I. Problem identification (20%): ________________

How well have you researched the problem, and the organization you are dealing with by talking to people, reading documents, etc.?

Problem description - 10

( ) poor    ( ) acceptable    ( ) excellent

[ ] problem/symptom description

[ ] description of existing system

[ ] organization description

Evidence of contact with people in the organization or reading documents - 5

( ) poor    ( ) acceptable    ( ) excellent

[ ] interviews

[ ] questionnaires

[ ] sample forms/documents

How hard is your problem? - 5
(e.g., studying an existing system for a large organization is harder than studying the possibility of a new system for a small store)

( ) too small   ( ) too large    ( ) just right
II. Alternatives and criteria (15%): ________________

Have you considered obvious/interesting alternatives? -- 5

( ) too few/obvious ( ) few, some interesting
( ) adequate number, interesting ones

How well defined are your criteria and how thorough is your evaluation? -- 5

( ) insufficient ( ) incomplete mix ( ) adequate mix

[ ] operational feasibility
[ ] technical feasibility
[ ] economical feasibility
[ ] cost/benefit analysis
[ ] tangible benefits
[ ] intangible benefits
[ ] schedule feasibility
[ ] risk assessment

Are your recommendations backed by appropriate evidence? Are they reasonable? -- 5

( ) loosely ( ) somewhat backed up ( ) well backed up

[ ] Statement of recommendation
[ ] Feasibility Analysis Matrix

III. Supporting evidence (15%): ________________

The supporting evidence you include in terms of figures, tables, cost/benefit analysis etc. -- 10
( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate

Organization of appendices -- 5

( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good

IV. Diagrams (30%): ____________________

How complete and accurate are your goal diagrams in justifying the alternatives and the criteria you are proposing? -- 10

( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) good

How complete and accurate are your class diagrams describing the information needed for the alternative being recommended? -- 10

( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) good

How complete and accurate are your state diagrams describing the business processes that are assumed for the alternative that is being recommended? -- 10

( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) good

V. Presentation (20%): ____________________

Covers language, grammar, format, clarity, style, etc.

Language, grammar, spelling, format -- 10

( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good

Style and clarity -- 10

( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good