
Lists Revisited

Recall the Cons Cell Representation:

The *pair* or *cons cell* is the most fundamental of Scheme's structured object types.

A **list** is a sequence of **pairs**; each pair's cdr is the next pair in the sequence.

The cdr of the last pair in a **proper list** is the empty list. Otherwise the sequence of pairs forms an **improper list**. I.e., an empty list is a proper list, and any pair whose cdr is a proper list is a proper list.

An improper list is printed in **dotted-pair notation** with a period (dot) preceding the final element of the list. A pair whose cdr is not a list is often called a **dotted pair**

Creating lists

```
quote: '(1 (2 3) ()) => (1 (2 3) ())  
      or (quote (1 (2 3) ())) => (1 (2 3) ())
```

```
list: (list 1 '(2 3) ()) => (1 (2 3) ())
```

```
cons: Build it, piece by piece.  
      (cons 1 (cons (cons 2 (cons 3 ()))  
                  (cons () ())))
```

append: Appending lists

```
(append lst '(4 5)) => ((1 (2 3) ()) 4 5))
```

cons vs. list: The procedure `cons` actually builds *pairs*, and there is no reason that the cdr of a pair must be a list.

The procedure `list` is similar to `cons`, except that it takes an arbitrary number of arguments and always builds a proper list.

E.g., `(list 'a 'b 'c)` → `(a b c)`

Testing for Equality

- `(eq? a b)`: Returns `#t` iff `a` and `b` are the same Scheme object. (Don't use `eq?` with numbers!)
- `(= a b)`: Returns `#t` iff `a` and `b` are numerically equal. Pre: `a` and `b` must evaluate to numbers.
- `(eqv? a b)`: Similar to `eq?`, but works for numbers and characters. More expensive than `eq?`, however.
- `(equal? a b)`: Returns `#t` iff `a` and `b` have the same structure and contents. Thus, `equal?` recursively tests for equality. The most expensive equality predicate.

Recommended Reading:

Dybvig §6.1, 2nd ed. (available online), or
Dybvig §6.2, 3rd ed.

Testing for Equality (cont.)

The `eq?` predicate doesn't work for lists.

Why not?

1. `(cons 'a '())` makes a new list
2. `(cons 'a '())` makes a(nother) new list
3. `eq?` checks if its two args are *the same*
4. `(eq? (cons 'a '()) (cons 'a '()))` evaluates to `()` (ie, `#f`)

Lists are stored as pointers to the first element (`car`) and the rest of the list (`cdr`).

Symbols are stored uniquely, so `eq?` works on them.

Equality Checking for Lists

For lists, need a comparison procedure to check for the same **structure** in two lists. How might you write such a procedure?

```
(define (myequal? x y)
  (or (and (atom? x) (atom? y) (eq? x y))
      (and (not (atom? x)) (not (atom? y))
           (myequal? (car x) (car y))
           (myequal? (cdr x) (cdr y)))))
```

- (equal? 'a 'a) evaluates to #t
- (equal? 'a 'b) evaluates to ()
- (equal? '(a) '(a)) evaluates to #t
- (equal? '((a)) '(a)) evaluates to ()

Does this really work? Hint: atoms are numbers, does this work for numbers? Play around with it and with the built-in predicate procedure equal?.

Other Useful Predicates

- (null? a): Returns #t iff a is the empty list (or #f, depending on the implementation).
- (pair? a): Returns #t iff a is a pair, *i.e.*, a cons cell.
- (number? a): Returns #t iff a is a number.
- (min list): Returns the minimum of a list of numbers.
- (max list): Returns the maximum of a list of numbers.
- (even? a): Returns #t iff a is even.

Lots more in Dybvig §6.

Recursive Procedures: Counting

```
(define (atomcount x)
  (cond ((null? x) 0)
        ((atom? x) 1)
        (else (+ (atomcount (car x))
                  (atomcount (cdr x))))))
```

- (atomcount '(1 2)) \Rightarrow 2
- (atomcount '(1 (2 (3)) (5))) \Rightarrow 4:

```
(at '(1 (2 (3)) (5)))
(+ (at 1) (at ((2 (3)) (5))))
(+ 1 (+ (at (2 (3))) (at ((5))))))
(+ 1 (+ (+ (at 2) (at ((3)))) (+ (at (5)) (at ())))))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (at (3)) (at ()))) (+ (+ (at (5)) (at ())) 0)))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (+ (at (3)) (at ())) 0)) (+ (+ 1 0) 0)))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ (+ 1 0) 0)) (+ 1 0)))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 (+ 1 0)) 1))
(+ 1 (+ (+ 1 1) 1))
(+ 1 (+ 2 1))
(+ 1 3)
4
```

This is called "car-cdr-recursion."

Efficiency Issues

Problem: Evaluating the same expression twice.

Example:

```
(define (longest-nonzero x y)
  (cond ((and (null? x) (null? y)) -1)
        ((> (length x) (length y))
         (length x))
        (else (length y)))
  ))
```

What can you do if there is no assignment statement?

Efficiency Issues

Solution 1: Bind values to parameters in a helper procedure.

```
(define (maximum x y)
  (cond ((> x y) x)
        (else y)
  ))

(define (longest-nonzero x y)
  (cond ((and (null? x) (null? y)) -1)
        (else
         (maximum (length x) (length y)))
  ))
```

Note: There is a built-in `max` function.

Note 2: Helper procedures are an important and useful tool!

Efficiency Issues

Solution 2: Use a `let` or `let*` construct, that binds variables to expression results.

```
(let ((var1 expr1)
      ...
      (varn exprn))
  <vars are defined and can be used here>)

(let* ((var1 expr1)
       ...
       (varn exprn))
  <vars are defined and can be used here>)
```

Polymorphic and Monomorphic Functions

- *Polymorphic* functions can be applied to arguments of many forms
- The function `length` is polymorphic: it works on lists of numbers, lists of symbols, lists of lists, lists of anything
- The function `square` is monomorphic: it only works on numbers

Higher-Order Procedures

Procedures as input values:

```
(define (all-num lst)
  (or (null? lst)
      (and (number? (car lst))
           (all-num (cdr lst)))))

(define (all-num-f f lst)
  (cond ((all-num lst) (f lst))
        (else 'error)))

1 ]=> (all-num-f abs-list '(1 -2 3))
;Value 1: (1 2 3)

1 ]=> (all-num-f abs-list '(1 a))
;Value: error
```

Higher-Order Procedures

Procedures as returned values:

```
(define (plus-list x)
  (cond ((number? x)
        (lambda (y) (+ (sum-n x) y)))
        ((list? x)
         (lambda (y) (+ (sum-list x) y)))
        (else (lambda (x) x)))
  ))
1 ]=> ((plus-list 3) 4)
;Value: 10

1 ]=> ((plus-list '(1 3 5)) 5)
;Value: 14
```

Built-In Higher-Order Procedures:

map

There is a built-in procedure `map`. Let's define our own restricted version first....

```
(define (mymap f l)
  (cond ((null? l) '())
        (else (cons (f (car l))
                      (mymap f (cdr l))))))
))
```

- `mymap` takes two arguments: a function and a list
- `mymap` builds a new list whose elements are the result of applying the function to each element of the (old) list

Higher-order Procedures: map

- Example:

```
(mymap abs '(-1 2 -3 4)) ⇒  
(1 2 3 4)
```

```
(mymap (lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) '(-1 2 -3)) ⇒  
(0 3 -2)
```

- The built-in `map` will produce the same results, but note that the built-in `map` can take more than two arguments:

```
(map cons '(a b c) '((1) (2) (3))) ⇒  
((a 1) (b 2) (c 3))
```

What's Wrong Here??

```
1 ]=>  
(define (atomcount s)  
  (cond ((null? s) 0)  
        ((atom? s) 1)  
        (else (+ (map atomcount s))))  
  ))  
;Value: atomcount  
1 ]=> (atomcount '(a b))  
  
;The object (1 1), passed as an argument  
;to +, is not the correct type.  
...  
2 error>
```

Why doesn't this work?

Using eval to Correct the Problem

```
(define (atomcount s)
  (cond ((null? s) 0)
        ((atom? s) 1)
        (else
         (eval
          (cons '+ (map atomcount s)) '())))
))
1 ]=> (atomcount '(a b))

;Value: 2

1 ]=> (atomcount '((1) (2 3 (4)) (((5))))))

;Value: 5
```

Limitations of Using eval

BUT: eval only works in the current definition of atomcount because numbers evaluate to themselves.

```
1 ]=> (+ 1 2 3)
;Value: 6
```

```
1 ]=> (cons '+ '(1 2 3))
;Value 12: (+ 1 2 3)
```

```
1 ]=> (eval (cons '+ '(1 2 3)) '())
;Value: 6
```

Using eval to Evaluate Expressions

```
1 ]=> (append '(a) '(b))
;Value 13: (a b)
1 ]=> (cons 'append '((a) (b)))
;Value 14: (append (a) (b))

1 ]=> (eval (cons 'append '((a) (b))) '())
;Unbound variable: b
...
1 ]=> (cons 'append '( '(a) '(b) ))
;Value 15: (append (quote (a)) (quote (b)))

1 ]=> (eval
      (cons 'append '( '(a) '(b)) '())
;Value 16: (a b)
```

Too complicated!!

Applying Procedures with apply

```
1 ]=> (apply + '(1 2 3))
;Value: 6
1 ]=> (apply append '((a) (b)))
;Value 5: (a b)

1 ]=>
(define (atomcount s)
  (cond ((null? s) 0)
        ((atom? s) 1)
        (else
         (apply + (map atomcount s)))))

;Value: atomcount
1 ]=> (atomcount '(a (b) c))
;Value: 3
```