
LOGIC PROGRAMMING AND PROLOG

Reading:

- Sebesta, chapter 16

References:

- Clocksin and Mellish, Ch. 1-4, 6, 8
- Online Resources (tutorials, SWI page etc.)

Some material ©Diane Horton 2000, and Suzanne Stevenson 2001, and Sheila McIlraith 2004.

1

Logic Programming and Prolog

Logic programming languages are not procedural or functional.

- Specify *relations* between objects
 - `larger(3,2)`
 - `father(tom,jane)`
- Separate logic from control:
 - Programmer declares **what** facts and relations are true.
 - System determines **how** to use facts to solve problems.
 - System **instantiates** variables in order to make relations true!
- Computation engine: theorem-proving and recursion (Unification, Resolution, Backward Chaining, Backtracking)
 - Higher-level than imperative languages

2

Jumping Right In

Suppose we state these facts:

```
male(albert).          parent(albert,edward).
female(alice).         parent(victoria,edward).
male(edward).          parent(albert,alice).
female(victoria).      parent(victoria,alice).
```

We can then make queries:

```
?- male(albert).      Yes
?- male(victoria).    No
?- female(Person).    Person = alice;
                      Person = victoria;
                      No
?- parent(Person, edward). Person = albert;
                                Person = victoria;
                                No
?- parent(Person, edward), female(Person). Person = victoria;
                                No
```

3

We can also state rules, such as this one:

```
sibling(X, Y) :- parent(P, X),
                parent(P, Y).
```

Then the queries become more interesting:

```
?- sibling(albert, victoria).
No
?- sibling(edward, Sib).
Sib = edward;
Sib = alice;
Sib = edward;
Sib = alice;
No
```

4

Prolog vs Scheme

In Scheme, we program with **functions** ("procedures").

- A function's arguments are different from the function's value.
- Give a single Scheme function, we can only ask one kind of question:

Here are the argument values; tell me what is the function's value.

In Prolog, we program with **relations**.

- There is no bias; all arguments are the same.
- Given a single Prolog predicate, we can ask many kinds of question:

Here are some of the argument values; tell me what the others have to be in order to make a true statement.

Logic Programming

- A program consists of facts and rules.
- Running a program means asking queries.
- The language tries to find one way (or more) to prove that the query is true.
- This may have the side effect of freezing variable values.
- The language determines how to do all of this, *not* the programmer

- How does the language do it? Using unification, resolution, and backtracking.

6

The swi Interface on cdf

```
cdf% ls
family.pl

cdf% pl
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (Multi-threaded, Version 5.2.11)
Copyright (c) 1990-2003 University of Amsterdam.
SWI-Prolog comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. This is free software and you are welcome to redistribute it under certain conditions.
Please visit http://www.swi-prolog.org for details.

For help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).

?- ['family']. <----- load file family.pl
% family compiled 0.00 sec, 5,264 bytes
Yes

?- parent(Person, edward).
Person = albert; <----- ";" to get more
Person = victoria;
No

?- parent(Person, edward).

Person = albert <----- "a", CR, space to break
Yes
```

7

```
?- trace.
Yes
[trace]

[trace] ?- parent(Person, edward).
[trace] ?- parent(Person, edward).
Call: (? parent(_G28, edward) ? creep <- CR to continue
Exit: (? parent(albert, edward) ? creep

Person = albert ;
  Redo: (? parent(_G28, edward) ? creep
  Exit: (? parent(victoria, edward) ? creep

Person = victoria
Yes
[debug] ?-

  (O) Call: parent(_57,edward) ?
  (O) Exit: parent(albert,edward) ?
Person = albert;
  (O) Redo: parent(albert,edward) ?
  (O) Exit: parent(victoria,edward) ?
Person = victoria
Yes
[trace]
```

8

```

?- notrace.
Yes

?- parent(Person, edward).

Person = albert;
Person = victoria;
No

?- halt.
cdf%

```



```

cdf% pl
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (Multi-threaded, Version 5.2.11) ...

For help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).

?- [family].
[family loaded]
Yes

?- parent(Person, edward).

Person = albert;
Person = victoria;
No

--- edit family.P and remove parent(albert,edward). ---
?- [family'].

% family compiled 0.00 sec, 5,200 bytes
Yes

?- parent(Person, edward).

Person = victoria;
No

?- halt.
cdf%

```

9

Some Prolog Syntax

Lexical Rules:

- Variables are capitalized.
- Constants begin with a lower case letter.
- Predicate names begin with a lower case letter.

Simplified Grammar:

```

<clause> ::= <pred> |
              <pred> :- <pred> { , <pred> } .

<pred> ::= <pname> '(' <term> { , <term> } ')'

<term> ::= <int> | <atom> | <var>

```

Note: No blank between predicate name and opening bracket.

10

Prolog Queries

A query is a proposed fact that is to be proven.

- If the query has no variables, returns yes/no.
- If the query has variables, returns appropriate values of variables (called a substitution).

11

Horn Clauses (Rules)

A Horn Clause is: $c \leftarrow h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge h_3 \wedge \dots \wedge h_n$

- Antecedents: conjunction of zero or more conditions which are atomic formulae in predicate logic
- Consequent: an atomic formula in predicate logic

Meaning of a Horn clause:

- "The consequent is true if the antecedents are all true"
- c is true if $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_n$ are all true

12

Horn Clause Terminology

- Horn Clause = Clause
- Consequent = Goal = Head
- Antecedents = Subgoals = Tail
- Horn Clause with No Tail = Fact
- Horn Clause with Tail = Rule

In Prolog, a Horn clause

$c \leftarrow h_1 \wedge \dots \wedge h_n$

is written

$c :- h_1, \dots, h_n.$

Syntax elements: $'.'$, $','$, $'.'$

13

Prolog Horn Clause Examples

A Horn clause with no tail:

`male(albert).`

I.e., a fact: albert is a male dependent on no other conditions

A Horn clause with a tail:

`father(albert,edward):-
male(albert), parent(albert,edward).`

I.e., a rule: albert is the father of edward if albert is male and albert is a parent of edward's.

- There can be zero or more antecedents, but they are conjoined; we cannot disjoin them
- There cannot be more than 1 consequent.

Restrictions

$c :- a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n.$

which means in logic:

$a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n \rightarrow c.$

14

Meaning of Prolog Rules Without Variables

A prolog rule must have this form:

15

Bending the Restrictions

Getting disjoined antecedents

Example: $a_1 \vee a_2 \vee a_3 \vee \dots \rightarrow c$.

Solution:

Getting more than 1 consequent, conjoined

Example: $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3 \rightarrow c_1 \wedge c_2$.

Solution:

Getting more than 1 consequent, disjoined

Example: $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3 \rightarrow c_1 \vee c_2$.

Solution:

16

Why Can't We Disjoin Consequents?

Why did the designers of Prolog disallow this?

Logic Review

Horn Clauses with Variables

Variables may appear in the antecedents and consequent of a Horn clause:

- $c(X_1, \dots, X_n) \vdash h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.
"For all values of X_1, \dots, X_n , the formula $c(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is true if the formula $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is true"
- $c(X_1, \dots, X_n) \vdash h(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$.
"For all values of X_1, \dots, X_n , the formula $c(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is true if there exist values of Y_1, \dots, Y_k such that the formula $h(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ is true"

17

18

19

Meaning of Prolog Rules With Variables

Example:

$\text{isaMother}(X) :- \text{female}(X), \text{parent}(X, Y).$

Logic:

$\text{parent}(X, Y) \wedge \text{female}(X) \supset \text{isaMother}(X).$

But this is meaningless without quantifiers for the variables.

The rule

A Prolog rule of this form ($n \geq 0, m \leq n, k \geq 0$):

$c(X_1, \dots, X_n) : - a(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_k).$

means:

$\forall X_1, \dots, X_n \ [\exists Y_1, \dots, Y_k \ [a(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \supset c(X_1, \dots, X_n)]]$

20

Sample run

```
cd% pl
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (Multi-threaded, Version 5.2.11).```
?- ['family'].

Warning: (./family.pl:50):
Singleton variables: [Y]
% family compiled 0.00 sec, 5,528 bytes

?- isaMother(X).
X = victoria;
X = victoria;
No
```

21

Rule Ordering and Unification

- rule ordering used in search
- unification requires two instances of the same variable in the same rule to get the same value
- unification does not require differently named variables to get different values: hence, sibling(edward,edward).
- all rules searched if requested by ;'

How Prolog Handles a Query

Example 1

Database:

- 1) male(tom).
- 2) male(peter).
- 3) male(doug).
- 4) female(susan).
- 5) male(david).
- 6) parent(doug, susan).
- 7) parent(tom, william).
- 8) parent(doug, david).
- 9) parent(doug, tom).
- 10) grandfather(GP, GC) :- male(GP),
parent(GP, X),
parent(X, GC).

Query:

? - grandfather(X, Y).

22

23

Trace it by hand

Trace it in Prolog

```
[trace] ?- grandfather(X,Y).
Call: (7) grandfather(_G28, _G284) ? creep
Call: (8) male(_G283) ? creep
Exit: (8) male(tom) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(tom, _L205) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(tom, william) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(william, _G284) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(william, _G284) ? creep
Redo: (8) male(_G283) ? creep
Exit: (8) male(peter) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(peter, _L205) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(peter, _L205) ? creep
Redo: (8) male(_G283) ? creep
Exit: (8) male(doug) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(doug, _L205) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(doug, susan) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(susan, _G284) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(susan, _G284) ? creep
Redo: (8) parent(doug, _L205) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(doug, david) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(david, _G284) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(david, _G284) ? creep
Redo: (8) parent(doug, _L205) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(doug, tom) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(tom, _G284) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(tom, william) ? creep
Exit: (7) grandfather(doug, william) ? creep
X = doug
Y = william
Yes
```

24

25

26

27

Trace it by hand

Trace it in Prolog

```
[trace] ?- sibling(alice,Asib).
Call: (7) sibling(alice, _G284) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(_L205, alice) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(albert, alice) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(albert, _G284) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(albert, edward) ? creep
Exit: (7) sibling(alice, edward) ? creep
Asib = edward ;
Redo: (8) parent(albert, _G284) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(albert, alice) ? creep
Exit: (7) sibling(alice, alice) ? creep
Asib = alice ;
Redo: (8) parent(_L205, alice) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(victoria, alice) ? creep
Call: (8) parent(victoria, _G284) ? creep
Fail: (8) parent(victoria, edward) ? creep
Exit: (7) sibling(alice, edward) ? creep
Asib = edward ;
Redo: (8) parent(victoria, _G284) ? creep
Exit: (8) parent(victoria, alice) ? creep
Exit: (7) sibling(alice, alice) ? creep
Asib = alice ;
No
```

28

29

30

31

Prolog Search Trees

- Each **node** is an ordered list of goals.
- Each **edge** is labelled with the variable bindings that occurred due to applying a rule. (The binding are in effect throughout the subtree.)
- Each **leaf** represents either success or failure.

Example 2

Database:

```
1) male(albert).
2) female(alice).
3) male(edward).
4) female(victoria).
5) parent(albert,edward).
6) parent(victoria,edward).
7) parent(albert,alice).
8) parent(victoria,alice).
9) sibling(X, Y) :- parent(P, X), parent(P, Y).
```

Query:

```
?- sibling(alice,Asib).
Asib = edward ;
Asib = alice ;
Asib = edward ;
Asib = alice ;
No
?- sibling(Asib, alice).
Asib = edward ;
Asib = edward ;
Asib = alice ;
Asib = alice ;
No
```

The Anonymous Variable

If a rule has a variable that appears only once, that variable is called a "singleton variable".

Its value doesn't matter — it doesn't have to match anything elsewhere in the rule.

```
isaMother(X) :- female(X), parent(X, Y).
```

Such a variable consumes resources at run time.

We can replace it with "_", the anonymous variable. It matches anything.

If we don't, Prolog will warn us.

Procedural Semantics of Prolog

Notice the recursion in this algorithm: "find" calls "find". This reasoning is recursively applied until we reach rules that are facts.

This process is called **Backward Chaining**.

Logic Programming vs. Prolog

```
cousin(X,Y) :- parent(W,X), sister(W,Z),  
parent(Z,Y).  
  
cousin(X,Y) :- parent(W,X), brother(W,Z),  
parent(Z,Y).  
  
| ?- cousin(X,jane). % a query
```

Rule and Goal Ordering:

- There are two rules for cousin
- Which rule do we try first?
- Each rule for cousin has several subgoals
- Which subgoal do we try first?

Logic Programming vs. Prolog

Logic Programming: Nondeterministic

- Arbitrarily choose rule to expand first
- Arbitrarily choose subgoal to explore first
- Results don't depend on rule and subgoal ordering

Prolog: Deterministic

- Expand first rule first
- Explore first subgoal first
- Results may depend on rule and subgoal ordering

Let's Write Some Code

Write these predicates:

1. uncle
2. aunt
3. nephew
4. niece
5. grandparent
6. ancestor