

Soundness and Completeness of Natural Deduction.

Soundness: If $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$, then $\Sigma \models \alpha$.

Completeness: If $\Sigma \models \alpha$, then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$.

Proof outline for soundness:

Each application of a rule is sound.

By induction, any finite # of rule applications is sound.

Soundness of $\forall E$

Oct 30

The $\forall E$ rule: $(\forall x \alpha) \vdash \alpha[t/x]$

for any formula α , variable x , and term t .

Theorem: The $\forall E$ rule is sound. (Show that the entailment $(\forall x \alpha) \vDash \alpha[t/x]$ holds.)

Proof: - Let α be any predicate formula. Let x be any variable.
Let t be any predicate term.

We need to show that $\{(\forall x \alpha)\} \vDash \alpha[t/x]$

- Consider an interpretation and environment (I, E) .
Assume $I \vDash_E (\forall x \alpha)$. We need to show $\alpha[t/x]^{(I, E)} = T$.

- By definition of a \forall formula, $I \vDash_E (\forall x \alpha)$ means that $\alpha^{(I, E[x \mapsto d])} = T$ for every $d \in \text{dom}(I)$. ①

- t is a term. Thus $t^{(I, E)} \in \text{dom}(I)$ by Lemma 1.

- By ①, $\alpha^{(I, E[x \mapsto t^{(I, E)}])} = T$.

- Therefore, $\alpha[t/x]^{(I, E)} = T$ by Lemma 2.

QED.

Lemma 1: any predicate term evaluates to an element of the domain of an interpretation

$t^{(I, E)} \in \text{dom}(I)$ for any predicate term t , any interpretation I , and any environment E .

Lemma 2: $\alpha[t/x]^{(I, E)} = \alpha^{(I, E[x \mapsto t^{(I, E)}])}$

for any predicate formula α , any term t , any interpretation I , and any environment E .

Soundness of $\exists i$.

Oct 30.

The $\exists i$ rule: $\alpha[t/x] \vdash (\exists x \alpha)$

for any formula α , variable x , and term t .

Theorem: The $\exists i$ rule is sound.

Proof: Let α be any predicate formula. Let x be any variable.

Let t be any term. We need to prove that $\alpha[t/x] \models (\exists x \alpha)$

Consider an interpretation and environment (I, E) .

Assume $I \models_E \alpha[t/x]$. We need to show $(\exists x \alpha)^{(I, E)} = T$.

$I \models_E \alpha[t/x]$ is the same as $\alpha[t/x]^{(I, E)} = T$.

By Lemma 2, $\alpha^{(I, E[x \mapsto t^{(I, E)}])} = T$. ①

By Lemma 1, $t^{(I, E)} \in \text{dom}(I)$.

By ①, $\alpha^{(I, E[x \mapsto d])} = T$ for $d = t^{(I, E)}$ which is a domain element.

By definition of a \exists formula, $I \models_E (\exists x \alpha)$.

QED

Oct 30

Theorem (completeness): if $\Sigma \models \alpha$, then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$.

Proof: We will prove the contrapositive of the theorem.

Assume $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$. We need to prove that $\Sigma \not\vdash \alpha$.

Step 1: If $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$, then $\Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\} \not\models \alpha$.

Step 2: If $\Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\} \not\models \alpha$, then there are I and E such that $I \models_E \Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\}$.

Step 3: If there are I and E such that $I \models_E \Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\}$, then $\Sigma \not\vdash \alpha$.

Proof of step 1: ~~We prove this by contradiction.~~

~~By rule $\rightarrow i$, if $\Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\} \vdash \alpha$, then $\Sigma \vdash ((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$~~

~~If $\Sigma \vdash ((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$, then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$, which~~

We prove this by contradiction.

Assume $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$ and $\Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\} \vdash \alpha$.

By rule $\rightarrow i$, if $\Sigma \cup \{(\neg\alpha)\} \vdash \alpha$, then $\Sigma \vdash ((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$.

If $\Sigma \vdash ((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$, then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$. because

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | $((\neg\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$ | premise |
| 2. | $(\neg\alpha)$ | assumption |
| 3. | α | $\rightarrow e: 1, 2$ |
| 4. | \perp | $\perp i: 2, 3$ |
| 5. | $(\neg(\neg\alpha))$ | $\neg i: 2-4$ |
| 6. | α | $\neg\neg e: 5$ |

However, $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ contradicts with our assumption $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$

QED

Oct 30

Proof of the completeness theorem continued:

Proof of step 3:

If there are I and E such that $I \models_E \Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$,
then I and E satisfy Σ but do not satisfy α .

By definition of entailment, this means that $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$
QED.

Proof sketch of step 2:

If $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\} \not\models \alpha$, then there are I and E such that
 $I \models_E \Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$.

We need to construct (I, E) that satisfy $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$.

Let $\text{dom}(I)$ contain all the terms

Constants, variables, and functions all correspond to
terms in $\text{dom}(I)$
each

For predicates, consider each formula in Σ containing the
predicate, we can find an interpretation that makes the
formula true. because $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\} \not\models \alpha$ means that the
set of formulas $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$ does not lead to a contradiction.

QED