Steps to a Recursive Predicate

1. Predicate Form:

- Choose a predicate name appropriate for something that is true or false.
- Choose mnemonic argument names.
- 2. **Spec:** Write the specification in this form: *pred* succeeds iff ...

3. Base Cases:

- When is it so easy to tell the predicate is true that you needn't check any further?
- Write these base case(s).

4. Recursive Cases:

- When it's not trivial, what do you need to know is true before you can be sure the predicate is true?
- This is the antecedent of your rule.
- There may be several non-trivial cases, each needing a rule.

Lists in Prolog

Two ways to describe a list:

1. [elements-with-commas]

2. [first | rest] (rest must be a list)

Egs: [a | [b, c]]
[a | Rest]

Question: Why use the second form with 1?

Unifying Lists

?- [X, Y, Z] = [john, likes, fish].

?-[cat] = [X|Y].

?-[1,2] = [X|Y].

?-[a,b,c] = [X|Y].

?- [a,b|Z]=[X|Y].

?- [X,abc,Y]=[X,abc|Y].

?- [[the|Y]|Z] = [[X,hare] | [is,here]].

Let's Write Some List Predicates

- 1. member(X, List).
- 2. append(List, List2, Result).
- 3. swapFirstTwo(List, List2).
- 4. length(List).

List Membership

```
?- member(a,[a,b]).
Yes
?- member(a,[b,c]).
No
?- member(X,[a,b,c]).
X=a ;
X=b:
X=c;
No
?- member(a,[c,b,X]).
X=a ;
No
?- member(X,Y).
X = G72, Y = [G72 | G73];
X = G74, Y = [G72, G74|G75];
X = G76, Y = [G72, G74, G76];
. . .
```

Definition of member...

Lazy evaluation of potentially infinite data structures

Trace of Member

```
[trace] ?- member(c,[a,b,c,d]).
  Call: (7) lists:member(c, [a, b, c, d]) ? creep
  Call: (8) lists:member(c, [b, c, d]) ? creep
  Call: (9) lists:member(c, [c, d]) ? creep
  Exit: (9) lists:member(c, [c, d]) ? creep
  Exit: (8) lists:member(c, [b, c, d]) ? creep
  Exit: (7) lists:member(c, [a, b, c, d]) ? creep
```

Yes

Append - More than "appending"

Definition of append

```
Build a list:
     ?- append([a],[b],Y).
     Y=[a,b]
     Yes
Break a list up:
     ?- append(X,[b],[a,b]).
    X=[a]
    Yes
    ?- append([a],Y,[a,b]).
   Y=[b]
    Yes
```

Append (cont.)

```
?- append(X,Y,[a,b]).
      X=[],Y=[a,b];
      X=[a],Y=[b];
      X=[a,b],Y=[;
      No
Generate lists:
      ?- append(X,[b],Z).
      X=[],Z=[b]
      X = [ G98], Z = [G98,b];
       X=[_G98,_G102],Z=[_G98,_G102,b];
  Trace:
  [trace] ?- append([a,b,c],[p,q,r],L).
   Call: (7) lists:append([a, b, c], [p, q, r], _G303) ? creep
   Call: (8) lists:append([b, c], [p, q, r], _G426) ? creep
   Call: (9) lists:append[c], [p, q, r], _G429) ? creep
  Call: (10) lists:append([], [p, q, r], _G432) ? creep
  Exit: (10) lists:append([], [p, q, r], [p, q, r]) ? creep
  Exit: (9) lists:append[c], [p, q, r], [c, p, q, r]) ? creep
 Exit: (8) lists:append([b, c], [p, q, r], [b, c, p, q, r]) ?
 Exit: (7) lists:append
     ([a, b, c], [p, q, r], [a, b, c, p, q, r]) ? creep
L = [a, b, c, p, q, r];
No
```

Try some other traces!

Computing the Length of a List

Definition of length...

```
?- length[a,b,c],L).
L = 3
?- length([],L).
L = 0
?- length(X,3).
X = [_G66,_G68,_G70]
?- length(X,0).
X = []
```

NOTE: Use built-in length function!!

Trace of Length:

Observe why this doesn't work!

```
xlength([],0).
xlength([_|Y],N) :- xlength(Y,N-1).

[trace] ?- xlength([a,b,c,d],X).
    Call: (7) xlength([a, b, c, d], _G296) ? creep
    Call: (8) xlength([b, c, d], _G296-1) ? creep
    Call: (9) xlength[c, d], _G296-1-1) ? creep
    Call: (10) xlength([d], _G296-1-1-1) ? creep
    Call: (11) xlength([], _G296-1-1-1) ? creep
    Fail: (11) xlength([], _G296-1-1-1) ? creep
    Fail: (9) xlength([d], _G296-1-1) ? creep
    Fail: (8) xlength([b, c, d], _G296-1) ? creep
    Fail: (7) xlength([a, b, c, d], _G296) ? creep
No
```

Trace of Length (cont)

But this does work

```
mylength([],0).
  mylength([_|Y],N) := mylength2(Y,M), N is M+1.
  [trace] ?- mylength([a,b,c,d],X).
     Call: (7) mylength([a, b, c, d], _G296) ? creep
     Call: (8) mylength([b, c, d], _L206) ? creep
    Call: (9) mylength[c, d], _L225) ? creep
    Call: (10) mylength([d], _L244) ? creep
    Call: (11) mylength([], _L263) ? creep
    Exit: (11) mylength([], 0) ? creep
 ^ Call: (11) _L244 is 0+1 ? creep
 ^ Exit: (11) 1 is 0+1 ? creep
   Exit: (10) mylength([d], 1) ? creep
 ^ Call: (10) _L225 is 1+1 ? creep
 ^ Exit: (10) 2 is 1+1 ? creep
   Exit: (9) mylength[c, d], 2) ? creep
^ Call: (9) _L206 is 2+1 ? creep
^ Exit: (9) 3 is 2+1 ? creep
  Exit: (8) mylength([b, c, d], 3) ? creep
^ Call: (8) _G296 is 3+1 ? creep
^ Exit: (8) 4 is 3+1 ? creep
  Exit: (7) mylength([a, b, c, d], 4) ? creep
X = 4
Yes
```

Accessing More Than One Initial Element

Definition of swap_first_two...

```
?- swap_first_two([a,b], [b,a]).
Yes
?- swap_first_two([a,b], [b,c]).
?- swap_first_two([a,b,c], [b,a,c]).
Yes
?- swap_first_two([a,b,c], [b,a,d]).
?- swap_first_two([a,b,c], X).
X = [b,a,c];
No
?- swap_first_two([a,b|Y], X).
Y = _56, X = [b,a]_56];
No
?- swap_first_two([],X).
?- swap_first_two([a],X).
No
?- swap_first_two([a,b],X).
X = [b,a];
No
```

Lists of a Specified Length

Lists of a Specified Length

Definition of list_of_elem...

New definition of list of elem...

```
?- list_elem(X,b,3).
X = [b,b,b];
ERROR: Out of global stack
?- list_of_elem(X,Y,2).
X = [_50,_50]
Y = _50;
ERROR: Out of global stack
```

```
?- working_list_elem(X,b,3).
X = [b,b,b];
No

?- working_list_elem(X,Y,2).
X = [_50,_50]
Y = _50;
No
```

Beyond Horn Logic

- So far, we have studied what is known as *pure* logic programming, in which all the rules are Horn.
- For some applications, however, we need to go beyond this.
- For instance, we often need
 - Arithmetic
 - Negation
- Fortunately, these can easily be accomodated by simple extensions to the logicprogramming framework,

Arithmetic in Prolog

What is the result of these queries:

$$?- X = 97-65, Y = 32-0, X = Y.$$

?-
$$X = 97-65$$
, $Y = 67$, $Z = 95-Y$, $X = Z$.

To get an expression evaluated, use X is expression where expression

- is an arithmetic expression, and
- is fully instantiated.

Examples:

Let's Write Some Predicates with Arithmetic

- 1. factorial(N, Ans).
- 2. sumlist(List, Total).

Factorial

```
factorial(0,1).
```

What are the preconditions for factorial?

Factorial with an Accumulator:

```
factorial2(N,A,F) :-
    N > 0,
    A1 is N*A,
    N1 is N -1,
    factorial2(N1,A1,F).
```

factorial2(0,X,X).

What are the preconditions?

Trace of Factorial

```
[trace] ?- factorial(3,X).
   Call: (7) factorial(3, _G284) ? creep
  Call: (8) _L205 is 3-1 ? creep
  Exit: (8) 2 is 3-1 ? creep
   Call: (8) factorial(2, _L206) ? creep
  Call: (9) _L224 is 2-1 ? creep
  Exit: (9) 1 is 2-1 ? creep
   Call: (9) factorial(1, _L225) ? creep
  Call: (10) _L243 is 1-1 ? creep
   Exit: (10) 0 is 1-1 ? creep
   Call: (10) factorial(0, _L244) ? creep
   Exit: (10) factorial(0, 1) ? creep
   Call: (10) _L225 is 1*1 ? creep
   Exit: (10) 1 is 1*1 ? creep
   Exit: (9) factorial(1, 1) ? creep
^ Call: (9) _L206 is 1*2 ? creep
  Exit: (9) 2 is 1*2 ? creep
   Exit: (8) factorial(2, 2) ? creep
  Call: (8) _G284 is 2*3 ? creep
  Exit: (8) 6 is 2*3 ? creep
   Exit: (7) factorial(3, 6) ? creep
X = 6
Yes
```

Trace of Factorial w/ an Accumulator

```
[trace] ?- factorial2(3,1,Z).
   Call: (8) factorial2(3, 1, _G288) ? creep
^ Call: (9) 3>0 ? creep
  Exit: (9) 3>0 ? creep
^ Call: (9) _L206 is 3*1 ? creep
^ Exit: (9) 3 is 3*1 ? creep
^ Call: (9) _L207 is 3-1 ? creep
^ Exit: (9) 2 is 3-1 ? creep
   Call: (9) factorial2(2, 3, _G288) ? creep
^ Call: (10) 2>0 ? creep
^ Exit: (10) 2>0 ? creep
^ Call: (10) _L226 is 2*3 ? creep
^ Exit: (10) 6 is 2*3 ? creep
  Call: (10) _L227 is 2-1 ? creep
^ Exit: (10) 1 is 2-1 ? creep
   Call: (10) factorial2(1, 6, _G288) ? creep
^ Call: (11) 1>0 ? creep
  Exit: (11) 1>0 ? creep
^ Call: (11) _L246 is 1*6 ? creep
^ Exit: (11) 6 is 1*6 ? creep
^ Call: (11) L247 is 1-1 ? creep
^ Exit: (11) 0 is 1-1 ? creep
   Call: (11) factorial2(0, 6, _G288) ? creep
   Exit: (11) factorial2(0, 6, 6) ? creep
   Exit: (10) factorial2(1, 6, 6) ? creep
  Exit: (9) factorial2(2, 3, 6) ? creep
  Exit: (8) factorial2(3, 1, 6) ? creep
7 = 6
Yes
```

Sum of List

sumlist([],0).

```
sumlist([X|Rest],Ans) :- sumlist(Rest,Partial),
                             Ans is Partial+X.
Trace:
[trace] ?- sumlist([5,10,3],Y).
   Call: (7) sumlist([5, 10, 3], _G293) ? creep
  Call: (8) sumlist([10, 3], _L207) ? creep
  Call: (9) sumlist([3], _L227) ? creep
  Call: (10) sumlist([], _L247) ? creep
   Exit: (10) sumlist([], 0) ? creep
^ Call: (10) _L227 is 0+3 ? creep
^ Exit: (10) 3 is 0+3 ? creep
   Exit: (9) sumlist([3], 3) ? creep
  Call: (9) _L207 is 3+10 ? creep
  Exit: (9) 13 is 3+10 ? creep
  Exit: (8) sumlist([10, 3], 13) ? creep
^ Call: (8) _G293 is 13+5 ? creep
^ Exit: (8) 18 is 13+5 ? creep
  Exit: (7) sumlist([5, 10, 3], 18) ? creep
Y = 18
Yes
```

Arithmetic Predicates may not be Invertible

We may not be able to "invert" a predicate that involves arithmetic.

That is, we may not be able to put a variable in a different place.

Tip: Every time you write is, you must be sure the expression will be fully instantiated. If necessary, put a precondition on your predicate.

Negation as Failure

No equivalent of logical not in Prolog:

- Prolog can only assert that something is true.
- Prolog cannot assert that something is false.
- Prolog can assert that the given facts and rules do not allow something to be proven true.

Negation as Failure

Assuming that something unprovable is false is called **negation as failure**.

(Based on a closed world assumption.)

The goal $\backslash +(G)$ succeeds whenever the goal G fails.

```
?- member(b,[a,b,c]).
Yes
?- \+(member(b,[a,b,c]).
No
?- \+(member(b,[a,c])).
yes
```

Example: Disjoint Sets

```
overlap(S1,S2) :- member(X,S1),member(X,S2).

disjoint(S1,S2) :- \+(overlap(S1,S2).

?- overlap[a,b,c],[c,d,e]).

Yes
?- overlap[a,b,c],[d,e,f]).

No
?- disjoint([a,b,c],[c,d,e]).

No
?- disjoint([a,b,c],[d,e,f]).

Yes
?- disjoint([a,b,c],X).

No %<-----Not what we wanted</pre>
```

Example: Disjoint Sets (cont.)

```
disjoint(S1,S2) :- \+(overlap(S1,S2).

?- disjoint([a,b,c],X).
No %<----Not what we wanted

[trace] ?- disjoint[a,b,c],X).
   Call: (7) disjoint[a, b, c], _G293) ? creep
   Call: (8) overlap[a, b, c], _G293) ? creep
   Call: (9) lists:member(_L230, [a, b, c]) ? creep
   Exit: (9) lists:member(a, [a, b, c]) ? creep
   Call: (9) lists:member(a, _G293) ? creep
   Exit: (9) lists:member(a, _G293) ? creep
   Exit: (8) overlap[a, b, c], [a|_G352]) ? creep
   Fail: (7) disjoint[a, b, c], _G293) ? creep</pre>
```

overlap(S1,S2) :- member(X,S1),member(X,S2).

No

Proper use of Negation as Failure

 $\backslash +(G)$ works properly only in the following cases:

1. When G is fully instantiated at the time prolog processes the goal $\backslash +(G)$.

(In this case, $\backslash +(G)$ is interpreted to mean "goal G does not succeed".)

2. When all variables in G are unique to G, i.e., they don't appear elsewhere in the same clause.

(In this case, $\backslash +(G(X))$ is interpreted to mean "There is no value of X that will make G(X) succeed".)

Safety

Consider the following rule:

(*) hates(tom,X) :- not loves(tom,X).

This may NOT be what we want, for several reasons:

• The answer is *infinite*, since for any person p not mentioned in the database, we cannot infer loves(tom,p), so we must infer hates(tom,p).

Rule (*) is therefore said to be <u>unsafe</u>.

• The rule does not require X to be a person. e.g., since we cannot infer

```
loves(tom,hammer)
loves(tom,verbs)
loves(tom,green)
loves(tom,abc)
```

we must infer that tom hates all these things.

Safety (Cont'd)

To avoid these problems, rules with negation should be guarded:

i.e., Tom hates every green vegetable that he does not love.

Here, vegetable and green are called <u>guard literals</u>. They guard against safety problems by binding X to specific values in the database.