

Review LP as a Proof System

Sound, complete proof system
for linear inequalities over \mathbb{R}

$$\text{LP: } \begin{array}{l} \max \quad c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad Ax \leq b \end{array} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \max \\ \text{s.t.} \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{linear} \\ \text{constraints} \\ (*) \end{array}$$

Decision version:

Is there a value of x
satisfying $(*)$?

Farkas' Lemma (Soundness + Completeness)
of Decision Version

A set $\{Ax - b \geq 0\}$ of linear inequalities
is UNSAT over \mathbb{R} iff

$$\exists y \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } y^T A = 0, \quad y^T b = -1$$

Sherali Adams (SA)

Some (equivalent) views

- LP tightening
- Pseudo distributions
- As a proof system

SA as LP tightening (degree d)

- Add new variables to represent all $\text{degree} \leq d$ terms
- This "lifts" polytope from n dimensions to n^{old} dimensions.
- Projection back to x_1, \dots, x_n preserves all 0/1 solutions (+ removes some fractional ones)

SA degree d tightening

Original LP: [ignore $\max c^T x$]
 $Ax \geq b, 0 \leq x \leq 1$
 $1 \geq 0$

add new variables $y_s \quad \forall s \in [n], |s| \leq d$

Impose constraints $\prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in T} (1-x_i) \cdot (a^T x - b) \geq 0$
 $S \cap T = \emptyset \quad |S \cup T| \leq d$ "Junta"
 $\forall \text{rows } a \in A$

New constraints:

lifted SA constraints (*)

$$\begin{cases} y_\emptyset = 1 \\ y_{\{i\}} = x_i \\ 0 \leq y_s \leq 1 \\ \sum_{T' \subseteq T} (-1)^{|T'|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_{S \cup T' \cup \{i\}} - b y_{S \cup T'} \right) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall \text{rows } a \in A$$

above constraints translated to linear inequalities using new y vars plus multilinearization ($x_i^2 = x_i$)

Degree-d pseudoexpectations for SA

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{H} = \{Ax - b \geq 0, x \geq 0, 1 \geq 0\}$$

$\mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is a set of linear functionals

$$E: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H}):$$

$$(1) E(1) = 1$$

$$(2) E(Q) \geq 0 \quad \forall \text{nn-junta } Q \text{ with } \text{degree}(Q) \leq d$$

$$(3) E(PQ) \geq 0 \text{ for } P \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ and } \text{nn-junta } Q \text{ with } \text{degree}(PQ) \leq d$$

$$\text{nn-junta: } d \cdot \prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{j \in T} (1 - x_j)$$

$$S \cup T = \emptyset$$

non-neg
coeff

Each $E \in \mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is called a degree-d "pseudo-dual" for \mathcal{H}

Feasible solutions to degree- d SA polytope are exactly degree- d "pseudo-distributions"

Recall $E \in \mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is a linear functional
s.t. :

$$(*) \begin{cases} \bullet E[1] = 1 \\ \bullet E(Q) \geq 0 \text{ for any junta } Q \text{ of degree } \leq d \\ \bullet E(PQ) \geq 0 \text{ for } P \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and junta } Q \\ \text{with } \deg(PQ) \leq d \end{cases}$$

A feasible soln ^{α} gives a value $\alpha(y_s)$ to every variable y_s (every degree $\leq d$ junta) such that all linear constraints are satisfied.

The corresponding pseudodistribution E_α is obtained in the obvious way. For any degree- d polynomial, we make it multilinear, and then use $\alpha(y_s)$ values.

$$\text{Ex. } f = -x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_7 - 3x_8 x_1$$

$$E_\alpha[f] = -\alpha(y_{124}) + \alpha(y_7) - 3y_{18}$$

The degree- d SA (linear constraints
(in y_S vars) convert to properties α)
when we replace y_S by corresponding
monomials

For each feasible point α of degree- d
SA LP, the functional E_α defines
a "pseudodistribution" in the sense that
for every set S of $\leq d$ original
variables, E_α gives a probability distrib.
to all $\{0,1\}$ assignments to S
and $\forall S', S$ s.t. $S' \subseteq S$, and $|S'| \leq d$,
the marginal distrib. on S' [w.r.t.
distribution over S] is equal to the
distrib on $\{0,1\}$ ass's to S'

SA as a Refutation System

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of polynomial equalities
(includes $x_i^2 - x_i = 0$)

Let \mathcal{H} be a set of poly inequalities $[Ax - b \geq 0]$
(includes $1 \geq 0$)

A degree- d SA derivation of -1 from $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H})$
[witnessing no feasible solution]

is $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m g_i f_i + \sum_{l=1}^s p_l h_l = -1$$

↑
arbitrary
poly's

↑ $h_l \in \mathcal{H}$
juntas ie. $\prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{j \in T} (1 - x_j)$

$$S \cap T = \emptyset$$

Degree d :

max degree of $\{g_i f_i, p_l h_l\}$ is d

* Note \mathcal{F} includes $x_i^2 - x_i = 0$

SA Lemma Let $\mathcal{H} = \{Ax \geq 0, b \geq 0\}$

Then the degree- d SA LP for \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{H} = \{x_i^2 - x_i = 0\}$
no feasible solution iff

there is a degree- d SA refutation
of \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}

Pf

(1) degree- d SA LP has a feas. soln \Rightarrow
there is no degree- d SA refutation

plug in feas. soln into alleged SA ref.

The LHS will evaluate to something ≥ 0

(2) degree- d SA LP has no feas soln \Rightarrow
 \exists a degree- d SA refutation

By Farkas Lemma, \exists nonneg linear comb
of inequalities (*) that is -1

They convert to a degree- d SA
refutation - need $x_i^2 - x_i$ to multilinearize

Last class: We did indep. set example.
and saw that degree $d=2$ SA LP
derives all odd-cycle constraints

Unfortunately, there are easy
examples of ind. set where
 $d=2$ SA performs very badly:

Ex the complete graph.

$$\text{Have: } \forall (i,j) \quad x_i + x_j \leq 1$$

Cannot derive in low degree

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 1$$

SA degree d tightening [Alternative & equivalent way]

Original LP: $\max c^T x$
s.t. $Ax \geq b, 0 \leq x \leq 1$
 $1 \geq 0$

add new variables $\gamma_{S,T} \quad \forall S, T \subseteq [n], S \cap T = \emptyset$
 $|S| + |T| = d$

$\gamma_{S,T}$ represents the junta $\prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{j \in T} (1 - x_j)$

New constraints:

$$\gamma_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = 1$$

$$\gamma_{\{i\}, \emptyset} = x_i \quad \gamma_{\emptyset, \{i\}} = (1 - x_i)$$

$$\gamma_{S,T} (\sum a_i x_i) \geq \gamma_{S,T} \cdot b$$

converted to a linear constraint using

$$\gamma_{S, \{i\}} = x_i \cdot \gamma_{S,T}$$

$$\gamma_{S, T \cup \{i\}} = (1 - x_i) \cdot \gamma_{S,T}$$

SA as a Derivation System

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of polynomial equalities
(includes $x_i^2 - x_i = 0$)

Let \mathcal{H} be a set of poly inequalities $[Ax - b \geq 0]$
(includes $1 \geq 0$)

A degree- d SA derivation of $P \geq c_0$ from $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H})$

[witnessing $\{\mathcal{F} = 0, \mathcal{H} \geq 0\} \Rightarrow P \geq c_0$]

is $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m g_i f_i + \sum_{l=1}^s p_l h_l = P - c_0$$

↑
arbitrary
poly's

↑ $h_l \in \mathcal{H}$
juntas ie. $\prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{j \in T} (1 - x_j)$

$$S \cap T = \emptyset$$

Degree d :

max degree of $\{g_i f_i, p_l h_l\}$ is d

Lemma Let $\mathcal{H} = \{Ax \geq 0, P \geq 0\}$

Then $\min \{E(P) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H})\}$

equals

$\max \{c_0 \mid \text{There is a degree-}d \text{ SA derivation of } P \geq c_0 \text{ from } \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I} = \{x_i^2 - x_i = 0\}\}$

In words, recall that each $E \in \mathcal{E}_d(\mathcal{H})$ corresponds to a feasible solution of degree- d SA LP.

The solution has some value $E(P)$.

The lemma says that there is a feasible solution of value c_0 iff SA can derive in degree d that \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I} implies $P \geq c_0$

So if there is no feasible solution of value c_0 , then $\mathcal{H} \cup \{P \geq c_0\}, \mathcal{I} = \{x_i^2 - x_i = 0\}$ has a degree d SA refutation.

Pf of this Lemma (derivational version of SA Lemma) is same/
similar

Automatizability of SA

degree d SA LP has $n^{O(d)}$

linear constraints, so solvable in
time $\text{poly}(d)$

Equivalently degree- d SA refutations
can be found in time $n^{O(d)}$

[just make a system of n^d linear
equations + solve]

What about size automatizability?

$$\text{UB: size } s \Rightarrow 2^{\sqrt{n \log s}}$$

for $s = \text{poly}(n)$ this is expl time!

Q: Prove or disprove: SA
is poly automatizable
(wrt size)

Uses of SA

1. Degree lower-bounded arborescences [Bateni, Charikar, Guruswami STOC '09]

2. Scheduling - $\left[\begin{array}{l} n \text{ unit size jobs, } m \text{ machines} \\ m \text{ constant, jobs have} \\ \text{precedence constraints} \end{array} \right]$

polylog(n) round SA gets $(1-\epsilon)$
approx alg [Gang '17]

earlier $(\log n)^{\log \log n}$ [Levy, Rothvoss '16]

↙ Max-Min allocation problem

n players, m items utility P_{ij} $i \in [n], j \in [m]$
additive

Find allocation to maximize the min utility of the players

Technical core: Max Min Degree Arborescence

given directed G find subgraph H st.
indegree ≤ 1 , contains all sources of G , sinks of H
are sinks of G , maximize min outdegree of
vertices in H .

Other Proof Systems Related to Sherali - Adams:

1. Dynamic SA : LS_d
2. Nullstellensatz :
like SA but only equalities
3. Polynomial Calculus
like dynamic SA (LS_d)
but only inequalities
4. Cutting Planes

LS_d Lovast-Schrijver "Left and Project" SA

Idea is to repeatedly apply SA_d algorithm (projecting down to original vars each time)

A proof is a sequence of lines

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\geq 0 \\ P_2 &\geq 0 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

down to original vars each time)

where each $P_i \geq 0$ is

- an axiom
- a given inequality
- derived by an inference

Complexity Measures

Size : bit size of all coeff's
(explicit sums of monomials)

Monomial-size

Length : # of inequalities

Degree : max degree

Height : longest path in proof dag

Notation $P_1 \geq 0 \dots P_m \geq 0 \stackrel{H}{D} P \geq 0$

SA / LS_g inferences / axioms

given $P_i \geq 0 \dots P_m \geq 0$ initial inequalities

axioms $x_i^2 - x_i \geq 0$

Inference Let Q_i be conjuncts

[non-neg linear combinations
of conjuncts]

with

$$Q_0 + P_1 Q_1 + P_2 Q_2 + \dots + P_m Q_m = P$$

can derive $P \geq 0$ ← linear
ineq

Degree d SA: one-shot pf system

so derivation dag is a star:

$$P_i \geq 0 \dots P_m \geq 0 \quad x_i^2 - x_i = 0 \dots$$



LS_d : Can apply inference rule repeatedly so pf dag more complicated.

Can prove stuff that SA can prove in lower degree (due to cancellations)

Called "Lift and Project"

h = height = # of steps of lift/project

Now finding a degree d , ht h proof (or optimizing over h rounds of degree d SA lift/project)

Can be done in time $n^{o(hd)}$

1. Apply SA_d to derive some new linear inequalities
2. Repeat on extended set of linear inequalities

Nullsatz (like SA but start with $l_i \geq 0$ $l_i \leq 0$
and don't have $l \geq 0$)

Now we start with only polynomial equalities
[$P_i \geq 0$ and $P_i \leq 0$]
plus $x_i^2 - x_i = 0$.

Inference rule simplifies to:

Start with $P_1 = 0, \dots, P_m = 0, x_i^2 - x_i = 0$

Let

$$\sum P_i Q_i + \sum (x_i^2 - x_i) R_i = P$$

where now Q_i, R_i are
arbitrary polynomials

Then can derive $P = 0$

Nullsatz For UNSAT CNF

2 possible conversions $x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3$

$$\textcircled{1} x_1 + (1-x_2) + x_3 \geq 1$$

$$\textcircled{2} (1-x_1)(x_2)(x_3) = 0 \quad \text{using } x_i^2 - x_i = 0$$

Need to use $\textcircled{2}$ for Nullsatz

Using this conversion, we have:

Theorem If $f = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$ (unsat KCNF) has a degree d Nullsatz refutation, then it has a degree d SA refutation.

Theorem There are UNSAT KCNFs that have small degree SA refutations but no small degree Nullsatz refutations [don't have NON-NEG] $\text{unitas} \geq 0$

PC : polynomial Calculus

Same as Nullsatz but now proofs are dynamic

Degree d Nullsatz proofs can be found in time $n^{O(d)}$: Linear system of $n^{O(d)}$ eqns + vars

Degree d PC proofs can also be found in time $n^{O(d)}$ - proof is degree- d truncated multilinear version of Grobner basis alg

Notes

- ① Nullsatz, PC over arbitrary fields not just \mathbb{R}
- ② Soundness/completeness for arb. poly eq's over \mathbb{F} follows by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Notes cont'd

- ③ Example where SA is stronger than Nullsatz (wrt degree)

graph pebbling. degree- d SA can p -simulate width- d Resolution.



- ④ Example where PC is stronger than Nullsatz (wrt degree):

Induction (pebbling on a line)

$$x_1 \quad x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \quad x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \quad \dots \quad x_{n-1} \rightarrow x_n \quad x_n$$

$$x_n = 0 \quad 1 - x_1 = 0 \quad x_1(1 - x_2) = 0 \quad x_2(1 - x_3) = 0 \quad \dots \quad x_{n-1}(1 - x_n) = 0$$

Nullsatz : $\Theta(\log n)$ degree

PC : $\Theta(1)$ degree

Cutting Planes

Again a proof system for tightening an LP.

Rules:

1. Nonneg linear combinations

2. Division with rounding

$$\sum a_i x_i \geq a_0, \text{ where } a_1 \dots a_n \text{ divisible by } k$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \frac{a_i}{k} x_i \geq \frac{\lceil a_0 \rceil}{k}$$

* Not known to be automatizable.