CSC 411: Lecture 06: Decision Trees

Richard Zemel, Raquel Urtasun and Sanja Fidler

University of Toronto

Today

- Decision Trees
  - entropy
  - information gain

Another Classification Idea

- We learned about linear classification (e.g., logistic regression), and nearest neighbors. Any other idea?
- Pick an attribute, do a simple test
- Conditioned on a choice, pick another attribute, do another test
- In the leaves, assign a class with majority vote
- Do other branches as well



Another Classification Idea

- Gives axes aligned decision boundaries





Decision Tree: Example



Decision Tree: Classification



Example with Discrete Inputs

- What if the attributes are discrete?

Example	Input Attributes										Goal
	Alt	*Bar*	*Fri*	*Hun*	*Pat*	*Price*	*Rain*	*Res*	*Type*	*Est*	*WillWait*
$\mathbf{x}_1$	Yes	No	No	Yes	Some	$$$	No	Yes	French	0–10	$y_1 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_2$	Yes	No	No	Yes	Full	$	No	No	Thai	30–60	$y_2 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_3$	No	Yes	No	No	Some	$	No	No	Burger	0–10	$y_3 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_4$	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Full	$	Yes	No	Thai	10–30	$y_4 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_5$	Yes	No	Yes	No	Full	$$$	No	Yes	French	>60	$y_5 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_6$	No	Yes	No	Yes	Some	$$	Yes	Yes	Italian	0–10	$y_6 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_7$	No	Yes	No	No	None	$	Yes	No	Burger	0–10	$y_7 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_8$	No	No	No	Yes	Some	$$	Yes	Yes	Thai	0–10	$y_8 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_9$	No	Yes	Yes	No	Full	$	Yes	No	Burger	>60	$y_9 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{10}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	$$$	No	Yes	Italian	10–30	$y_{10} =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{11}$	No	No	No	No	None	$	No	No	Thai	0–10	$y_{11} =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{12}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	$	No	No	Burger	30–60	$y_{12} =$ Yes

Attributes:

1.	Alternate: whether there is a suitable alternative restaurant nearby.
2.	Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in.
3.	Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays.
4.	Hungry: whether we are hungry.
5.	Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full).
6.	Price: the restaurant's price range ($, $$, $$$).
7.	Raining: whether it is raining outside.
8.	Reservation: whether we made a reservation.
9.	Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger).
10.	WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60).

Decision Tree: Example with Discrete Inputs

- The tree to decide whether to wait (T) or not (F)



Decision Trees



- Internal nodes test attributes
- Branching is determined by attribute value
- Leaf nodes are outputs (class assignments)

Decision Tree: Algorithm

- Choose an attribute on which to descend at each level
- Condition on earlier (higher) choices
- Generally, restrict only one dimension at a time
- Declare an output value when you get to the bottom
- In the orange/lemon example, we only split each dimension once, but that is not required

Decision Tree: Classification and Regression

- Each path from root to a leaf defines a region R_m of input space
- Let $\{(x^{(m_1)}, t^{(m_1)}), \ldots, (x^{(m_k)}, t^{(m_k)})\}$ be the training examples that fall into R_m
- **Classification tree**:
  - discrete output
  - leaf value y^m typically set to the most common value in $\{t^{(m_1)}, \ldots, t^{(m_k)}\}$
- **Regression tree**:
  - continuous output
  - leaf value y^m typically set to the mean value in $\{t^{(m_1)}, \ldots, t^{(m_k)}\}$

Note: We will only talk about classification

[Slide credit: S. Russell]

Expressiveness

- **Discrete-input, discrete-output case**:
  - Decision trees can express any function of the input attributes
  - E.g., for Boolean functions, truth table row $\rightarrow$ path to leaf:

A	B	A xor B
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F



- **Continuous-input, continuous-output case**:
  - Can approximate any function arbitrarily closely
- Trivially, there is a consistent decision tree for any training set w/ one path to leaf for each example (unless f nondeterministic in x) but it probably won't generalize to new examples

Need some kind of regularization to ensure more **compact** decision trees

[Slide credit: S. Russell]

How do we Learn a DecisionTree?

- How do we construct a useful decision tree?

Learning Decision Trees

Learning the simplest (smallest) decision tree is an NP complete problem [if you are interested, check: Hyafil & Rivest'76]

- Resort to a greedy heuristic:
  - Start from an empty decision tree
  - Split on next best attribute
  - Recurse
- What is best attribute?
- We use information theory to guide us

[Slide credit: D. Sontag]

Choosing a Good Attribute

- Which attribute is better to split on, X_1 or X_2?



X_1	X_2	Y
T	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Idea: Use counts at leaves to define probability distributions, so we can measure uncertainty

Choosing a Good Attribute

- Which attribute is better to split on, X_1 or X_2?
  - Deterministic: good (all are true or false; just one class in the leaf)
  - Uniform distribution: bad (all classes in leaf equally probable)
  - What about distributons in between?

Note: Let's take a slight detour and remember concepts from information theory

[Slide credit: D. Sontag]

We Flip Two Different Coins

Sequence 1:
0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 ... ?

Sequence 2:
0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 ... ?



versus



Quantifying Uncertainty

Entropy H:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$





$$-\frac{8}{9}\log_2 \frac{8}{9} - \frac{1}{9}\log_2 \frac{1}{9} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$$-\frac{4}{9}\log_2 \frac{4}{9} - \frac{5}{9}\log_2 \frac{5}{9} \approx 0.99$$

- How surprised are we by a new value in the sequence?
- How much information does it convey?

Quantifying Uncertainty

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$



Entropy

- **“High Entropy”**:
  - Variable has a uniform like distribution
  - Flat histogram
  - Values sampled from it are less predictable
- **“Low Entropy”**
  - Distribution of variable has many peaks and valleys
  - Histogram has many lows and highs
  - Values sampled from it are more predictable

This slide seems wrong

[Slide credit: Vibhav Gogate]

Entropy of a Joint Distribution

- Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}$, $Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X, Y) &= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x, y) \log_2 p(x, y) \\
&= -\frac{24}{100} \log_2 \frac{24}{100} - \frac{1}{100} \log_2 \frac{1}{100} - \frac{25}{100} \log_2 \frac{25}{100} - \frac{50}{100} \log_2 \frac{50}{100} \\
&\approx 1.56 \text{bits}
\end{aligned}
$$

Specific Conditional Entropy

- Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}$, $Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

- What is the entropy of cloudiness Y, **given that it is raining**?

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y|X=x) &= -\sum_{y \in Y} p(y|x) \log_2 p(y|x) \\ &= -\frac{24}{25} \log_2 \frac{24}{25} - \frac{1}{25} \log_2 \frac{1}{25} \\ &\approx 0.24 \text{bits} \end{aligned}$$

- We used: $p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$, and $p(x) = \sum_y p(x,y)$ (sum in a row)

Conditional Entropy

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

- The expected conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y|X) &= \sum_{x \in X} p(x) H(Y|X=x) \\ &= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

Conditional Entropy

- Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}$, $Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

- What is the entropy of cloudiness, given the knowledge of whether or not it is raining?

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y|X) &= \sum_{x \in X} p(x) H(Y|X = x) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} H(\text{cloudy}|\text{is raining}) + \frac{3}{4} H(\text{cloudy}|\text{not raining}) \\ &\approx 0.75 \text{ bits} \end{aligned}$$

Conditional Entropy

- Some useful properties:
  - H is always non-negative
  - Chain rule: $H(X, Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)$
  - If X and Y independent, then X doesn't tell us anything about Y: $H(Y|X) = H(Y)$
  - But Y tells us everything about Y: $H(Y|Y) = 0$
  - By knowing X, we can only decrease uncertainty about Y: $H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$

Information Gain

	Cloudy	Not Cloudy
Raining	24/100	1/100
Not Raining	25/100	50/100

- How much information about cloudiness do we get by discovering whether it is raining?

$$
\begin{aligned}
IG(Y|X) &= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\
&\approx 0.25 \text{ bits}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Also called information gain in Y due to X
- If X is completely uninformative about Y: $IG(Y|X) = 0$
- If X is completely informative about Y: $IG(Y|X) = H(Y)$
- How can we use this to construct our decision tree?

Constructing Decision Trees





- I made the fruit data partitioning just by eyeballing it.
- We can use the information gain to automate the process.
- At each level, one must choose:
  1. Which variable to split.
  2. Possibly where to split it.
- Choose them based on how much information we would gain from the decision! (choose attribute that gives the highest gain)

Decision Tree Construction Algorithm

- Simple, greedy, recursive approach, builds up tree node-by-node

1. pick an attribute to split at a non-terminal node
2. split examples into groups based on attribute value
3. for each group:
   - if no examples – return majority from parent
   - else if all examples in same class – return class
   - else loop to step 1

Back to Our Example

Example	Input Attributes										Goal
	Alt	*Bar*	*Fri*	*Hun*	*Pat*	*Price*	*Rain*	*Res*	*Type*	*Est*	*WillWait*
$\mathbf{x}_1$	Yes	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	0–10	$y_1 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_2$	Yes	No	No	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Thai	30–60	$y_2 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_3$	No	Yes	No	No	Some	\$	No	No	Burger	0–10	$y_3 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_4$	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	Yes	No	Thai	10–30	$y_4 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_5$	Yes	No	Yes	No	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	French	>60	$y_5 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_6$	No	Yes	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Italian	0–10	$y_6 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_7$	No	Yes	No	No	None	\$	Yes	No	Burger	0–10	$y_7 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_8$	No	No	No	Yes	Some	\$\$	Yes	Yes	Thai	0–10	$y_8 =$ Yes
$\mathbf{x}_9$	No	Yes	Yes	No	Full	\$	Yes	No	Burger	>60	$y_9 =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{10}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$\$\$	No	Yes	Italian	10–30	$y_{10} =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{11}$	No	No	No	No	None	\$	No	No	Thai	0–10	$y_{11} =$ No
$\mathbf{x}_{12}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Full	\$	No	No	Burger	30–60	$y_{12} =$ Yes

Attributes:

1.	Alternate: whether there is a suitable alternative restaurant nearby.
2.	Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in.
3.	Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays.
4.	Hungry: whether we are hungry.
5.	Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full).
6.	Price: the restaurant's price range (\$, \$\$, \$\$\$).
7.	Raining: whether it is raining outside.
8.	Reservation: whether we made a reservation.
9.	Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger).
10.	WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60).

[from: Russell & Norvig]



$$IG(Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$IG(type) = 1 - \left[\frac{2}{12}H(Y|\text{Fr.}) + \frac{2}{12}H(Y|\text{It.}) + \frac{4}{12}H(Y|\text{Thai}) + \frac{4}{12}H(Y|\text{Bur.})\right] = 0$$

$$IG(Patrons) = 1 - \left[\frac{2}{12}H(0,1) + \frac{4}{12}H(1,0) + \frac{6}{12}H(\frac{2}{6},\frac{4}{6})\right] \approx 0.541$$

Which Tree is Better?





What Makes a Good Tree?

- Not too small: need to handle important but possibly subtle distinctions in data
- Not too big:
  - Computational efficiency (avoid redundant, spurious attributes)
  - Avoid over-fitting training examples
- Occam's Razor: find the simplest hypothesis (smallest tree) that fits the observations
- Inductive bias: small trees with informative nodes near the root

Decision Tree Miscellany

- Problems:
  - You have exponentially less data at lower levels
  - Too big of a tree can overfit the data
  - Greedy algorithms don't necessarily yield the global optimum
- In practice, one often regularizes the construction process to try to get small but highly-informative trees
- Decision trees can also be used for regression on real-valued outputs, but it requires a different formalism

Comparison to k-NN

K-Nearest Neighbors

- Decision boundaries: piece-wise linear
- Test complexity: non-parametric, few parameters besides (all?) training examples

Decision Trees

- Decision boundaries: axis-aligned, tree structured
- Test complexity: attributes and splits

Applications of Decision Trees: XBox!

- Decision trees are in XBox

[J. Shotton, A. Fitzgibbon, M. Cook, T. Sharp, M. Finocchio, R. Moore, A. Kipman, A. Blake. Real-Time Human Pose

- Decision trees are in XBox: Classifying body parts



Applications of Decision Trees: XBox!

- Trained on million(s) of examples



Applications of Decision Trees: XBox!

- Trained on million(s) of examples





- Results:



Applications of Decision Trees

- Can express any Boolean function, but most useful when function depends critically on few attributes
- Bad on: parity, majority functions; also not well-suited to continuous attributes
- Practical Applications:
  - Flight simulator: 20 state variables; 90K examples based on expert pilot's actions; auto-pilot tree
  - Yahoo Ranking Challenge
  - Random Forests: Microsoft Kinect Pose Estimation