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Question 1.  [10 marks]

Short answer section: Give a short answer to each of the following questions. Marks will be deducted for overly long answers.

Part (a)  [2 marks]
What is the difference between an effect and a side-effect of an expression?

Sample Solution
The effect is what the expression evaluates to, while a side-effect causes the state of the environment to change: changing the value of a memory cell, input or output, etc.

Part (b)  [2 marks]
Give an example of an expression in Java or C that has a side-effect. What is the side-effect?

Sample Solution
Many different solutions. `i++;` is a common answer, changing the value of a variable.

Part (c)  [2 marks]
Give an example of a Scheme expression that has a side-effect.

Sample Solution
Analogously, `(set! i (+ 1 i))` `(display "Hi!")` is also perfectly acceptable.

Part (d)  [2 marks]
Give one advantage of compilation over interpretation.

Sample Solution
Speed of execution, compiler can optimize more aggressively, etc.

Part (e)  [2 marks]
Give one advantage of interpretation over compilation.

Sample Solution
Better debugging/error messages, rapid prototyping, easy to “tweak” a program, etc.
Question 2.  [6 marks]
Suppose we write the following statements in Scheme:

(define x 10)
(define y 20)

What do the following Scheme expressions evaluate to? Assume (for the purposes of side-effects) that they are executed in the given order.

Value:

(a)  (+ x 1)  
(+)  11

(b)  (let ((x 2)) (+ x y))  
(+)  22

(c)  (let ((x 1) (y x)) (+ x y))  
(+)  11

(d)  (begin (set! x 5) (- y x))  
(+)  15

(e)  ((lambda (x a) (set! x a) x) x 4)  
(+)  4

(f)  (+ x 1)  
(+)  6
Question 3.  [6 marks]
list-and takes a single argument, a list, and returns the logical and of the elements of the list. That is, it returns #f if any of the items in the list are #f, and #t otherwise. For example,

(define l '(#t 1 #f ,(even? 2)))
(list-and l) ⇒ #f
(list-and '(1 ,(even? 2))) ⇒ #t

Note that the list has already been composed (and its elements evaluated), so we don’t use short-circuit evaluation here.

Part (a)  [1 mark]
Can list-and be written as a procedure in Scheme? (yes or no)  Yes

Part (b)  [5 marks]
Write a Scheme procedure that performs list-and (without using and), or explain why it can’t be done.

Sample Solution

(define list-and
  (lambda (l)
    (if (null? l) #t
      (if (car l) (list-and (cdr l))
        #f)))))

Question 4.  [5 marks]
The Scheme not procedure takes a single argument and returns the logical negation of the argument.

Part (a)  [1 mark]
Can not be written as a macro (syntactic extension) in Scheme (without using the built-in not)? (yes or no)  Yes

Part (b)  [4 marks]
Write a Scheme macro that performs not (without using the built-in not and without defining new procedures), or explain why it can’t be done.

Sample Solution

(define-syntax my-not
  (syntax-rules ()
    ((_ a) (if a #f #t))))
Question 5.  [8 marks]

A repeat ... until loop is common in many programming languages. We want to write code like the following in Scheme:

\[
\text{(let ((i 1))}
\quad \text{(repeat (display i)}
\quad \text{newline)}
\quad \text{(set! i (+ i 1))}
\quad \text{(until (> i 5)))}
\]

Part (a)  [4 marks]
Write the Scheme code that the following statement should expand to:

\[
\text{(repeat EXPR1 EXPR2 (until TEST))}
\]

Use only standard Scheme procedures and forms (such as if, lambda, the let forms, etc.).

*Hint:* Write a procedure to execute one iteration of the loop, and call it until the test is true.

**SAMPLE SOLUTION**

There are two general methods: letrec and named let.

\[
\text{(letrec ((p (lambda () EXPR1 EXPR2 (if (not TEST) (p))))) (p))}
\]

or

\[
\text{(let loop () EXPR1 EXPR2 (if (not TEST) (loop)))}
\]

Note that let does not work, since the procedure must recursively call itself.

Part (b)  [4 marks]

Write a macro in Scheme that implements a repeat ... until loop as illustrated above. It should automate the expansion you gave in part (a). until should be treated as a keyword, and must appear last.

**SAMPLE SOLUTION**

\[
\text{(define-syntax repeat}
\quad \text{(syntax-rules (until)
\quad \quad (_ e ... (until test))}
\quad \quad \text{(let loop () e ... (if (not test) (loop))))))}
\]