## Lecture 1: Introduction

## Introduction

easy to distinguish images of natural work from man-made picture, those created randomly by computer: WHY?
natural images distinctive because contain particular types of structure: WHICH?
utility of studying natural images/scenes:

- understand typical behavior of image processing systems
- understand biological systems
- exploit natural image statistics to build inference algorithms


## Statistical framework

images we encounter comprise small subset of all possible images (small volume of 65 K -dim space of $256 \times 256$ images)
non-Gaussian, non-standard: "state-space describing probability density of natural scenes is highly predictable but does not have the shape that is widely presumed" (Field 87)
highly redundant - Kersten (87) demonstrated by asking human subjects to replace missing pixels in 4-bit digital image, estimated perceptual information content of pixel $\approx 1.4$ bits
not random, so not best expressed on pixel-by-pixel basis
standard compression consider subimages such as horizontal scan lines (predictive coding) or $8 \times 8$ pixel blocks (JPEG)
work well, but ultimate limits unknown since statistics of real images not well characterized

## Choosing dataset

what is good ensemble from which to estimate statistics?

- early work (Kretzmer, 52) looked at TV images
- more recently - images of nature, videos



## Analyzing scene statistics

what statistical analysis to perform?

- like to estimate $P(I)$, but difficult - need huge number of images
- build model of $P(I)$, estimate parameters from data
- catalog moments, correlation functions of image distribution: evaluate expressions like

$$
<I\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) I\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right), \ldots, I\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)>
$$

second-order ( $n=2$ ) interpretable, but higher hard to interpret, visualize

- look for underlying structure, invariance property in distribution (e.g., translation invariance)
may be able to gain insight by studying sensory systems: development influenced by statistics of environment


## Scale invariance

many studies found that ensemble power spectrum, averaged over orientations

$$
S(k)=A / k^{-2+\nu}
$$

- $k$ : spatial frequency
- $A$ : amplitude




## Statistics of Natural Images and Models

Huang and Mumford analyzed $40001024 \times 1538$ image, from van Hateren database
work on log intensity

1. single pixel statistics
2. derivative statistics
3. joint distribution of adjacent pixels

## Ecological constraints on sensory systems

neurons adapt at various timescales (evolution, development, behavioral) to sensory signals
assume that perceptual systems best process signals that occur most frequently $\Rightarrow$ statistical properties of environment are relevant for sensory processing
need statistical prior model of environment (source coding, estimation, decision theory)
long-standing suggestion (Attneave, 1954): goal of visual perception is to produce efficient representation of incoming signal

- many variants of efficient coding hypothesis (Barlow, Laughlin, Field, Rieke)
- difficulto establish quantitative link between neural processing and environmental statistics
two approaches for testing, refining hypotheses

1. direct: examine statistical properties of neural responses under natural stimulation conditions
2. propose model for processing, compare to response properties of neurons
