

The basic rules of natural deduction:

	<i>introduction</i>	<i>elimination</i>
\wedge	$\frac{\phi \quad \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi} \wedge i$	$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\phi} \wedge e_1 \quad \frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\psi} \wedge e_2$
\vee	$\frac{\phi}{\phi \vee \psi} \vee i_1 \quad \frac{\psi}{\phi \vee \psi} \vee i_2$	$\frac{\phi \vee \psi \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}} \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}}{\chi} \vee e$
\rightarrow	$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \end{array}}}{\phi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow i$	$\frac{\phi \quad \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \rightarrow e$
\neg	$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}}{\neg \phi} \neg i$	$\frac{\phi \quad \neg \phi}{\perp} \neg e$
\perp	(no introduction rule for \perp)	$\frac{\perp}{\phi} \perp e$
$\neg\neg$		$\frac{\neg\neg\phi}{\phi} \neg\neg e$

Some useful derived rules:

$$\frac{\phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \neg\psi}{\neg\phi} \text{MT}$$

$$\frac{\phi}{\neg\neg\phi} \neg\neg i$$

$$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \neg\phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}}{\phi} \text{PBC}$$

$$\frac{}{\phi \vee \neg\phi} \text{LEM}$$

Figure 1.2. Natural deduction rules for propositional logic.

Natural deduction rules

① conjunction rules

$$\frac{x \quad y}{x \wedge y} \quad \wedge i$$

(premises).
formulas that we assume to be true.

inference line \rightarrow $\frac{x \wedge y}{x} \quad \frac{x \wedge y}{y} \quad \wedge e$

② implication rules

subproof \rightarrow $\boxed{\begin{array}{c} x \\ \vdots \\ y \end{array}} \rightarrow$ assumption \rightarrow conclusion $\rightarrow i$

$$\frac{}{x \rightarrow y} \rightarrow i$$

Conclusion.
what we can derive to be true

$$\frac{x \quad x \rightarrow y}{y} \rightarrow e \quad (\text{modus ponens})$$

$$\frac{x \rightarrow z \quad y \rightarrow z}{x \vee y \rightarrow z} \rightarrow e$$

③ disjunction rules

$$\frac{x}{x \vee y} \quad \frac{y}{x \vee y} \quad \vee i$$

$$\frac{x \vee y \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} x \\ \vdots \\ z \end{array}} \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} y \\ \vdots \\ z \end{array}}}{z} \vee e$$

(proof by cases)

④ negation rules \perp also called "bottom"

Contradiction \rightarrow $\boxed{\begin{array}{c} x \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}} \rightarrow$ \perp $\rightarrow i$

$$\frac{}{(\neg x)} \neg i$$

(proof by contradiction)

two names.

$$\frac{x \quad (\neg x)}{\perp} \neg e$$

⑤ contradiction rules

$$\frac{x \quad (\neg x)}{\perp} \perp i (\neg e)$$

\rightarrow False. (we can derive anything from false/contradiction.)

$$\frac{\perp}{x} \perp e$$

⑥ double negation rules (this is also a logical identity)

$$\frac{x}{\neg \neg x} \neg \neg i$$

$$\frac{\neg \neg x}{x} \neg \neg e$$

Natural deduction rules.

A few notes about subproofs.

- It's a small proof inside a big proof.
- Inside a subproof,
 - We can start a subproof with any formula we want. (called an assumption). (The assumption doesn't need to have appeared earlier in the proof.)
 - We can use any formula that has appeared before, inside and/or outside the subproof.
- Outside a subproof:
 - No single formula can escape a subproof. We cannot take a formula inside a subproof and use it later.
 - We have to use the subproof as a whole.

An example of \vee -elimination $\vee e$:

I eat an apple or I eat an orange.	$a \vee o$
If I eat an apple, then I am happy.	$a \rightarrow h$
If I eat an orange, then I am happy.	$o \rightarrow h$
Therefore, I am happy.	h

This inference is valid. You can't be sure whether I ate an apple or an orange, but either way, I am happy.

Natural deduction proof questions

1. $\{(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R\} \vdash (P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R))$
2. $\{(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R))\} \vdash ((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R)$
3. $\{(P \wedge (Q \vee R))\} \vdash ((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R))$
4. $\{(P \rightarrow Q)\} \vdash ((\neg V P) \rightarrow (\neg V Q))$
5. $\{(A \rightarrow (\neg A))\} \vdash (\neg A)$
6. $\{(P \rightarrow Q), (\neg Q)\} \vdash (\neg P)$ (modus tollens)
7. $\{(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)), P, (\neg R)\} \vdash (\neg Q)$
8. $\{((P \wedge (\neg Q)) \rightarrow R), (\neg R), P\} \vdash Q$
9. $\{(\neg A) \wedge (\neg B)\} \vdash (\neg(A \vee B))$

① Show that $\{(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow r\} \vdash (P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow r))$

Proof :

1	$(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow r$	premise
2	P	assumption
3	Q	assumption
4	$(P \wedge Q)$	$\wedge i: 2, 3$
5	r	$\rightarrow e: 1, 4$
6	$(Q \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i: 3-5$
7	$(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow r))$	$\rightarrow i: 2-6$

QED

Fill in the missing justifications in the proof.

For each justification, indicate the name of the rule (e.g. $\wedge i$) and the numbers for the lines the rule applied to.

② Show that $(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)) \vdash ((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R)$

Proof:

1	$(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R))$	premise.
2	$(P \wedge Q)$	assumption.
3	P	$\wedge e: 2$
4	$(Q \rightarrow R)$	$\rightarrow e: 1, 3$
5	Q	$\wedge e: 2$
6	R	$\rightarrow e: 4, 5$
7	$((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R)$	$\rightarrow i: 2-6$

QED.

Write down the premises and the conclusion.

Can I apply an elimination rule to a premise?

Can I apply an introduction rule to get to the conclusion?

③ Show that $\{(P \wedge (Q \vee R))\} \vdash ((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R))$.

Proof:	1	$(P \wedge (Q \vee R))$	premise
	2	P	$\wedge e: 1$
	3	$(Q \vee R)$	$\wedge e: 1$
	4	Q	assumption
	5	$(P \wedge Q)$	$\wedge i: 2, 4$
	6	$((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R))$	$\vee i: 5$
	7	R	assumption
	8	$(P \wedge R)$	$\wedge i: 2, 7$
	9	$((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R))$	$\vee i: 8$
	10	$((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R))$	$\vee e: 3, 4-6, 7-9$

QED.

Fill in the missing justifications in the proof.

For each justification, indicate the name of the rule (e.g. $\wedge i$) and the numbers for the lines the rule applied to.

④ Show that $\{(P \rightarrow Q)\} \vdash (\neg VP) \rightarrow (\neg VQ)$

Proof:

1	$(P \rightarrow Q)$	premise.
2	$(\neg VP)$	assumption
3	\neg	assumption
4	$(\neg VQ)$	$\forall i: 3$
5	P	assumption.
6	Q	$\rightarrow e: 1, 5$
7	$(\neg VQ)$	$\forall i: 6.$
8	$(\neg VQ)$	$\forall e: 2, 3, 4, 5, 7$
9	$((\neg VP) \rightarrow (\neg VQ))$	$\rightarrow i: 2-8.$

QED

① Show that $\{(\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\alpha))\} \vdash (\neg\alpha)$.

Proof:

1.	$(\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\alpha))$	premise.
2.	α	assumption.
3.	$(\neg\alpha)$	$\rightarrow e: 1, 2$
4.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 3$
5.	$(\neg\alpha)$	$\neg i: 2-4$

QED.

Fill in the missing justifications in the proof.

② Show that $\{(P \rightarrow Q), (\neg Q)\} \vdash (\neg P)$ (modus tollens).

Proof:

1.	$P \rightarrow Q$	premise
2.	$(\neg Q)$	premise
3.	P	assumption
4.	Q	$\rightarrow e: 1, 3$
5.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 4$
6.	$(\neg P)$	$\neg i: 3-5$

QED

③ Show that $\{(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)), P, (\neg R)\} \vdash (\neg Q)$.

Proof:	1	$(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R))$	premise
	2	P	premise.
	3	$(\neg R)$	premise
	4	$(Q \rightarrow R)$	$\rightarrow e: 1, 2.$
	5	Q	assumption
	6	R	$\rightarrow e: 4, 5$
	7	\perp	$\perp i: 5, 6.$
	8	$(\neg Q)$	$\neg i: 4-7$

QED.

Fill in the missing justifications in the proof.

④ Show that $\{((P \wedge (\neg Q)) \rightarrow R), (\neg R), P\} \vdash Q$.

Proof:	1.	$((P \wedge (\neg Q)) \rightarrow R)$	premise
	2.	$(\neg R)$	premise
	3.	P	premise
	4	$\neg Q$	assumption
	5	$(P \wedge (\neg Q))$	$\wedge i: 3, 4$
	6	R	$\rightarrow e: 1, 5$
	7	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 6.$
	8	$\neg \neg Q$	$\neg i: 4-7$
	9	Q	$\neg \neg e: 8$

QED

⑤ Show that $\{(\neg\alpha) \wedge (\neg\beta)\} \vdash (\neg(\alpha \vee \beta))$

(This is one part of the De Morgan's law.)

Hints: You may want to use proof by contradiction ($\neg i$) and proof by cases ($\vee e$).

Proof:

1.	$((\neg\alpha) \wedge (\neg\beta))$	premise
2.	$(\alpha \vee \beta)$	assumption
3.	α	assumption
4.	$(\neg\alpha)$	$\neg e: 1$
5.		
6.	\perp	$\perp i$
7.	β	assumption
8.	$(\neg\beta)$	$\neg e: 1$
9.		
10.	\perp	$\perp i$
11.	\perp	$\vee e: 2, 3, 6, 7, 10$
12.	$(\neg(\alpha \vee \beta))$	$\neg i: 2-11$

Derived rules for natural deduction.

1. Modus Tollens (MT) $\{ (a \rightarrow b), (\neg b) \} \vdash (\neg a)$

Proof:

1.	$(a \rightarrow b)$	premise
2.	$(\neg b)$	premise
3.	a	assumption
4.	b	$\rightarrow e: 1, 3$
5.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 4$
6.	$(\neg a)$	$\neg i: 3-5$

QED.

2. Double negation introduction ($\neg\neg i$) $\{ a \} \vdash (\neg(\neg a))$

Proof:

1.	a	premise
2.	$(\neg a)$	assumption
3.	\perp	$\neg e: 1, 2$
4.	$(\neg(\neg a))$	$\neg i: 2, 3$

3. Proof by contradiction (PBC)

$\neg a$
\equiv
\perp
<hr/>
a

PBC

Proof:

1.	$(\neg a) \rightarrow \perp$	given
2.	$(\neg a)$	assumption
3.	\perp	$\rightarrow e: 1, 2$
4.	$(\neg(\neg a))$	$\neg i: 2, 3$
5.	a	$\neg\neg e: 4$

Derived rules for natural deduction

4. Law of excluded middle (LEM) $\emptyset \vdash (a \vee \neg a)$

Proof:	1.	$(\neg(a \vee \neg a))$	assumption
	2	a	assumption
	3	$(a \vee \neg a)$	$\vee i: 2$
	4	\perp	$\neg e: 1, 3$
	5	$(\neg a)$	$\neg i: 2-4$
	6	$(a \vee \neg a)$	$\vee i: 5$
	7	\perp	$\neg e: 1, 6$
	8	$(\neg(\neg(a \vee \neg a)))$	$\neg i: 1-7$
	9	$(a \vee \neg a)$	$\neg\neg e: 8$

QED

Natural deduction examples

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \{P, (q \rightarrow r)\} \vdash ((P \wedge q) \rightarrow r)$$

Proof:

1.	P	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
3.	$P \wedge q$	assumption
4.	q	$\wedge e: 3$
5.	r	$\rightarrow e: 2, 4$
6.	$((P \wedge q) \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i: 3-5$

QED

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{De Morgan's law (direction 1)} \\ \{ \neg(P \vee q) \} \vdash ((\neg P) \wedge (\neg q))$$

Proof:

1.	$\neg(P \vee q)$	premise
2.	P	assumption
3.	$(P \vee q)$	$\vee i: 2$
4.	\perp	$\perp i: 1, 3$
5.	$(\neg P)$	$\neg i: 2-4$
6.	q	assumption
7.	$(P \vee q)$	$\vee i: 6$
8.	\perp	$\perp i: 1, 7$
9.	$(\neg q)$	$\neg i: 6-8$
10.	$(\neg P) \wedge (\neg q)$	$\wedge i: 5, 9$

③ De Morgan's Law (direction ②)

$$\{((\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q))\} \vdash \neg(P \vee Q)$$

Proof:

1.	$((\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q))$	premise
2.	$(\neg P)$	$\wedge e: 1$
3.	$(\neg Q)$	$\wedge e: 1$
4.	$(P \vee Q)$	assumption
5.	P	assumption
6.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 5$
7.	Q	assumption
8.	\perp	$\perp i: 3, 7$
9.	\perp	$\vee e: 4, 5-6, 7-8$
10.	$(\neg(P \vee Q))$	$\neg i: 4-9$

QED

④ $\{P \rightarrow Q\} \vdash ((\neg P) \vee Q)$

Proof:

1.	$(P \rightarrow Q)$	premise
2.	$(\neg((\neg P) \vee Q))$	assumption
3.	$(\neg P)$	assumption
4.	$((\neg P) \vee Q)$	$\vee i: 3$
5.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 4$
6.	$(\neg(\neg P))$	$\neg i: 3-5$
7.	P	$\neg\neg e: 6$
8.	Q	$\rightarrow e: 1, 7$
9.	$((\neg P) \vee Q)$	$\vee i: 8$
10.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 9$
11.	$(\neg(\neg((\neg P) \vee Q)))$	$\neg i: 2-10$
12.	$((\neg P) \vee Q)$	$\neg\neg e: 11$

Natural deduction examples

⑤ $\{(\alpha \vee \beta), (\neg \alpha)\} \vdash \beta$

Proof:

1.	$(\alpha \vee \beta)$	premise
2.	$(\neg \alpha)$	premise
3.	α	assumption
4.	\perp	$\perp i: 2, 3$
5.	β	$\perp e: 4$
6.	β	assumption
7.	β	$\vee e: 1, 3-5, 6$

QED

⑥ $\{ \} \vdash ((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow P)$

Proof:

1.	$(P \wedge Q)$	assumption
2.	P	$\wedge e: 1$
3.	$((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow P)$	$\rightarrow i: 1-2$

QED

⑦ $\{ \} \vdash (\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha))$ (This is a useful derived rule called the law of excluded middle.)

Proof:

1.	$(\neg(\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha)))$	assumption
2.	α	assumption
3.	$(\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha))$	$\vee i: 2$
4.	\perp	$\perp i: 1, 3$
5.	$(\neg \alpha)$	$\neg i: 2-4$
6.	$(\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha))$	$\vee i: 5$
7.	\perp	$\perp i: 1, 6$
8.	$(\neg(\neg(\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha))))$	$\neg i: 1-7$
9.	$(\alpha \vee (\neg \alpha))$	$\neg \neg e: 8$

QED

⑧ $\{(a \rightarrow b), (\neg b)\} \vdash (\neg a)$

(This is a useful derived rule called "modus tollens".)

Proof: 1. $(a \rightarrow b)$ premise

2. $(\neg b)$ premise

3. a assumption

4. b $\rightarrow e: 1, 3$

5. \perp $\perp i: 2, 4$

6. $(\neg a)$ $\neg i: 3-5$

QED