Paxos Algorithm — Proof

October 18, 2018

Definition 1

- A proposal (m, u) is accepted iff it is accepted by at least one of processes.
- A proposal (m, u) is chosen iff it is accepted by a strict majority of processes.

Theorem 1 Any two chosen proposals (m, u) and (m', w) have equal values u = w.

PROOF. Suppose, for contradiction, that proposals (m, u) and (m', w) are chosen but $u \neq w$. It is clear that $m \neq m'$; wlog assume that m' > m.

Let n be the smallest proposal number n > m such that (n, v) is an accepted proposal with $v \neq u$ [*]. So, for all k such that $m \leq k < n$, every accepted proposal (k, value) has value = u [**].

- Since (m, u) is chosen, (m, u) was accepted by a strict majority of processes M_1 .
- Since (n, v) is accepted, some process P sent an "accept (n, v)" message. Before doing so, P selected v from the responses of the form [n, (k, value)] that it received from a strict majority of processes M_2 ; note that k < n (do you see why?).

Consider any process $q \in M_1 \cap M_2$. Note that:

- 1. since $q \in M_1$, process q accepted (m, u), and
- 2. since $q \in M_2$, process q sent some response [n, (k, value)] to P

(and this was one of the responses that P used to determine the value v of proposal (n, v)).

Since n > m, process q accepted (m, u) before sending [n, (k, value)].

Therefore $m \le k$ (do you see why?), and so $m \le k < n$. By [**], value = u.

Thus, the responses from M_2 that P used to select value v include [n, (k, u)] from q.

Consider any other response [n, (j, value)] that P receives from processes in M_2 . Note that j < n.

- If j < k, then P disregards value (because u has a greater proposal number than value).
- If $k \le j < n$, then $m \le j < n$, and so by [**] we have value = u.

So u is the value with the highest proposal number among all the responses that P receives from the processes in M_2 . Thus, P selects the value u and sends an accept request (n, v) with v = u. This contradicts [*].