# CSC2542 Introduction to Planning

Sheila McIlraith Department of Computer Science University of Toronto Fall 2010

## Acknowledgements

Some of the slides used in this course are modifications of Dana Nau's lecture slides for the textbook *Automated Planning*, licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.0/</u>

Other slides are modifications of slides developed by Malte Helmert, Bernhard Nebel, and Jussi Rintanen.

I have also used some material prepared by P@trick Haslum.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of these researchers, and thank them for generously permitting me to use aspects of their presentation material.



#### plan n.

- 1. A scheme, program, or method worked out beforehand for the accomplishment of an objective: *a plan of attack*.
- 2. A proposed or tentative project or course of action: *had no plans for the evening*.
- 3. A systematic arrangement of elements or important parts; a configuration or outline: *a seating plan; the plan of a story*.

- 4. A drawing or diagram made to scale showing the structure or arrangement of something.
- 5. In perspective rendering, one of several imaginary planes perpendicular to the line of vision between the viewer and the object being depicted.
- 6. A program or policy stipulating a service or benefit: *a pension plan*.
- Synonyms: blueprint, design, project, scheme, strategy

					03	Establish datum point	at bullseye (0.25, 1	
F		.•	6.6		1 1	•	side-milling tool	
[a representation] of future behavior							at (-0.25, 1.25) 0, depth 0.50	
usually a set of actions, with temporal and							t at (-0.25, 1.25)	
other constraints on them, for execution by							0, depth 0.50 at (-0.25, 3.00)	
some agent or agents.							0, depth 0.50	
- Austin Tate							t at (-0.25, 3.00) 0, depth 0.50	
[MIT Encyclopedia of the Cognitive Sciences, 1999]							end-milling tool	
	004	T VMC1	2.50	4.87	01	Total time on VMC1	•	
	005	A EC1	0.00	32.29	01	Pre-clean board (scrub and wash)		
					02	Dry board in oven at 8	35 deg. F	
	005	B EC1	30.00	0.48	01 02	Setup Spread photoresist fro	om 18000 RPM spinner	
	005	C EC1	30.00	2.00	01	Setup		
					02	Photolithography of photoresist using phototool in "real.iges"		
	005	D EC1	30.00	20.00	01	Setup		
	005	T EC1	90.00	54.77	02 01	Etching of copper Total time on EC1		
	006	A MC1	30.00	4.57	01 02	Setup Prepare board for sold	lering	
	006	OD6 B M A portion of a manufacturing process plan						

NG1 20 00

**a** - + - - -

00C C

UZ Screenprint solder stop on board

- (0.25, 1.00) 

# **Modes of Planning**

- Mixed Initiative Planning
- Automated Plan Generation

### **Example Planning Applications**

### **Autonomous Agents for Space Exploration**

- Autonomous planning, scheduling, control
  - NASA: JPL and Ames
- Remote Agent Experiment (RAX)
  - Deep Space 1
- Mars Exploration Rover (MER)





### **Other Autonomous Systems**



### **Manufacturing Automation**

- Sheet-metal bending machines Amada Corporation
  - Software to plan the sequence of bends [Gupta and Bourne, *J. Manufacturing Sci. and Engr.*, 1999]



### Games

- E.g., Bridge Baron Great Game Products
  - 1997 world champion of computer bridge [Smith, Nau, and Throop, Al Magazine, 1998]
  - 2004: 2nd place



# **Other Applications**

- Scheduling with Action Choices & Resource Requirements
  - Problems in supply chain management
  - HSTS (Hubble Space Telescope scheduler)
  - Workflow management
- Air Traffic Control
  - Route aircraft between runways and terminals. Crafts must be kept safely separated. Safe distance depends on craft and mode of transport. Minimize taxi and wait time.
- Character Animation
  - Generate step-by-step character behaviour from highlevel spec
- Plan-based Interfaces
  - E.g. NLP to database interfaces
  - Plan recognition

# **Other Applications (cont.)**

- Web Service Composition
  - Compose web services, and monitor their execution
  - Many of the web standards have a lot of connections to action representation languages
    - BPEL; BPEL-4WS allow workflow specifications
    - DAML-S allows process specifications
- Business Process Composition /Workflow Management
  - Including Grid Services/Scientific Workflow Management
- Genome Rearrangement
  - The relationship between different organisms can be measured by the number of "evolution events" (rearrangements) that separate their genomes
  - Find shortest (or most likely) sequence of rearrangements between a pair of genomes

### Outline

- → Conceptual model for planning
- Classes of planning problems
- Classes of planners and example instances
- Beyond planning
- Planning research the big picture
- Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

## **Conceptual Model**

### 1. Environment



# **State Transition System**

- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)$
- *S* = {states}
- *A* = {actions}
- *E* = {exogenous events}
- State-transition function  $\gamma : S \times (A \cup E) \rightarrow 2^{S}$ 
  - $S = \{s_0, ..., s_5\}$
  - A = {move1, move2, put, take, load, unload}
  - $E = \{\}$
  - γ: see the arrows

Dock Worker Robots (DWR):





### **Conceptual Model**

#### 3. Planner's Input



# **Planning Problem**

 $\boldsymbol{P} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \, \boldsymbol{S}_0, \boldsymbol{G})$ 

- $\Sigma$ : System Description
- $s_{o^{:}}$  Initial state(s) E.g., Initial state =  $s_0$
- G: Objective Goal state, Set of goal states, Set of tasks, "trajectory" of states, Objective function, ... E.g., Goal state =  $s_5$



The Dock Worker Robots (DWR) domain

### **Conceptual Model**

#### 4. Planner's Output



## Plans

### **Classical plan:**

a sequence of actions E.g.,  $\langle take, move1, load, move2 \rangle$ 

### Policy:

partial function from S into A

E.g.,

 $\{(s_0, take),$ 

 $(s_1, move1),$ 

- $(s_3, \text{load}),$
- $(s_4, move2)$



#### The Dock Worker Robots (DWR) domain

### Outline

- Conceptual model for planning
- → Classes of planning problems
- Classes of planners and example instances
- Beyond planning
- Planning research the big picture
- Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

. . .

Varying components of the planning problem specification yields different classes of problems. E.g.,

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic
observability: full, partial, none
horizon: finite, infinite
objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

classical planning

- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic observability: full, partial, none horizon: finite, infinite objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

# **Other Dimensions**

dynamics: deterministic, nondeterministic, probabilistic

- : explicit time, implicit time
- : instantaneous, durative
- : continuous, discrete, hybrid

perception: perfect, noisy

horizon: finite, infinite

#### objective requirement: satisfying, optimizing

**objective form:** final-state goal, temporally-extended goal, control knowledge, hierarchical task network (HTN), script/program (Golog)

**plan form**: sequential plan, partial order plan, controller, generalized plan, program...

#### •••

- classical planning
- conditional planning with full observability
- conditional planning with partial observability
- conformant planning
- markov decision processes (MDP)
- partial observable MDP (POMDP)
- preference-based/over-subscription planning

# Why is Planning Difficult?

- solutions to classical planning problems are paths from an initial state to a goal state in the transition graph
  - Efficiently solvable by Dijkstra's algorithm in
     O(|V| log |V| + |E|) time
  - Why don't we solve all planning problems this way?
- state space may be huge: 10<sup>9</sup>, 10<sup>12</sup>, 10<sup>15</sup>, ... states
- constructing the transition graph is infeasible!
- planning algorithms try to avoid constructing whole graph
- planning algorithms often are but not guaranteed to be more effiencient that obvious solution methods constructing the transition graph and using e.g., Dijkstra's algorithm

### Outline

- Conceptual model for planning
- Classes of planning problems
- → Classes of planners and example instances
- Beyond planning
- Planning research the big picture
- Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

### **Three Main Classes of Planners**

- 1. Domain-specific
- 2. Domain-independent
- 3. Domain-customizable

\* Ghallab, Nau, and Traverso's use "configurable" (which I don't like) Also called "Domain-specific" or "Knowledge-Based"

# **1. Domain-Specific Planners**

- Made or tuned for specific domain
- Won't work well (if at all) in any other domain
- Many successful real-world planning systems work this way





### **2. Domain-Independent Planners**

- In principle, a domain-independent planner works in any planning domain
- Uses no domain-specific knowledge except the definitions of the basic actions

### 2. Domain-Independent Planners

- In practice,
  - Not feasible to develop domain-independent planners that work in *every* possible domain
- Make simplifying assumptions to restrict the set of domains
  - Classical planning
  - Historical focus of most automated-planning research

Very active area of research. Many excellent planning systems.
### **Restrictive Assumptions**

- A0: Finite system:
  - finitely many states, actions, events
- A1: Fully observable:
  - the controller always knows the system's current state
- A2: Deterministic:
  - each action has only one outcome
- A3: Static (no exogenous events):
  - changes only occur as the result of the controller's actions
- A4: Attainment goals:
  - a set of goal states  $S_g$
- A5: Sequential plans:
  - a plan is a linearly ordered sequence of actions  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$
- A6: Implicit time:
  - Actions are instantaneous (have no duration)
- A7: Off-line planning:
  - planner doesn't know the execution status

## **Classical Planning**

- Classical planning requires all eight restrictive assumptions
  - Offline generation of action sequences for a deterministic, static, finite system, with complete knowledge, attainment goals, and implicit time
- Reduces to the following problem:
  - Given ( $\Sigma$ ,  $s_0$ , G)
  - Find a sequence of actions (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>n</sub>) that produces a sequence of state transitions (s<sub>1</sub>, s<sub>2</sub>, ..., s<sub>n</sub>) such that G is in s<sub>n</sub>.
- This is just path-searching in a graph
  - Nodes = states
  - Edges = actions
- Is this trivial?

## **Classical Planning (cont.)**

It's hard because problems are huge!

- Generalize the earlier example:
  - 5 locations, 3 robot carts, 100 containers, 3 piles
    - Then there are 10<sup>277</sup> states
- Number of particles in the universe is only about 10<sup>87</sup>
  - The example is more than 10<sup>190</sup> times as larger!
- Automated planning research has been heavily dominated by domain-independent classical planning
  - Dozens of different algorithms
  - We'll cover the state-of-the-art in this area



# **Approach: Plan-Space Planning**



## **Approach: Planning Graphs**

- Relaxed problem
  [Blum & Furst, 1995]
- Apply all applicable actions at once
- Next "level" contains all the effects of all of those actions



#### **Approach: Heuristic Search**

- Can we do an A\*-style heuristic search?
- Historically, it was difficult to find a good *h* function
  - Planning graphs make it feasible
    - Can extract h from the planning graph
- Problem: A\* quickly runs out of memory
  - So do a greedy search
- Greedy search can get trapped in local minima
  - Greedy search plus local search at local minima
- HSP [Bonet & Geffner], FastForward (FF) [Hoffmann], Fast Downward [Helmert], LAMA [Richter], etc.

#### **Approach:** Translation to General Problem Solver

- Translate the planning problem or the planning graph into another kind of problem for which there are efficient solvers
  - Find a solution to that problem
  - Extract the plan from the solution
- SAT solvers
  - SATplan and Blackbox [Kautz & Selman]
- Answer Set Programming (ASP) solvers
  - [Son et al.], [Lifschitz et al.], etc.
- Integer programming solvers such as Cplex
  - [Vossen et al.]

### 3. Domain-customizable

- Domain-independent planners are quite slow compared with domain-specific planners
  - Blocks world in linear time [Slaney and Thiébaux, A.I., 2001]
  - Can get analogous results in many other domains
- But don't want to write a new planner for every domain!
- Domain-customizable planners
  - Domain-independent planning engine
  - Input (the "objective") includes info about how to solve problems in the domain.
    - Hierarchical Task Network (HTN) planning
    - Planning with control formulas
    - Planning with a plan script or agent program

## **Approach: HTN Planning**

- Problem reduction
  - Tasks (activities) rather than goals
  - Methods to decompose tasks into subtasks
  - Enforce constraints, backtrack if necessary
- Real-world applications
- Noah, Nonlin, O-Plan, SIPE, SIPE-2, SHOP, SHOP2



## **Approach: Planning with Control Formulas**





goal

• At each state s<sub>i</sub> we have a **control formula f**i in linear temporal logic

$$ontable(x) \land \neg \exists [y: GOAL(on(x, y))] \Rightarrow \bigcirc (\neg holding(x))$$

"never pick up x from table unless x needs to be on another block"

- For each successor of s, derive a control formula using logical progression
- Prune any successor state in which the progressed formula is false
  - TLPIan [Bacchus & Kabanza]
  - TALplanner [Kvarnstrom & Doherty]

#### **Approach:** Planning w/ Program or Plan Script

E.g., **Golog** [Levesque et al.]

Nondeterministic programs that act as procedural control knowledge, placing constraints on the valid action sequence/plans

E.g., *bookAirTicket(x)*; if *far* then *bookCar(x)* else *bookTaxi(y)* 



### **Three Main Classes of Planners**

- 1. Domain-specific
- 2. Domain-independent

E.g.,

Planning graph-based, SAT-based, heuristic search

3. Domain-customizable

E.g.,

HTN, domain control formula, agent programs/scripts

## **Comparisons** (in general)

up-front human effort Domain-specific Configurable Domain-independent

performance

- Domain-specific planner
  - Write an entire computer program lots of work
  - Lots of domain-specific performance improvements
- Domain-independent planner
  - Just give it the basic actions not much effort
  - Can be less efficient (but not always)!

#### **Outline**

- Conceptual model for planning
- Classes of planning problems
- Classes of planners and example instances
- → Beyond planning
- Planning research the big picture
- Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

## **Broad Application of Planning Techniques**

Planning algorithms are applicable to a broad range of applications that can roughly be viewed as reachability problems. E.g.,

- Software verification
- Diagnosis of dynamical systems
- Story understanding
- Situation assessment/Plan recognition
- Gene rearrangement

#### Outline

- Conceptual model for planning
- Classes of planning problems
- Classes of planners and example instances
- Beyond planning
- → Planning research
- Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

### **Planning Research – The big picture**

Two research communities that make fundamental contributions to research in planning:

- 1) Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Community
  - mathematical foundations of planning
  - knowledge representation, formal properties, etc.
- 2) Automated Planning and Scheduling Community
  - Driven largely by the objective of developing fast and effective planning algorithms

We will look at research from both communities.



### **Planning Research – The big picture**

#### The Landscape: CONFERENCES

ICAPS\* (Int. Conf on AI Planning and Scheduling) \*merging of AIPS and ECP AAMAS (Int. Conf. on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems) KR IJCAI, AAAI, ECAI

#### JOURNALS

JAIR, AIJ

#### **BIENNIAL COMPETITION and BENCHMARKING DOMAINS**

IPC-*n* (International Planning Competition) PDDL (Planning Domain Definition Language) standard input language for most benchmark problem sets

## **Planning Research – The big picture**

#### **Recent Advances**

Very "active" field -- lots of papers in top conferences

- Tremendous strides in deterministic plan synthesis
  - Biennial Intl. Planning Competitions
- Current interest is in exploiting the insights from deterministic planning techniques to other planning scenarios

Some topics of recent focus:

- Better heuristics
- Richer domain customization (including preferences)
- From discrete to timed hybrid and/or continuous systems
- Planning and learning

#### Outline

- Conceptual model for planning
- Classes of planning problems
- Classes of planners and example instances
- Beyond planning
- Planning research the big picture
- → Some of what I hope you'll get from the course

## What will you get from this course?

- big picture of different kinds of planning problems
- logical foundations of planning
- algorithms for solving different problem classes, with an emphasis on the classical ("simplest") setting:
  - algorithms based on heuristic search
  - algorithms based on SAT
  - algorithms that exploit rich objectives (domain control knowledge, temporally extended goals, preferences)
- many of these techniques are applicable to problems outside AI as well
- hands-on experience with a classical planner (optional)

#### For Next Week (Sept 23)

Skim/review Chapters 1, 2, and 4, 5 in the reference textbook.

(The URL is posted on our course web page.)

#### **Important Announcement**

- Please add your name to the list that is circulating
- We may change classrooms (though perhaps not imminently). Watch the web announcements.
- From time to time we will need to have a tutorial (especially for the assignment). There will be a doodle poll regarding scheduling of the tutorial. I'll schedule the tutorial hour at a time when all registered students can attend.