Behavioral Patterns

- Chain of Responsibility (requests through a chain of candidates)
  ✓ Command (encapsulates a request)
- Interpreter (grammars as a class hierarchy)
  ✓ Iterator (abstracts traversal and access)
- Mediator (indirection for loose coupling)
- Memento (externalize and re-instantiate object state)
- Observer (defines and maintains dependencies)
- State (change behaviour according to changed state)
  ✓ Strategy (encapsulates an algorithm in an object)
- Template Method (step-by-step algorithm with inheritance)
  ✓ Visitor (encapsulated distributed behaviour)

Observer

- Define a one-to-many dependency between objects so that when one object changes state, all its dependents are notified and updated automatically.
  - A common side-effect of partitioning a system into a collection of cooperating classes is
    - the need to maintain consistency between related objects
  - You don't want to achieve consistency by making the classes tightly coupled, because that reduces their reusability.
    - a.k.a. Publish-Subscribe
  - Common related/special case use: MVC
    - Model-View-Controller pattern

Motivation

- Separate presentation aspects of the UI from the underlying application data.
  - e.g., spreadsheet view and bar chart view don't know about each other
    - they act as if they do: changing one changes the other.

Structure

- Subject
  - knows its observers
  - any number of Observers may observe one subject
- Observer
  - defines an updating interface for objects that should be notified of changes to the subject
**Applicability**

- When an abstraction has two aspects, one dependent upon the other
  - e.g., view and model
  Encapsulating these aspects into separate objects lets you vary them independently.

- when a change to one object requires changing others, and you don't know ahead of time how many there are or their types
  - when an object should be able to notify others without making assumptions about who these objects are,
  - you don't want these objects tightly coupled
Implementation

- Mapping subjects to observers
  - table-based or subject-oriented
- Observing more than one subject
  - interface must tell you which subject
  - data structure implications (e.g., linked list)
- Who triggers the notify()
  - subject state changing methods
  - > 1 update for a complex change
  - update on inconsistent state.
  - clients
    - complicates API & error-prone
    - can group operations and send only one update
  - transaction-oriented API to client

java.util.Observable

```java
public class Model extends java.util.Observable{
    int prop1;
    int prop2;

    void setProp1( int prop1 ){this.prop1 = prop1; setChanged(); }
    void setProp2( int prop2 ){this.prop2 = prop2; setChanged(); }

    int getProp1(){ return this.prop1; }
    int getProp2(){ return this.prop2; }

    public String toString(){
        return "Model.prop1=" + getProp1() + ", prop2=" + getProp2();
    }
}
```

Implementation

- dangling references to deleted subjects/Observables
  - send 'delete message' to detach before destruction.
  - complex code
- must ensure subject state is self-consistent before sending update
- push versus pull
  - push: subject sends info it thinks observer wants
  - pull: observer requests info when it needs it
  - registration: register for what you want
    - when observer signs up, states what interested in
- ChangeManager
  - if observing more than one subject to avoid spurious updates
- Can combine subject and observer
First Java Demo

public class Demo1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Model m = new Model();
        Viewer v = new Viewer();
        m.addObserver(v);
        m.setProp1(420);
        m.setProp2(240);
        m.notifyObservers(); //but where should this go?
    }
}

What does this program print?

Second Java Demo

public static void main(String[] args) {
    // second demo
    ModelWithNotify mn = new ModelWithNotify();
    mn.addObserver(v);
    mn.setProp1(420);
    mn.setProp2(240);
}

What does this program print?

java.util.Observable with notify..

public class ModelWithNotify extends java.util.Observable {
    int prop1;
    int prop2;

    void setProp1(int prop1) {
        this.prop1 = prop1;
        setChanged();
        notifyObservers();
    }

    void setProp2(int prop2) {
        this.prop2 = prop2;
        setChanged();
        notifyObservers();
    }

    int getProp1() { return this.prop1; }
    int getProp2() { return this.prop2; }

    public String toString() {
        return "ModelWithNotify.prop1=" + getProp1() + ", prop2=" + getProp2() + ";
    }
}

Observables gotcha

- For very simple examples the second scheme seems reasonable.
- What if there is some invariant relationship that exists between prop1 and prop2?
- Naively, we add a method like
  ```java
  void setProp12(int p1, int p2) throws SomeBadThing {
      checkInvariant(p1, p2);
      setProp1(p1);
      setProp2(p2);
  }
  ```
- And bad things may happen if the Viewer assumes that the invariant holds.
- It’s not that this is impossible code to write. It’s just that the pattern has added a gotcha.
Chain Of Responsibility

- Avoid coupling the sender of a request to its receiver by giving more than one object a chance to handle the request.
  - Chain the receiving objects and pass the request along the chain until an object handles it.

Motivation

- Avoid coupling the sender of a request to its receiver by giving more than one object a chance to handle the request.
- The key is that the client does not know the object that will eventually handle the request.

- Objects forward the request until there is one that can handle it.
- The key is that the client does not know the object that will eventually handle the request.

- Context-sensitive help
  - User can obtain information on any part of a UI by clicking on it.
  - If no help available (e.g., for a button), system should display a more general help message about the context (e.g., the dialog box containing the button).

Applicability

- More than one object may handle a request, and the handler isn't known a priori.
  - The handler should be ascertained automatically.

- You want to issue a request to one of several objects without specifying the receiver explicitly.

- The set of objects that can handle a request should be specified dynamically.
Consequences

- reduced coupling
  - receiver and sender have no explicit knowledge of each other
  - can simplify object interactions
- added flexibility
  - can add or change responsibilities by changing the chain at runtime.
- receipt is not guaranteed.
  - request may fall off the end of the chain

State

- Allow an object to alter its behavior when its internal state changes.
  - The object will appear to change its class.
Motivation

A TCPConnection object that responds differently to requests given its current state.
All state-dependent actions are delegated.

Structure

Context
- defines the interface of interest to clients.
- maintains an instance of a ConcreteState subclass that defines the current state.

State
- defines an interface for encapsulating the behavior associated with a particular state of the Context.

ConcreteState subclasses
- each subclass implements a behavior associated with a state of the Context.

Consequences

- It localizes state-specific behavior and partitions behavior for different states.
  - The State pattern puts all behavior associated with a particular state into one object.
  - Because all state-specific code lives in a State subclass, new states and transitions can be added easily by defining new subclasses.
- It makes state transitions more explicit
  - State is represented by the object pointed to.
- It protects the object from state-related inconsistencies.
  - All implications of state changed wrapped in the atomic change of 1 pointer.
- State object can be shared
  - if no data members they can be re-used across all instances of the Context.

Applicability

- An object's behavior depends on its state, and it must change its behavior at run-time depending on that state.
- Operations have large, multipart conditional statements that depend on the object's state.
  - This state is usually represented by one or more enumerated constants.
  - Often, several operations will contain this same conditional structure.
  - The State pattern puts each branch of the conditional in a separate class.
  - This lets you treat the object's state as an object in its own right that can vary independently from other objects.
Mediator

- Defines an object that encapsulates how a set of objects interact.
  - promotes loose coupling by keeping objects from referring to each other explicitly
  - lets you vary their interaction independently

Motivation

- A collection of widgets that interact with one another.
  - e.g., certain families may not have certain weights
    - disable 'demibold' choice

- Create a mediator to control and coordinate the interactions of a group of objects.
**Motivation**

- A set of objects communicate in a well-defined but complex manner with a hierarchical structure.
- I don’t know how to generalize this very well.
- This is how we coordinate the enabling (dimming or greying out) of widgets that work together in a GUI.

**Applicability**

- **Mediator**
  - defines an interface for communicating with Colleague objects
- **ConcreteMediator**
  - knows and maintains its colleagues
  - implements cooperative behavior by coordinating Colleagues
- **Colleague classes**
  - each Colleague class knows its Mediator object
  - each colleague communicates with its mediator whenever it would have otherwise communicated with another colleague
Consequences

- decouples colleagues
  - can vary and reuse colleague and mediator classes independently
- simplifies object protocols
  - replaces many-to-many interactions with one-to-many
  - one-to-many are easier to deal with
- abstracts how objects cooperate
  - can focus on object interaction apart from an object’s individual behaviour
- centralizes control
  - mediator can become a monster.
  - Widget control is hard. At least all the mess is in the Director.
- limits subclassing
  - localizes behaviour that otherwise would need to be modified by subclassing the colleagues