Lists Revisited

Recall the Cons Cell Representation:

The pair or cons cell is the most fundamental of Scheme's structured object types.

A **list** is a sequence of **pairs**; each pair's cdr is the next pair in the sequence.

The cdr of the last pair in a **proper list** is the empty list. Otherwise the sequence of pairs forms an **improper list**. I.e., an empty list is a proper list, and and any pair whose cdr is a proper list is a proper list.

An improper list is printed in **dotted-pair notation** with a period (dot) preceding the final element of the list. A pair whose cdr is not a list is often called a **dotted pair**

Creating lists

```
quote: '(1 (2 3) ()) ⇒ (1 (2 3) ())
  or (quote (1 (2 3) ())) ⇒ (1 (2 3) ())
list: (list 1 '(2 3) ()) ⇒ (1 (2 3) ())
cons: Build it, piece by piece.
  (cons 1 (cons (cons 2 (cons 3 ()))
```

append: Appending lists $(append '(1) '(45)) \Rightarrow (145)$

cons vs. list: The procedure cons actually builds pairs, and there is no reason that the cdr of a pair must be a list

(cons () ())))

The procedure list is similar to cons, except that it takes an arbitrary number of arguments and always builds a proper list.

E.g., (list 'a 'b 'c) \rightarrow (a b c)

Other Useful Predicates

For lists, need a comparison procedure to check for the same **structure** in two lists. How might you write such a procedure?

Equality Checking for Lists

- (equal? 'a 'a) evaluates to #t
- (equal? 'a 'b) evaluates to ()
- (equal? '(a) '(a)) evaluates to #t
- (equal? '((a)) '(a)) evaluates to ()

Does this really work? Hint: atoms are numbers, does this work for numbers? Play around with it and with the built-in predicate procedure equal?.

- (null? a): Returns #t iff a is the empty list (or #f, depending on the implementation).
- (pair? a): Returns #t iff a is a pair, i.e., a cons cell
- (number? a): Returns #t iff a is a number.
- (min list): Returns the minimum of a list of numbers.
- (max list): Returns the maximum of a list of numbers.
- (even? a): Returns #t iff a is even.

Lots more in Dybvig §6.

Testing for Equality

- (eq? a b): Returns #t iff a and b are the same Scheme object. (Don't use eq? with numbers!)
- (= a b): Returns #t iff a and b are numerically equal. Pre: a and b must evaluate to numbers.
- (eqv? a b): Similar to eq?, but works for numbers and characters. More expensive than eq?, however.
- (equal? a b): Returns #t iff a and b have the same structure and contents. Thus, equal? recursively tests for equality. The most expensive equality predicate.

Recommended Reading:

Dybvig §6.1, 2nd ed. (available online), or Dybvig §6.2, 3rd ed.

51

Testing for Equality (cont.)

The eq? predicate doesn't work for lists.

Why not?

- 1. (cons 'a '()) makes a new list
- 2. (cons 'a '()) makes a(nother) new list
- 3. eq? checks if its two args are the same
- 4. (eq? (cons 'a '()) (cons 'a '())) evaluates
 to () (ie, #f)

Lists are stored as pointers to the first element (car) and the rest of the list (cdr).

Symbols are stored uniquely, so eq? works on them.

52

Recursive Procedures: Counting

```
(define (atomcount x)
  (cond ((null? x) 0)
          ((atom? x) 1)
          (else (+ (atomcount (car x))
                       (atomcount (cdr x)))))
  • (atomcount '(1 2)) \Rightarrow 2
• (atomcount '(1 (2 (3)) (5))) \Rightarrow 4:
(at '(1 (2 (3)) (5)))
(+ (at 1) (at ((2 (3)) (5))))
(+ 1 (+ (at (2 (3))) (at ((5)))))
(+ 1 (+ (at 2) (at ((3)))) (+ (at (5)) (at ()))))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (at (3)) (at ()))) (+ (+ (at 5) (at ())) 0)))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (+ (at 3) (at ())) 0)) (+ (+ 1 0) 0)))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (+ 1 0) 0)) (+ 1 0)))
(+1 (+ (+1 (+10)) 1))
(+1(+(+11)1))
(+1 (+21))
(+13)
```

This is called "car-cdr-recursion."

```
Efficiency Issues
```

Problem: Evaluating the same expression twice.

Example:

What can you do if there is no assignment statement?

Efficiency Issues

Solution 1: Bind values to parameters in a helper procedure.

```
(define (maximum x y)
 (cond ((> x y) x)
        (else y)
 ))
(define (longest-nonzero x y)
 (cond ((and (null? x) (null? y)) -1)
        (maximum (length x) (length y)))
 ))
```

Note: There is a built-in max function.

Note 2: Helper procedures are an important and useful tool!

57

Efficiency Issues

Solution 2: Use a let or let* construct, to create Jocal variables and to bind them to expression results. The scope of these variables is limited to the scope of the let statement

```
(let ((var1 expr1)
     (vam exprn))
     hodv
```

The variables can only be used within the body of the

Evaluation: expr1, ... exprn are evaluated in some undefined order, saved, and then assigned to var1, varn. In our interpreter, they have the appearance of being evaluated in parallel.

```
(let* ((var1 expr1)
       (varn exprn))
       body
```

Again, the variables can only be used within the body of let*.

Evaluation: evaluation and binding is sequential, i.e., the evaluation of expr1 is bound to var1, the evaluation of expr2 is then bound to var2, etc.

Let and let* Example

```
(define a 100) (define b 200) (define c 300)
(let ((a 5)
      (b (+ a a))
      (c (+ a b)))
     (list a b c)
What does this return? What are a, b, c bound to
now? (Answer: still 100, 200, 300)
      (b (+ a a))
      (c (+ a b)))
     (list a b c)
What does this return?
Note that let* can be simulated by nested lets.
(let ((a 5))
   (let ((b (+ a a)))
      (let ((c (+ a b)))
         (list a b c)
)
                                               59
```

Structured Data - Binary Search Trees

Nested lists can be used to define a variety of data struc-

E.g., A complete binary tree can be represented as a list

```
with 3 elements: (root left-subtree right-subtree)
1 ]=> (define mytree
         '(dog (bird (aardvark () ()) (cat () ()))
                     (possum (frog () ()) (wolf () ())))
; Value: mytree
1 ]=> mytree
; Value 1: (dog (bird (aardvark () ()) (cat () ()))
                     (possum (frog () ()) (wolf () ())))
1 ]=> (car mytree)
; Value: dog
1 ]=> (car (cdr mytree))
; Value 2: (bird (aardvark () ()) (cat () ()))
```

60

Binary Search Trees (cont.)

```
1 ]=> (define empty-tree?
              (lambda (tree) (null? tree)))
; Value: empty-tree?
1 => (define left-tree
              (lambda (tree)
                 (if (empty-tree? tree) 'Error
                                         (cadr tree))))
; Value: left-tree
1 ]=> (left-tree mytree)
; Value 2: (bird (aardvark () ()) (cat () ()))
1 ]=> (define right-tree
              (lambda (tree)
                 (if (empty-tree? tree) 'Error
                                         (caddr tree))))
; Value: right-tree
1 ]=> (right-tree mytree)
; Value 3: (possum (frog () ()) (wolf () ()))
```

Binary Search Tree (cont.)

```
1 ]=> (define root-tree
              (lambda (tree)
                 (if (empty-tree? tree) 'Error
                                         (car tree))))
; Value: root-tree
1 ]=> (root-tree mytree)
;Value: dog
1 ]=> (define contains?
         (lambda (tree sym)
           (cond ((empty-tree? tree) ())
                 ((equal? (root-tree tree) sym) #t)
                 (else (or (contains? (left-tree tree) sym)
                           (contains? (right-tree tree) sym)
; Value: contains?
1 ]=> (contains? mytree 'aardvark)
;Value: #t
1 ]=> (contains? mytree 'elephant)
;Value: ()
```

Binary Search Tree (cont.)

```
1 ]=> (define pre-order
         (lambda (tree)
            (if (null? tree) '()
                (cons (root-tree tree)
                      (append (pre-order (left-tree tree))
                               (pre-order (right-tree tree))
       )))))
; Value: pre-order
1 ]=> (pre-order mytree)
; Value 4: (dog bird aardvark cat possum frog wolf)
1 ]=> (define in-order
         (lambda (tree)
            (if (null? tree) '()
                (append (in-order (left-tree tree))
                        (cons (root-tree tree)
                              (in-order (right-tree tree))
      ))))
;Value: in-order
1 ]=> (in-order mytree)
; Value 5: (aardvark bird cat dog frog possum wolf)
```

Polymorphic and Monomorphic **Functions**

- Polymorphic functions can be applied to arguments of many forms
- The function length is polymorphic: it works on lists of numbers, lists of symbols, lists of lists, lists of anything
- The function square is monomorphic: it only works on numbers

61 62 63

Higher-Order Procedures

Procedures as input values:

Higher-Order Procedures

Procedures as returned values:

66

Built-In Higher-Order Procedures:

There is a built-in procedure map. Let's define our own restricted version first....

- mymap takes two arguments: a function and a list
- mymap builds a new list whose elements are the result of applying the function to each element of the (old) list

67

Higher-order Procedures: map

Example:

```
(mymap abs '(-1 2 -3 4)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) (mymap (lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) '(-1 2 -3)) \Rightarrow (0 3 -2)
```

The built-in map will produce the same results, but note that the built-in map can take more than two arguments:

```
(map cons '(a b c) '((1) (2) (3))) \Rightarrow ((a 1) (b 2) (c 3))
```

What's Wrong Here??

Why doesn't this work?

Using eval to Correct the Problem

Limitations of Using eval

BUT: eval only works in the current definition of atomcount because numbers evaluate to themselves.

```
1 ]=> (+ 1 2 3)
; Value: 6

1 ]=> (cons '+ '(1 2 3))
; Value 12: (+ 1 2 3)

1 ]=> (eval (cons '+ '(1 2 3)) '())
; Value: 6
```

Using eval to Evaluate Expressions

Too complicated!!

Applying Procedures with apply

Higher-order Procedures: my-reduce

A binary \mapsto n-ary procedure.

The my-reduce procedure takes a binary operation and applies it right-associatively to a list of an arbitrary number of arguments.

NOTE: my-reduce is not equivalent to apply.

73

Important

Note that Scheme has a built-in higher-order procedure reduce that is different from my-reduce. You may use my-reduce in assignments and tests. In assignments, you would of course have to define it by copying the code provided here. In tests, you may use it without defining it.

Example Practice Procedures

 cdrLists: given a list of lists, form new list giving all elements of the cdr's of the sublists.

```
((1\ 2)\ (3\ 4\ 5)\ (6)) \Rightarrow (2\ 4\ 5)
```

- swapFirstTwo: given a list, swap the first two elements of the list.
 (1 2 3 4) ⇒ (2 1 3 4)
- swapTwoInLists: given a list of lists, form new list of all elements in all lists, with first two of each swapped. ((1 2 3)(4)(5 6)) ⇒ (2 1 3 4 6 5)
- addSums: given a list of numbers, sum the total of all sums from 0 to each number.
 (1 3 5) ⇒ 22

Higher-order Procedures: my-reduce

```
(my-reduce + '(1 2 3) 0) ⇒ 6:

(my-reduce + '(1 2 3) 0)

(+1 (my-reduce + '(2 3) 0))

(+1 (+2 (my-reduce + '(3) 0)))

(+1 (+2 (+3 (my-reduce + '() 0))))

(+1 (+2 (+3 0)))

6

Note: (+1 2 3) ⇒ 6

(my-reduce / '(24 6 2) 1) ⇒ 8:

(my-reduce / '(24 6 2) 1)

(/ 24 (my-reduce / '(6 2) 1))

(/ 24 (/ 6 (my-reduce / '(2) 1)))

(/ 24 (/ 6 (/ 2 (my-reduce / '() 1))))

(/ 24 (/ 6 (/ 2 1)))

8

Note: (/ 24 6 2) ⇒ 2
```

Higher-order Procedures: my-reduce

Given union, which takes two lists representing sets and returns their union:

```
1 ]=> (apply union '((1 3)(2 3 4))); Value 21: (1 2 3 4)
```

```
1 ]=> (apply union '((1 3)(2 3)(4 5)));
The procedure #[compound-procedure union];
has been called with 3 arguments;
;it requires exactly 2 arguments.
```

```
1 ]=> (reduce union '((1 3)(2 3)(4 5)) '()); Value 22: (1 2 3 4 5)
```

Question: How would you have to change my-reduce to be able to take intersection as its function argument?

76

75

77 78