative: Distributed representation, with several latent variables relevant to each observation

- One problem with mixture models: each observation assumed to come from one of K prototypes
- Constraint that only one active (responsibilities sum to one) limits the representational power
- Alternative: Distributed representation, with several latent variables relevant to each observation
- Can be several binary/discrete variables, or continuous

Example: Continuous Underlying Variables

• What are the intrinsic latent dimensions in these two datasets?



Example: Continuous Underlying Variables

• What are the intrinsic latent dimensions in these two datasets?



Example: Continuous Underlying Variables

• What are the intrinsic latent dimensions in these two datasets?



• How can we find these dimensions from the data?

• PCA: most popular instance of second main class of unsupervised learning methods, projection methods, aka dimensionality-reduction methods

- PCA: most popular instance of second main class of unsupervised learning methods, projection methods, aka dimensionality-reduction methods
- Aim: find a small number of "directions" in input space that explain variation in input data; re-represent data by projecting along those directions

- PCA: most popular instance of second main class of unsupervised learning methods, projection methods, aka dimensionality-reduction methods
- Aim: find a small number of "directions" in input space that explain variation in input data; re-represent data by projecting along those directions
- Important assumption: variation contains information

- PCA: most popular instance of second main class of unsupervised learning methods, projection methods, aka dimensionality-reduction methods
- Aim: find a small number of "directions" in input space that explain variation in input data; re-represent data by projecting along those directions
- Important assumption: variation contains information
- Data is assumed to be continuous:
 - linear relationship between data and the learned representation

• Handles high-dimensional data

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation
- Useful for:

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation
- Useful for:
 - Visualization

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation
- Useful for:
 - Visualization
 - Preprocessing

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation
- Useful for:
 - Visualization
 - Preprocessing
 - Modeling prior for new data

- Handles high-dimensional data
 - If data has thousands of dimensions, can be difficult for a classifier to deal with
- Often can be described by much lower dimensional representation
- Useful for:
 - Visualization
 - Preprocessing
 - Modeling prior for new data
 - Compression

• As in the previous lecture, training data has N vectors, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, of dimensionality D, so $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$

- As in the previous lecture, training data has N vectors, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, of dimensionality D, so $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$
- Aim to reduce dimensionality:
 - linearly project to a much lower dimensional space, $M \ll D$:

 $\mathbf{x} pprox U\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{a}$

where U is a $D \times M$ matrix and \mathbf{z} a M-dimensional vector

- As in the previous lecture, training data has N vectors, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, of dimensionality D, so $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$
- Aim to reduce dimensionality:
 - linearly project to a much lower dimensional space, $M \ll D$:

 $\mathbf{x} pprox U\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{a}$

where U is a $D \times M$ matrix and **z** a M-dimensional vector

- Search for orthogonal directions in space with the highest variance
 - project data onto this subspace

- As in the previous lecture, training data has N vectors, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, of dimensionality D, so $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$
- Aim to reduce dimensionality:
 - linearly project to a much lower dimensional space, $M \ll D$:

 $\mathbf{x} pprox U\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{a}$

where U is a $D \times M$ matrix and z a M-dimensional vector

- Search for orthogonal directions in space with the highest variance
 - project data onto this subspace
- Structure of data vectors is encoded in sample covariance



• To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)

- To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)
- Calculate the empirical covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T}$$

- To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)
- Calculate the empirical covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T}$$

with $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ the mean

• What's the dimensionality of C?

- To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)
- Calculate the empirical covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T}$$

- What's the dimensionality of C?
- Find the *M* eigenvectors with largest eigenvalues of *C*: these are the principal components

- To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)
- Calculate the empirical covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T}$$

- What's the dimensionality of C?
- Find the *M* eigenvectors with largest eigenvalues of *C*: these are the principal components
- Assemble these eigenvectors into a $D \times M$ matrix U

- To find the principal component directions, we center the data (subtract the sample mean from each variable)
- Calculate the empirical covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T}$$

- What's the dimensionality of C?
- Find the *M* eigenvectors with largest eigenvalues of *C*: these are the principal components
- Assemble these eigenvectors into a $D \times M$ matrix U
- We can now express *D*-dimensional vectors x by projecting them to M-dimensional z

$$\mathbf{z} = U^T \mathbf{x}$$

• Algorithm: to find M components underlying D-dimensional data

- Algorithm: to find M components underlying D-dimensional data
 - 1. Select the top M eigenvectors of C (data covariance matrix):

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T} = U \Sigma U^{T} \approx U_{1:M} \Sigma_{1:M} U_{1:M}^{T}$$

where U is orthogonal, columns are unit-length eigenvectors

$$U^T U = UU^T = 1$$

and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a matrix with eigenvalues on the diagonal, representing the variance in the direction of each eigenvector

- Algorithm: to find M components underlying D-dimensional data
 - 1. Select the top M eigenvectors of C (data covariance matrix):

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{T} = U \Sigma U^{T} \approx U_{1:M} \Sigma_{1:M} U_{1:M}^{T}$$

where U is orthogonal, columns are unit-length eigenvectors

$$U^T U = U U^T = 1$$

and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a matrix with eigenvalues on the diagonal, representing the variance in the direction of each eigenvector

2. Project each input vector x into this subspace, e.g.,

$$z_j = \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{x}; \qquad \mathbf{z} = U_{1:M}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Two Derivations of PCA

- Two views/derivations:
 - Maximize variance (scatter of green points)
 - Minimize error (red-green distance per datapoint)



PCA: Minimizing Reconstruction Error

• We can think of PCA as projecting the data onto a lower-dimensional subspace

PCA: Minimizing Reconstruction Error

- We can think of PCA as projecting the data onto a lower-dimensional subspace
- One derivation is that we want to find the projection such that the best linear reconstruction of the data is as close as possible to the original data

$$J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{n} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)}||^2$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} z_j^{(n)} \mathbf{u}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^{D} b_j \mathbf{u}_j$$

PCA: Minimizing Reconstruction Error

- We can think of PCA as projecting the data onto a lower-dimensional subspace
- One derivation is that we want to find the projection such that the best linear reconstruction of the data is as close as possible to the original data

$$J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{n} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)}||^2$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} z_j^{(n)} \mathbf{u}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^{D} b_j \mathbf{u}_j$$

 Objective minimized when first M components are the eigenvectors with the maximal eigenvalues

$$z_j^{(n)} = \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(n)}; \quad b_j = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{u}_j$$

• Run PCA on 2429 19x19 grayscale images (CBCL data)

- Run PCA on 2429 19x19 grayscale images (CBCL data)
- Compresses the data: can get good reconstructions with only 3 components



- Run PCA on 2429 19x19 grayscale images (CBCL data)
- Compresses the data: can get good reconstructions with only 3 components



- PCA for pre-processing: can apply classifier to latent representation
 - PCA with 3 components obtains 79% accuracy on face/non-face discrimination on test data vs. 76.8% for GMM with 84 states

- Run PCA on 2429 19x19 grayscale images (CBCL data)
- Compresses the data: can get good reconstructions with only 3 components



- PCA for pre-processing: can apply classifier to latent representation
 - PCA with 3 components obtains 79% accuracy on face/non-face discrimination on test data vs. 76.8% for GMM with 84 states
- Can also be good for visualization

Applying PCA to faces: Learned basis



Applying PCA to digits



Relation to Neural Networks

• PCA is closely related to a particular form of neural network

Relation to Neural Networks

- PCA is closely related to a particular form of neural network
- An autoencoder is a neural network whose outputs are its own inputs



Relation to Neural Networks

- PCA is closely related to a particular form of neural network
- An autoencoder is a neural network whose outputs are its own inputs



• The goal is to minimize reconstruction error

Define

$$\mathbf{z} = f(W\mathbf{x}); \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = g(V\mathbf{z})$$

Autoencoders

Define

$$\mathbf{z} = f(W\mathbf{x}); \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = g(V\mathbf{z})$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V}} \quad \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)}||^2$$

Define

$$\mathbf{z} = f(W\mathbf{x}); \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = g(V\mathbf{z})$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V}} \ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)}||^2$$

• If g and f are linear

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V}} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - VW\mathbf{x}^{(n)}||^2$$

Define

$$\mathbf{z} = f(W\mathbf{x}); \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = g(V\mathbf{z})$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V}} \ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(n)}||^2$$

• If g and f are linear

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V}} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - VW\mathbf{x}^{(n)}||^2$$

• In other words, the optimal solution is PCA.

• What if g() is not linear?

- What if g() is not linear?
- Then we are basically doing nonlinear PCA

- What if g() is not linear?
- Then we are basically doing nonlinear PCA
- Some subtleties but in general this is an accurate description