CONFIDENTIAL

INSTRUCTOR COURSE REPORT

Winter 2009

Department: FIRST YEAR ENGINEERING COURSES

Course Name: CSC190H1S

Section: LEC 0102

Course Description: ALGO/DATA STRUCT/LAN

Instructor: Nair, V.

Percentage of lectures attended:

Class Enrollment: 80

Number Of Responses:

%Responses: 55

Department%: 50

> Faculty%: 47

	<50%	50-70%	70-90%	>90%		
Course:	0	1	8	34		
Department:	352	438	885	2508		
Faculty:	494	842	2487	6872		

Status of this course for you:

40 core:

technical elective: 0 0

free elective:

humanities/ social science elective: 0

0 other:

Your level of enthusiasm for taking this course, at the time of initial registration:

very low:

6

low: below average: 6

Instructor average: 3.98

8 average: 6

Department average: 4.12 Faculty average: 4.47

above average: 7 high:

very high:

Your expected grade in this course:

<50%: 1 50-59%: 5

60-69%: 11 12

70-79%: 80-89%: 12

>=90%: 2

Your level of enthusiasm now that you have completed the course:

very low: 1

low:

5

below average: average: 10 Instructor average: 5.16

above average:

7

Department average: 4.09 Faculty average: 4.41

high: 11 very high: 10

Identify your gender (optional):

male:

32

female:

11

Considering your experience with the course, and disregarding your need for it

Yes 29

14

Did the instructor introduce current elements of research and development in the the material delivered?

to meet program or degree requirements, would you still have taken this course?

Yes 26

No 17

		[0]	N [1]	UMBI [2]		F RES [4]	SPON [5]	SES [6]	[7]	Med.		RAGES Dept.	
6.	The clear and explicit communication of the goals and requirements of the course was	0	0	0	0	7	15	10	12	5	5.61	4.76	4.86
7.	The use of methods of evaluation that appropriately reflect the subject matter and provide a fair evaluation of student learning was	0	0	0	8	4	14	7	11	5	5.20	4.63	4.75
8.	The presentation of the material in an organized, well planned manner was	0	0	0	0	0	11	8	25	7	6.32	4.94	5.00
9.	The instructor's apparent knowledge of the subject was	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	25	7	6.36	5.41	5.62
10.	The clarity of explanation of the subject matter was	0	0	0	0	1	8	9	26	7	6.36	4.94	5.01
11.	The use of examples and illustrations was	0	0	0	0	1	6	12	25	7	6.39	5.07	5.14
12.	The instructor's enthusiasm and interest in the course material was	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	31	7	6.64	5.22	5.47
13.	The attention to students' questions in the classroom and the clarity of the answers was	0	0	0	0	1	4	14	25	7	6.43	4.99	5.22
14.	The instructor's availability for individual consultation either by appointment or at stated hours was	6	0	0	0	0	7	6	25	7	6.47	4.97	5.14
15.	The commitment to ensure that student work was graded fairly with appropriate feedback was	0	0	0	1	4	5	9	25	7	6.20	4.80	4.95
16.	What is your overall rating of this instructor as a teacher	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	30	7	6.59	5.13	5.24
17.	Considering the calendar weighting, the workload is	0	0	0	0	4	5	14	21	6	6.18	4.69	4.63
18.	Compared to other courses at the same level, the level of difficulty of the material is	0	0	0	0	10	7	13	13	6	5.67	4.50	4.52
19.	Extent to which this course repeats material from other courses is	0	11	7	4	14	2	2	4	3	3.25	3.35	3.48
20.	If a specific textbook was recommended, the value of it was	3	5	4	9	9	8	3	3	4	3.78	3.79	3.97
21.	The value of the tutorial was	31	1	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	4.67	4.36	4.31
22.	The value of the laboratories was	2	1	2	2	7	10	11	8	5	5.15	4.42	4.49
23.	The value of the overall learning experience was	0	0	2	3	4	12	11	11	6	5.40	4.47	4.63
24.	The relevance of this course to your professional development is	1	2	3	2	12	7	8	8	. 5	4.79	4.63	4.77
25.	The instrinsic interest of the subject matter is	1	3	3	1	8	6	13	7	5	4.90	4.24	4.56
29.		-											
30.													
31.	0												
32.													
33.													
34.													