
“Beyond”

Software Engineering

Guest Lecture, University of Toronto

Homy Dayani-Fard, PhD
Consultant, Technology Strategy
IBM Business Consulting Services

Summary

- n Software engineering is a new and fast growing field, which has grappled with its identity: from using the word engineering to definition of the term, to educational needs, to professional certification.
 - n A personal, somewhat historical perspective, on software engineering: from education, to practice, and beyond.
-

A short biography

- n Consultant, Technology Strategy
 - n Quality advisor, DB2 UDB development
 - n Release analyst, DB2 UDB development
 - n Research officer, Centre for Advanced Studies
 - n Adjunct at University of Toronto, York University, and Queen's University
 - n PhD, MSc from Queen's University
 - n BSc, University of Toronto
 - n Service technician, Olivetti
-

Questions

- n What is software?
 - n What is software engineering?
 - n What makes a software engineer?
 - n [What is engineering?]
-

Goal of software engineering

- n To build software
 - q Catches
 - n Meets the specification
 - n High quality
 - n Cost and schedule control
 - q \$\$\$
 - n Software = program?
 - n Who are software engineers?
-

History

- n 1968 NATO conference
 - q Software crisis
 - q Software engineering
 - q Need for a formal discipline
 - n Holy grails
 - q Automatic programming
 - q Formal methods
 - q Reuse
 - q “Better” management
-

Automatic programming

- n A system that “automatically” generates programs.
 - n If the system is “reliable”, so are its resulting programs
 - n Examples:
 - q Compilers
 - q 4GL
 - q Application generators (e.g., Draco, KBEmacs, Programmer’s Apprentice)
-

Personal

- n A new compiler was being developed that would radically change compilation. There was only one catch: converting make files to a standard configuration file.
 - n Result: Failed!
-

Formal methods

n Two camps:

- q Verification
 - n Create formal specifications and demonstrate that the implementation is consistent with the specification
- q Refinement
 - n Using mathematical techniques step-by-step refine the specification until it is “executable”

n Examples

- q Z, VDM, CSP,
 - q Darlington, Paris Metro
-

Personal

- n Developed a small size distributed real-time system. Developed formal specifications, formally “proved” that the implementation was consistent with its specification. A group of five reviewed and approved the implementation.

- n Result: Failed!
-

Reuse

n Build software from components:

- q Like hardware design, put together IC’s

n Early success

- q Fortran, C libraries

n Challenges

- q Indexing and searching
 - q Generality of code
 - q Performance
 - q NIH
 - q Architectural mismatches
-

Personal: second hand

- n A large development group set a goal of creating reusable modules. Developers had to contribute to a central repository. They also received bonus points if they used modules from the library.

- n Result: Failed!
-

Reuse

... continued

- n Later success (or otherwise)
 - q COM, DCOM, CORBA, RMI, Java class libraries

 - n Higher level reuse (and successes)
 - q Architectural patterns, e.g. n-tier, pipeline
 - q Design patterns, e.g. MVC, Command, Facade
 - q Frameworks, e.g. Struts

 - n Future : Web services, SOAP, MDA
-

Management

n **Software life cycles**

- q Control
- q Traceability
- q Parallel development
- q Risk management

n **Examples:**

- q Waterfall (and variations), Iterative (and variations), Process oriented (RUP), people oriented (XP)
 - q Configuration management
-

Management

... continued

- n Certification: showing off our abilities to customers (raise their level of confidence)
 - q CMMI
 - q SPICE, ISO 9000

 - q Other mandated government agencies, e.g., FDA
-

Personal

- n A model driven approach built on top of a commercial framework generating web services definitions.

- q Process modeling
- q Use case modeling
- q Object modeling
- q Design
- q XML generation

- n Results: jury is out!
-

Personal

n A CIO of a financial institution asked us if he could receive the same level of benefits (ROI ~ 20-40%) by investing in maturity. In particular, going from level 2 to 3 on CMMI.

n Result: No!

Software engineering characterization

n Large

- q Number of people
- q Number of features
- q Number of dependencies

n Soft

- q Changing requirement
 - q Changing environment
 - q Changing people
-

Aside: What is computer science?

n If I had to summarize the entire field of computing, it would be:

- q Building hierarchies of abstractions for solving [repetitive] problems

Software engineering characterization ... continued

n Repetition

- q Problems solved will come back nastier
- q Number of features
- q Number of dependencies

n Mosaic

- q Art: creativity, vision
 - q Scientific: fact-based, hypothesis driven
 - q Engineering: control, repetition of success
 - q Management: team work, communication, decision making
-

Final thought

- n Objective of software engineering is to solve a problem.
 - n Size matters. Scalability is a must!
 - n Time goes on. History will repeat itself!
-

Final thought

... continued

- n Whatever software engineering is, it helps if you have, on top of all your technical and conceptual skills
 - q Communication skills: influencing
 - q Team work: negotiation, compromise
 - q Vision: see beyond the technical solution
-

Thank you!

Questions?

Categorization of software

- n Commercial shrink-wrap
 - q Vertical vs. horizontal (middle-ware)
 - n Custom applications
 - n Government
 - n Safety critical
 - n Embedded
-