

The question: Given a well-formed predicate logic formula, is it T or F in some context?

In propositional logic, a truth valuation is enough to assign a meaning to a formula.

In predicate logic, we need a lot more.

Properties of formulas

① A formula α is valid if $I \models E \alpha$ for every interpretation I and environment E .

- $I \models E \alpha$ means "I and E make α true or satisfy α ."
- I and E together make up the context.
- "valid" is analogous to "tautology" in prop logic.

② A formula α is satisfiable if $I \models E \alpha$ for some interpretation I and environment E .

③ A formula α is unsatisfiable if $I \not\models E \alpha$ for every interpretation I and environment E .

- "unsatisfiable" is analogous to "contradiction".

Most predicate formulas are satisfiable but not valid because we have a great deal of freedom to choose I and E .

Evaluating a predicate logic formula

Oct 19.

Let's define a set of symbols first.

Constant symbols: a, b, c

Variable symbols: x, y, z

Function symbols: $f^{(1)}, h^{(2)}$

Predicate symbols: $P^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}$

These symbols do not have intrinsic meanings. They only get meanings through interpretations and environments.

Recall that there are two kinds of expressions:
terms and formulas.

Let's divide them into 3 categories.

Category 1: terms and formulas without variables.

Examples: terms: $f(h(f(a), f(c)))$, $f(h(b, f(a)))$
formulas: $Q(f(c), a)$, $P(h(f(a), f(c)))$

We only need an interpretation to give these expressions meaning.

Category 2: terms and formulas with free variables only.

Examples: terms: $h(f(a), z)$, $f(h(y, c))$
formulas: $P(h(f(a), z))$, $Q(y, h(a, b))$.

We need an interpretation and an environment to give these expression meaning.

Category 3: formulas with free and bound variables

Examples: $(\exists x (\forall y R(x, y, h(z, c))))$

Evaluating a Predicate Logic Formula.

An interpretation I consists of a domain and the meanings for all of the constant, function and predicate symbols.

Example: Interpretation I_1 :

Domain $D = \{1, 2, 3\}$

Constants: $a^{I_1} = 1, b^{I_1} = 2, c^{I_1} = 3$

Functions: $f^{I_1}: f(1) = 2, f(2) = 3, f(3) = 1.$

$h^{I_1}: h(x, y) = \min(x, y), \forall x, y \in D.$

Predicates: $P^{I_1} = \{1, 3\}$

$Q^{I_1} = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle\}.$

This notation means $Q(a, b)^{I_1} = T, Q(c, c)^{I_1} = T, Q(c, a)^{I_1} = T$
and Q is false for any other 2-tuples in D^2 .

An interpretation gives a meaning to every symbol even if our terms or formulas do not use the symbol.

Let's interpret the expressions in category 1:

$$f(h(f(a), f(c)))^{I_1} = 2$$

$$f(h(b, f(a)))^{I_1} = 3$$

$$Q(f(c), a)^{I_1} = F$$

$$P(h(f(a), f(c)))^{I_1} = T$$

Evaluating a Predicate Logic Formula

Questions:

① We saw that $Q(f(c), a)^{I_1} = F$, so $I_1 \not\models Q(f(c), a)$.

Is there an interpretation I_2 such that $I_2 \models Q(f(c), a)$?

Let's start with $I_2 = I_1$ and make a small change to I_2

Note that

$$f(c)^{I_2} = 1 \text{ and } a^{I_2} = 1$$

To make Q true, we only need to make sure that

$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle \in Q^{I_2}. \text{ So let } Q^{I_2} = \{ \langle 1, 1 \rangle \}.$$

$$Q(f(c), a)^{I_2} = T, \text{ and so } I_2 \models Q(f(c), a)$$

② We saw that $P(h(f(a), f(c)))^{I_1} = T$.

Is there an interpretation I_3 such that $I_3 \not\models P(h(f(a), f(c)))$?

Let's start with $I_3 = I_1$.

Note that

$$h(f(a), f(c))^{I_3} = h(b, a)^{I_3} = 1.$$

To make this false, we only need to make sure that

$1 \notin P^{I_3}$. Let's define $P^{I_3} = \emptyset$ (the empty set, this predicate P is always false.)

So $P(h(f(a), f(c)))^{I_3} = F$ and $I_3 \not\models P(h(f(a), f(c)))$.

Evaluating a predicate logic formula.

Oct 19

A note about functions in an interpretation.

A function symbol $f^{(k)}$ must be interpreted as a function f^I that is total on D .

$$f^{(k)} : \underbrace{D \times \dots \times D}_{k \text{ copies}} \rightarrow D$$

- ① Any k -tuple in D^k can be an input to $f^{(k)}$.
- ② The output of f^I must be in D .

Examples of non-total functions:

① $f(x, y) = x - y$ Domain $D = \mathbb{N}$ natural numbers
 $f(1, 2) = 1 - 2 = -1 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

② $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ Domain $D = \mathbb{Z}$ Integers.

(1) $-1 \in D$ cannot be an input to f .

(2) $f(2) = \sqrt{2} \notin D$

Examples of total functions

① $D = \{1, 2, 3\}$

$f(1) = 1, f(2) = 1, f(3) = 1$

② $D = \mathbb{N}$ natural numbers

$f(x) = x + 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Evaluating a Predicate Logic Formula

Oct 27

To evaluate terms and formulas with variables, we need an interpretation and an environment.

An environment maps every variable symbol to an element of the domain.

- An environment is only used to interpret free variables.
- Bound variables get their meanings through the corresponding quantifiers.

Example: Environment E_1 :

$$E_1(x) = 3, E_1(y) = 3, E_1(z) = 1$$

Consider expressions in category 2:

$$h(f(a), z) \stackrel{(I_1, E_1)}{=} 1$$

$$f(h(y, c)) \stackrel{(I_1, E_1)}{=} 1$$

$$P(h(f(a), z)) \stackrel{(I_1, E_1)}{=} T$$

$$Q(y, h(a, b)) \stackrel{(I_1, E_1)}{=} T$$

Questions:

① Give an I_4 and E_4 such that $I_4 \not\models_{E_4} P(h(f(a), z))$.

- Let's start with $I_4 = I_1$ and $E_4 = E_1$.

- The easiest way to make P false is to let $P^{I_4} = \phi$. (This means P^{I_4} is always false. Thus, $I_4 \not\models_{E_4} P(h(f(a), z))$ if $P^{I_4} = \phi$.)

② Give an I_5 and E_5 such that $I_5 \not\models_{E_5} Q(y, h(a, b))$.

Using the same idea as above, let $I_5 = I_1$, $Q^{I_5} = \phi$ and $E_5 = E_1$.

We have $I_5 \not\models_{E_5} Q(y, h(a, b))$.