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System Software is Complex

- Thousands small interacting parts
- State defined by thousands of parameters
- Runs on multiple processors concurrently
- Subject to constant changes
- Different parts are written by different people
- Third party modules
System Software will always contain Bugs

- Bugs often result in catastrophic system failure
- It is easy to notice when bug crashes the system, but usually it is too late to do anything

But ….
System crashes are often gradual

Catastrophic failures rarely occur in real systems without being preceded by many smaller non-fatal errors.
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Objectives

- Continuously measure general well-being of system software
- Assess likelihood of pending failure at runtime
- Allow system to take defensive measures when in abnormal state
Similar problems

- **Thermodynamics:**
  - Needs to describe behaviour of $10^{23}$ molecules
  - Each has its own mass, velocity
  - Solves it by introducing few macro parameters: Temperature, Pressure, Volume

- **Medicine:**
  - Needs to describe system composed of billions of cells
  - Disease development is often hidden and gradual
  - Disease often detected by simple temperature parameter
Possible applications

- Run-time monitoring of large applications
  - Alert system administrator
  - Autonomic remedial actions (e.g., disable write-back caches)
  - Automatically redirect user requests to another computer in a fail-safe cluster and reboot system in abnormal state

- Regression testing of the system
  - Can be used to detect corrupt state even if system produces correct output
Simple Idea: monitor function return values

- Instrument code to monitor return values of functions
- Identify error return values
- At run-time statistically analyze normalized frequency of function error return values
- Compare against expected frequency from reference workload
- If higher than expected, issue "abnormal state" warning
Identification of error values

- Initially assume that all NULLs instead of pointer and system error codes indicate an error
- Run reference load that exercises the full functionality of the system
- Determine the reference results: frequency at which each function is expected to return what is assumed to be an error value
- Normalize results by dividing by the total number of function calls (i.e., expected percent of errors for each function)
Reference load

- Able to run without system crash
- Produces correct results
- System must be able to run and produce correct results with load
  - several times longer
  - several times more intensive
Global parameter

- Difference between the percentage of errors returned by functions and the same number calculated from the reference results, normalized by total number of function calls.

- Parameter must be close to zero when system is in “normal” state. In reality we found that to be around 0.01%.
Tested Software System

We applied our methodology to the K42 operating system:

- Open source
- It is under active development but is also mature enough to run complex benchmarks
- We have a good knowledge of it
- It has fixed bugs that can be reintroduced
- We envision using its hot-swapping capability to replace objects at run time
Code instrumentation

C++ preprocessor that finds functions that return pointers or system status code

- Total kernel contains 4,500 functions
- Instrumented 1,800 functions
- Instrumented code records returned value and address of return statement
- Injects code to trigger analysis engine
Analysis engine

- Periodically computes the global parameter from the function return values
- Analyzes it in the hope of detecting when threshold is exceeded
- Frequency of invocation is compromise between early detection and performance impact

In our implementation it was invoked every 1000 calls and performance overhead was less than 1%
Experiments

- Modified K42 operating system with injected code to collect the function return values and analysis engine
- As a reference load we used set of regression tests created by K42 team for testing K42
- MySQL 5.0 database server
- Benchmark suite created by MySQL team to test performance of MySQL on different platforms
Bugs used for experiments

We tested our system with two real bugs:

- **Bug 1:**
  - Resource leakage in file access code
  - Was discovered beforehand and reintroduced in order to test our approach

- **Bug 2:**
  - I/O synchronisation problem
  - We did not know about it beforehand and it was discovered by our approach
MySQL table creation benchmark

- No known bugs (curve 1)
- Test is stuck, memory leak and fsync bug (curve 2)
- Test finishes successfully, fsync bug still there (curve 3)
MySQL data insertion benchmark

- **No known bugs (curve 1)**
- **Test is stuck, memory leak and fsync bug (curve 2)**
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Limitations

- Apache workload
  success
- Injected bugs that skip resources locking failure
- Injected stack corruption
  Only 10% of crashes were detected

Goal: improve reliability of Early Detection System by monitoring and statistically analyzing additional parameters
General Framework

- **Micro-parameters** describe many tiny aspects of the system.
  Are not meaningful on their own and be too voluminous for direct consumption.

- **Global parameters** are derived from micro-parameters and describe system state as a whole.
  System state can be viewed as having been corrupted if global parameter values lie outside the acceptable ranges or if the rules are violated.
Constraints on micro-parameters

- Fast collection and processing
  Less than several percents of overhead

- Generic
  Do not require knowledge of specific system part

- Cover all parts of the system
  Detect bug in any module
Examples of micro-parameters

- Commonly used performance metrics such as CPU, memory and I/O load, cache miss rates, data from hardware counters
- Size of data allocated; e.g., the number of instantiated objects of each type
- Error values returned by individual functions
- The time it takes to execute each function
Constraints on global parameters

- Should be effectively calculated from the micro-parameters and be meaningful at the same time

- Determine relation to each other and acceptable ranges for their values
Conclusion

While our system is on early stage of development it demonstrated following features:

- Detects previously unknown corruptions of system state
- Gives plenty of time between raising “red flag” and crash of the system
- Has low overhead, which makes it possible to use it in production systems
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