#### Accelerated Training of Conditional Random Fields with Stochastic Gradient Methods

S.V.N.Vishwanathan, Nicol N. Schraudolph, Mark Schmidt, Kevin Murphy ICML 2006

- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

#### **Conditional Random Fields**

- Discriminative model for structured data
  - $\mathbb{P}(Y|\boldsymbol{x})$  modeled directly
- Log-Likelihood:

 $p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp(\langle \phi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}),\boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - z(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}))$ 

• Log-Partition Function:

$$z(oldsymbol{ heta}|oldsymbol{x}) := \ln \sum_{oldsymbol{y}} \exp(\langle \phi(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}),oldsymbol{ heta}
angle)$$

## **CRF** Properties

- Exponential Family
- Continuous, Twice-Differentiable
- Probabilistic Interpretation
- Negative log-likelihood is convex (worst initialization => best parameters)
- Log-partition function is cumulant generating
- Efficient Calculation of Objective and Gradient for 'thin' graph structures

## **Objective and Gradients**

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2}{2\sigma^2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \langle \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - z(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{x}_i) \right]$$

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\sigma^2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) - \mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta})} [\phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y})] \right]$$



- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

## Parameter Estimation

- Each evaluation of objective/gradient requires *inference* on each training example.
- Chains/Trees: Belief Propagation
- Learning is an unconstrained convex optimization
- Current state of the art:
  - Generalized Iterative Scaling
  - Newton Methods

# Newton's Method

w = smallRand;

[f,g,H] = @gradientFunction(w);
do

stepDir = H \ g
stepLen = lineSearch(w + stepLen\*stepDir)
w = w + stepLen\*stepDir
[f,g,H] = @gradientFunction(x);
while norm(g) > optTol

# Quasi-Newton Method

- w = smallRand;
- B = eye;[f,g] = @gradientFunction; do stepDir =  $\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{g}$ stepLen = lineSearch(w + stepLen\*stepDir)update(B) w = w + stepLen\*stepDir[f,g] = @gradientFunctionwhile norm(g) > optTol

# BFGS Update

• Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) Update:

$$B_{i+1} = B_i + \frac{yy^T}{y^Ts} - \frac{B_i ss^T B_i}{s^T B_i s}$$

• Update Factorization or inverse instead of inverting B:

[In Matlab: R= cholupdate(cholupdate(R,y/sqrt(y'\*s)),R'\*R\*s/sqrt(s'\*R'\*R\*s),'-');]

- Under certain conditions (initial B is pd, function convex, twicedifferentiable, sum(norm(x\_k-x\*)) < inf, Hessian Lipschitz continuous at minimizer found, line search satisfies Wolfe conditions):
  - BFGS leads to super-linear convergence to global minimum

# L-BFGS Update

- **Re-write BFGS in terms of inverse:**  $B_{i+1}^{-1} = (I - \frac{sy^{T}}{y^{T}s})B_{i}^{-1}(I - \frac{ys^{T}}{y^{T}s}) + \frac{ss^{T}}{y^{T}s}$
- Current Inverse Hessian can be computed recursively based on previous function and gradient values
- Limited Memory BFGS:
  - Compute B\g without storing Hessian approximation

```
function [d] = lbfqs(s,y,q)
% [L-]BFGS Search Direction
8
% This function returns the (L-BFGS) approximate inverse Hessian,
% multiplied by the gradient
8
% If you pass in all previous parameter/gradient differences, it will be full BFGS
% If you truncate to the k most recent, it will be L-BFGS
8
% s - differences in parameters between last k steps (p by k)
% y - differences in gradient between last k steps(p by k)
% g - gradient (p by 1)
[p,k] = size(s);
for i = 1:k
    ro(i,1) = 1/(y(:,i)'*s(:,i));
end
q = zeros(p,k+1);
r = zeros(p, k+1);
al =zeros(k,1);
be =zeros(k,1);
q(:,k+1) = q;
for i = k:-1:1
    al(i) = ro(i)*s(:,i)'*q(:,i+1);
    q(:,i) = q(:,i+1)-al(i)*y(:,i);
end
r(:,1) = q(:,1);
for i = 1:k
    be(i) = ro(i)*y(:,i)'*r(:,i);
    r(:,i+1) = r(:,i) + s(:,i)*(al(i)-be(i));
end
d=r(:,k+1);
```

- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

# CRF Parameter Learning

- Current Champ:
  - Quasi-Newton w/ [L-]BFGS Updating
- Challenger:
  - Stochastic Gradient

## Stochastic Gradient

- w = smallRand;
- for i = 1:maxIter

for b = I:maxBatch
 [f(b),g(b)] = @gradientFunction(b);
 w = w - stepSize\*g(b)
end

end

# CRF Parameter Learning

- Current Champ:
  - Quasi-Newton w/ [L-]BFGS Updating
  - Inference on all training examples
- Challenger:
  - Stochastic Gradient
  - Inference on batch of training examples

# Experiment I

- CoNLL-2000 Shared Word Chunking Task
- 8936 Sentences
- 330731 Features
- BFGS faster than NL-CG and GIS [3]
- Compare BFGS, Stochastic Gradient, Collin's Perceptron (see Yann's talk), SMD (later)





- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

# Disadvantage of Stochastic Gradient

- For a fixed step size:
  - May not converge
  - May converge too slowly
- For annealed step size:
  - Need to tune step size update
- Steepest Descent direction (batch case: sublinear convergence, pathological cases converge in infinite number of steps)

# SMD

- Stochastic Meta-Descent:
  - Attempt to translate non-linear CG to stochastic gradient learning
  - Adaptive Step Sizes for each dimension
  - Some 2nd-Order information provided through Hessian-Vector products

# SMD

- Each parameter has its own gain:  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t \cdot g_t$
- Update the gain multiplicatively by meta-gain (mu):
   η<sub>t+1</sub> = η<sub>t</sub> · max(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, 1 μg<sub>t+1</sub> · v<sub>t+1</sub>)
- Update the long-term 2nd-order dependence w/ memory (lambda):

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{t+1} = \lambda \boldsymbol{v}_t - \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \cdot (\boldsymbol{g}_t + \lambda \boldsymbol{H}_t \boldsymbol{v}_t)$$

- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

# Hessian-Vector Products

- Finite Differencing: For any d, can compute Hessian-Vector product using 2 gradient evaluations:  $dg(\theta) = H(\theta) d\theta \qquad dg(\theta) \approx \frac{g(\theta + \epsilon d) - g(\theta)}{\epsilon}$
- Algorithmic Differentiation: Under arithmetic assumption about gradient evaluation, can use I gradient evaluation and complex perturbation:

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + i \epsilon d\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + O(\epsilon^2) + i \epsilon d\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

#### SMD:

```
for i = 2:T
for b=1:Nbatches
batchNdx = batchIndices{b};
% Nic's code - uses complex number trick
[f(b),g] = feval(gradient, w + ii*v, batchNdx, gradArgs{:});
eta = eta.*max(1/2,1+mu*v.*real(g));
w = w - eta.*real(g);
v = lambda*v+eta.*(real(g)-lambda*imag(g)*1e150);
```

# Experiment 2

- BioNLP/NLPBA-2004 Shared Task:
  - Biomedical Named Entity recognition on GENIA corpus
- 18546 Sentences
- 106583 Features



- Conditional Random Fields
- Batch Learning Methods
- Stochastic Gradient Methods
- Stochastic Meta-Descent
- Automatic Differentiation
- Gradient Approximations

# General Graphs

- In General Graphs, Inference may be intractable
- Batch Models: need to approximate log(Z) in objective and marginals in gradient
- Stochastic Approaches: need marginals, but no log(Z)

#### Pseudo-likelihood



 $S \leftarrow y_i w^T x_i + \sum y_i y_j v^T x_{ij}$  $j \in nei(y_i)$ 

 $-\log(1 + \exp(S))$ 



# Experiment 3

- Man-Made Structure Detection
- Images divided into 16x24 patches
- 108 training Images
- 35 Features (we used 'full' BFGS)



### Variational Approximations • Mean Field:

$$F_{MF}(b_i) = -\sum_{i,j} \sum_{x_i, x_j} b_i(x_i) b_j(x_j) \log \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) + \sum_i \sum_{x_i} b_i(x_i) [\log b_i(x_i) - \log \psi_i(x_i)]$$

• Bethe:

$$F_{\beta}(b_i, b_j) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{x_i, x_j} b_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) [\log b_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) - \log \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)] - \sum_{i} (d_i - 1) \sum_{x_i} b_i(x_i) [\log b_i(x_i) - \psi_i(x_i)]$$

- Not convex, may not give descent direction
- Can SG methods escape bad gradient or local min?



1// 📭 🖬

### Final Notes

- For large data sets and well-behaved functions, SG methods can significantly improve training time
- SMD has better convergence properties than SG in these cases
- Reproducible Research:
  - Matlab code/data for replicating 2D experiments on-line (including mex code for MF/LBP)

