Analyze a list of change requests for the UMLet application. Using an appropriate software development process, select at least two change requests from the list, complete the implementation of them, and provide test cases suitable to demonstrate that the changes have been correctly implemented. The assignment requires you to use your judgment about what software process to use, and which change requests to select for implementation. There is no “correct” choice – you will be given credit for selecting change requests that can be implemented correctly in the time available, and which are most likely to satisfy the users. Note that users are more likely to value simple fixes that work reliably over more ambitions features that are incomplete. For this assignment, assume that your TAs and instructor are representative of your target users.

The project is to be carried out in your assigned teams. Each team will submit one report.

I. Doing the Assignment

This assignment has 8 steps. They are:

1. **Read the prioritized list of change requests provided on the next page.** Check that you understand what each change request is asking for. Ask your TA or the instructor if you need any user clarifications.

2. **Draw a Use Case Diagram illustrating all the Use Cases relevant to this list of changes.** Use an appropriate UML drawing tool to draw this diagram.

3. **Select an appropriate software process model to guide you through the remainder of this assignment.** Use any of the software process models presented in class (e.g. SCRUM, XP, ICONIX, RUP), or another that you are familiar with. You will need to consider how to adapt the process to your particular needs.

4. **Plan the new (minor) release of UMLet by selecting an appropriate subset of the list of change requests on the next page.** Use your chosen process model to guide you. This may involve documenting the Use Cases in more detail. It may involve estimating the effort required to implement each change, and identifying any anticipated risks. It should involve some method for determining with team member is allocated to work on which task. Note: your planned release must include implementation of at least two of the requested features.

5. **Implement your selected change requests.** Be sure the check the edited code back into your code repository when it is ready. Your TAs may check out the code from your repository to run it, when they are marking this assignment. Make sure you clearly indicate which version to check out!
6. **Write test cases to demonstrate that the changes have been implemented correctly.** Design these as "customer acceptance" tests – i.e. a description of the steps a user needs to carry out to check that the feature works as requested.

7. **Write a report** that describes the steps you went through to select and implement the change requests that were included in your new version of UMLet. Be sure to document your development process, and comment on how well the process worked for you.

8. **Document your teamwork** and complete team member evaluations and team member evaluation summary form, using the online system on the course website. Submit signed hardcopies of the online forms.

### II. List of change requests

The following list of thirteen change requests has been sorted into (approximate) priority order, with the highest priority (most requested) changes listed first:

1. When attaching an edge to a node (e.g. the end of an association to a class), the user gets no feedback that the attachment worked. Add some suitable feedback (e.g. a colour change of the attachment point) to confirm that it has been attached.

2. The “copy to clipboard” feature currently copies the entire diagram. Modify it to copy only the selected elements (defaulting to the entire diagram if nothing is selected).

3. Most similar editing tools let you drag the elements from a palette and drop it at the main canvas. Currently, in UMLet, dragging an element from the palette just moves it around in the palette. Users report getting confused because dragging an element beyond the border of the palette moves it to a hidden part of the palette. Fix this so that dragging an element from the visible part of the palette does not cause the element to get lost in the palette, or add the drag and drop feature.

4. Currently, to change the name of an element, the new name must be typed in the text editing pane at the right bottom corner of the window. Add the option to also change the name of an element by right clicking on it and typing the new name.

5. Add a feature to allow the user to change the text style of any text to bold.

6. Add the ability to adjust the position of the labels on edges (e.g. multiplicities on associations) to one side of the line or the other.

7. There is a feature “copy to clipboard”, but there is no paste feature. Add a menu item to paste the contents of the clipboard into the diagram.

8. **Bug fix:** When you resize a model element (e.g. a class), it’s possible for the attached edges (e.g. associations) to end up detached, because attachment point gets moved beyond the boundary of the element.

9. Provide a new feature to edit properties of elements (for example the methods and properties of a class) by clicking on the element to be changed, rather than using the text editing pane.

10. **Bug report:** at present associations will only attach to the top of an interface circle. Fix this so that associations will connect to the sides and bottom of the interface circle too.

11. Add ability to use the common keyboard shortcuts (ctrl-c and ctrl-v) for cut and paste.

12. At present, you can create bend points in lines by dragging from any point near the middle of the line. Add a menu item to remove all the bends in a line.

### II. What to Hand In

Hand in your report at the start of your tutorial on the due date. **Reports not handed in within the first ten minutes of the tutorial will be treated as late.**

The report should not exceed twenty (20) pages (not counting cover pages, appendices, and teamwork forms). It should include the following items:

1. A brief description of the software development **process** you used, including the reasons you selected this process, and any steps you took to adapt the process to your needs.
2. A Use Case diagram, plus any other documentation you produced to describe Use Cases and/or change requests in more detail.

3. A brief description of the plan you created for your new version of UMLet. Describe the rationale you used for selecting the changes you chose to include and any risks you identified when you developed the plan. Write a brief technical commentary on how the changes affect the design and/or the code of UMLet.

4. A set of customer acceptance tests, described in a form that would allow any user to download the new version of UMLet, run the application, execute the tests, and determine that the software works correctly.

5. A review of lessons learnt in carrying out this assignment, including commentary on how the chosen process helped or hindered you, and any problems you encountered.

Written Presentation Requirements

Be sure to include a cover page indicating the name of your team, the names of all team members, title of work, course, date and tutor's name. Assignments will be judged on the basis of visual appearance, the grammatical correctness and quality of writing, and the visual appearance and readability of the models, as well as their contents. Please make sure that the text of your report is well-structured, using paragraphs, full sentences, and other features of a well-written presentation. Use itemized lists of points where appropriate. Text font size should be either 10 or 12 point.

IV. Marking Scheme

Your assignment will be marked by your tutor. If you have questions about a marked assignment, you should first ask your tutor before/after a tutorial. If you don’t get satisfactory answers, you should talk to your instructor.

Marks for this assignment will depend on the following factors:

**Description of your process (20%)**: Did you identify and evaluate a suitable development process? Does your choice take into account the circumstances of this project, including project size, team experience and schedule? Did you clearly describe how you adapted the process to your specific team’s needs? Did you understand how to apply the process, and did you follow it? Did you describe how well the process worked, and identify lessons learnt?

**Description of Use Case Analysis (20%)**: Did you identify an appropriate set of use cases for the given list of change requests? Did you draw a Use Case diagram? Are your use cases written from the users’ perspective? Did you provide additional descriptions of the use cases as appropriate to your chosen process model?

**Your plan for the new version of UMLet (20%)**: Did you select a manageable subset of the change requests? Did your plan take into account the user’s prioritization, as well as the time and effort available? Did you clearly state the rational you used for this selection? Did you identify the major risks associated with your plan? Did you follow the plan, making any adjustments to the plan as needed? Did you describe what the changes were, and how they affected the code?

**Working application and test cases (20%)**: Is it clear which version in the repository constitutes the new release? Can the new version of your software be checked out from your repository and does it run? Are your test case clearly described? Can a user execute the test cases without any of your developers being present? Do the changes work the way they should?

**Presentation (20%)**: The style of your presentation, including language, grammar, clarity of the presentation, layout and legibility of the diagrams, etc. (10% - Language; 10% - Style and clarity)