

Soheil Abbasloo

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto

Fall 2022

Outline

- Overlay Networks
- Routing Overlays
- P2P Networks
- Logistics of Final Exam

2





- A logical network built on top of a physical network
- Many logical networks may coexist at once
 - Over the same underlying network
 - And providing its own particular service
- Nodes are often end hosts
 - Acting as intermediate nodes that can forward traffic
 - Providing a service, such as access to files

5

Examples of Overlays

Content Delivery Networks (CDNs)

- Akamai (total asset: \$8.12 billion)
- Cloudflare
- Routing Overlays
 - Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) routers (with their peering relationships)
 - Application-level multicast
- P2P applications
 - Napster
 - Gnutella
 - BitTorrent

Routing Overlays

7

Routing Overlays

- Alternative routing strategies
 - No application-level processing at the overlay nodes
 - Packet-delivery service with new routing strategies
- Incremental enhancements to IP
 - ► IPv6
 - ► Security
 - Multicast
- Revisiting where a function belongs
 - End-system multicast: multicast distribution by end hosts

Example: Incremental Deployment

6Bone Deploying IPv6 over IP4







34.67.0.4 ZK

Private

Example: Security Tor Project

- An overlay to enhance anonymity and privacy
 - Volunteer operated servers
- How Tor Works
 - Obtain a list of Tor nodes from a directory
 - Pick a random path to destination server
 - Select a different path for other servers





Example: Multicast Definition

- Unicast
- One-to-one
- Destination unique receiver host address
- Broadcast
- One-to-all
- Destination address of network
- Multicast
- One-to-many _
- Multicast group must be identified _
- Destination address of group _

Why not simply use unicast multiple times?!

Lots of applications:

- Delivery of news, stock quotes
- Remote conferencing
- Streaming audio and video to many participants ...









Example: Multicast **IP** multicast UBC Stanford UofT Berkeley Routers with multicast support

Highly efficient bandwidth usage **BUT** Technical and business challenges Should multicast be a network-layer service?



Example: Multicast **End-Host-Based Multicast**

- Making a **logical** network on top of the existing physical network
- Multicast tree of end hosts
 - Allow end hosts to form their own multicast tree
 - Hosts receiving the data help forward to others



14



Question

• Assume there are no services like Netflix, Spotify, ...

- You wanna start a new file sharing business to provide free content.
- How do you architect that?

• Assume nothing is illegal!

P2P Networks

Peer-to-Peer

- **Client-server: Traditional Internet Service model**
 - Many clients, 1 (or more) server(s)
 - Web servers, file downloads, video streaming
- **P2P:** A simple alternative
 - Users bring their own resources to the table
 - A cooperative model: clients = peers = servers

• How did it start?

- A killer application: file distribution
- Free music over the Internet! (*not exactly legal...*)
- Key idea: share storage, content, and bandwidth of individual users
 - ► Lots of them
- Big challenge: coordinate all of these users

Peer-to-Peer:

3 Key Requirements

- Help users determine what they want
 - Some form of search
 - P2P version of Google
- Locate that content
 - Which node(s) hold the content?
 - P2P version of DNS (map name to location)
- Download the content
 - Should be efficient
 - P2P form of Akamai

Peer-to-Peer Networks:



- The rise (Shawn Fanning, Northeastern freshman)
 - January 1999: Napster version 1.0
 - May 1999: company founded
 - 2000: 80 million users
 - 2000: ~28% of Uwisc's traffic!*
- The fall
 - September 1999: first lawsuits
 - Mid 2001: out of business due to lawsuits
 - Mid 2001: dozens of P2P alternatives
 - 2003: growth of pay services like iTunes
- Instructive for what it got right
- And wrong ...
- Had a powerful economic message ...
- And also, a legal one ...



Napster Centralized Architecture

Overview





Napster: Overview

- Centralized: Search & Location
 - Centralized index server
 - Centralization of liability
- **Decentralized:** Download
 - Decentralized/p2p file transfer
 - No load on the server
- Advantages:
 - ► Simple
- Disadvantages:
 - Single point of failure (technical and ... legal!)
 - The latter is what got Napster killed

Unstructured P2P Networks

- Fully centralized systems:
 - single points of failure
- **Response:** fully unstructured P2P
 - No central server, peers only connect to each other
 - Queries sent as controlled flood

Gnutella: First decentralized p2p

- Justin Frankel, Nullsoft, 2000
 - AOL was not happy at all!
- Original design: a flat network using Query flooding
- Join via bootstrap node
 - pre-existing address list, or web caches of known nodes, ...
- Connect to random set of existing hosts ("neighbors")
 - Query message sent over existing TCP connections
- Resolve queries by localized flooding
 - ask neighbors (~7), who ask their neighbors, and so on ...
 - when/if found, reply to sender
 - Time to live fields limit hops (typically 10)



gnutella.com



25

Gnutella: Flooding on Overlays



Gnutella: Flooding on Overlays



Gnutella: Flooding on Overlays



Gnutella: Pros and Cons

- Advantages
 - Fully decentralized
 - Search cost distributed
 - Processing per node permits powerful search semantics
- Disadvantages
 - Search scope may be quite large
 - Search time may be quite long
 - High overhead and nodes come and go often
- Users' anecdotal comments: "It stinks"
 - Tough to find anything!
 - Downloads don't complete!
- Response: Hierarchy of nodes

Hierarchical P2P Networks Example: KazaA 2001

- Some nodes better and longer connected than others
 - Use them more heavily
- Cross between Napster and Gnutella
- Join: on startup, client contacts a "supernode"
 - may at some point become one itself
- **Publish**: send list of files to supernode
- Search: send query to supernode,
 - supernodes flood query amongst themselves.
- **Fetch**: get the file directly from peer(s)
- Improves scalability
- Limits flooding
- What if a supernode leaves the network?
 Still no guarantees of performance



KaZaA:

Motivation for Super-Nodes

- Query consolidation
 - Many connected nodes may have only a few files
 - Propagating query to a sub-node may take more time than for the super-node to answer itself
- Stability
 - Super-node selection favors nodes with high up-time
 - How long you've been on is a good predictor of how long you'll be around in the future

Peer-to-Peer Networks



- 2002: B. Cohen debuted BitTorrent
- Key motivation: **popular content**
 - Popularity exhibits temporal locality (Flash Crowds)
 - E.g., release of a new movie or game, Slashdot effect, ...
- Focused on **efficient fetching**, not searching
 - Distribute same file to many peers
- "Swarming"
 - Download from others downloading same object at same time

BitTorrent: Overview

• Swarming:

- Join: contact centralized "tracker" server, get a list of peers
- Search: Out-of-band
 - E.g., use Google to find a tracker for the file you want.
- Fetch: Download chunks of the file from your peers.

- Upload chunks you have to them.

- Big difference compared to Napster:
 - Chunk based downloading
 - "Few large files" focus

*BitTorrent - Wikipedia











BitTorrent:

Components

- Seed
 - Peer with entire file (Fragmented in small pieces ~16KB)
- Leacher
 - Peer with an incomplete copy of the file
- Torrent file
 - Passive component
 - Contains all meta-data related to a torrent
 - File name(s), sizes
 - Torrent hash: hash of the whole file, hash of each piece
 - URL of tracker(s)
- Tracker
 - Allows peers to find each other
 - Returns a list of random peers
 - Later, the creation of Distributed Hash Table (DHT) method led to trackerless torrents
 - We will leave DHT for an advanced distributed course!



С

Peer



С

Peer



С

Peer



С

Peer





С

Peer





С

Peer

The Beauty of BitTorrent!

- More leechers = more replicas of pieces
- More replicas = faster downloads
 - Multiple, redundant sources for each piece
- Even while downloading, leechers take load off the seed(s)
 - Great for content distribution
 - Cost is shared among the swarm

Free-Riding Problem in P2P Networks

- Vast majority of users are free-riders
 - Most share no files and answer no queries
 - Others limit # of connections or upload speed
- A few "peers" essentially act as servers
 - A few individuals contributing to the public good
 - Making them hubs that basically act as a server
- BitTorrent prevents free riding: A "Tit-for-tat" sharing strategy
 - Yang is downloading from some other people
 - Yang will let the fastest N of those download from him
 - Be optimistic: occasionally let freeloaders download
 - Otherwise, no one would ever start!
 - Also allows you to discover better peers to download from when they reciprocate



- uTorrent (a minimalistic version of BT) lunched in Sep 2005
- Spotify bought uTorrent in 2006, before releasing its service (in 2008)
- Spotify uses BT as basic protocol
 - ► Uses server for first 15s
 - Tries to find peers and download from them
 - Only 8.8% of bytes come from servers (@ 2010)
- When 30s left
 - Starts searching for next track
 - Uses sever with 10s to go if no peers found





005 rvice (in 2008)

Peer-to-peer Network





- Does Spotify use BitTorrent anymore? Why?
 - In 2014, they moved away from p2p
- What drove P2P initially?
 - Renting servers was expensive
 - Content was not otherwise available
- What about now?
 - AWS, Azure, Google Cloud, ...
- In 2016 Spotify migrated to Google Cloud

• Is P2P dead?

Still lives on: Bitcoin/Ethereum/...

Overlay networks Wrap Up

- Overlay networks
 - Tunnels between host computers
 - Hosts implement new protocols and services
 - Effective way to build logical networks on top of the Internet
- P2P networks
 - Nodes are end hosts
 - Primarily for file sharing, and recently telephony
 - E.g., Centralized directory (Napster)
 - Query flooding (Gnutella)
 - Super-nodes (KaZaA)
 - Distributed downloading and anti-free-loading (BitTorrent)
- Great examples of how fast changes can happen in application-level protocols

Final Exam: the Logistics



Final Exam Logistics

- Time:
 - ► December 14th
 - For exact location and time, check this:
 - https://www.artsci.utoronto.ca/current/faculty-registrar/exams-assessments/exam-assessmentschedule#exam-assessments-schedule-accordion-1
- Extra office hours on Monday Dec 12 (3-5pm)
- Closed book, closed notes, etc.
- Single two-sided "cheat sheet", handwritten
 - Not bigger than an A4 paper!
- No calculators, electronic devices, etc.
 - For the second secon

General Guidelines (1) Similar to the Midterm!

- Test only assumes material covered in our lectures
 - Fext: only to clarify details and context for the above The test doesn't require you to do complicated calculations
 - Use this as a hint to whether you are on the right track!
- Everything covered
 - With more focus on lectures 6-11
- You do need to understand **how** things work
 - not for the sake of knowing gory details but to understand pros/cons, when a solution is applicable/useful/useless, etc.

General Guidelines (2) Similar to the Midterm!

Be prepared to:

- Weigh design options outside of the context we studied them in e.g., if TCP connections didn't have three-way handshake, then ..."
- Contemplate new designs we haven't talked about
 - e.g., I introduce a new congestion control; how does this affect ..."
- Don't let this daunt you. Reason from what you know about the pros/cons of solutions we did study
 - e.g., circuit switching is inefficient when ...

General Guidelines (3) Exam format

- Q1- Multiple-choice questions (~26 questions)
- Q2- Design questions
- Similar to the midterm:
 - A set of "here's a scenario, tell me if the following is true/false"-style questions
- Q3- Short answer questions
- Q4+ more traditional long questions

Sample questions

Some sample questions for final + Midterm and solutions:

https://t.ly/mxJBo



Questions?