How a General-Purpose Commonsense **Ontology can Improve Performance of** Learning-Based Image Retrieval

Rodrigo Toro Icarte[†] Jorge Baier^{‡,§} Cristian Ruz[‡] Alvaro Soto[‡]

[†]University of Toronto

[‡]Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

[§]Chilean Center for Semantic Web Research

LICAI 2017

Motivation



Computer Vision

 $\mathsf{Simple} \to \mathsf{Complex}$



Image classification: Kitchen

Computer Vision

 $\mathsf{Simple} \to \mathsf{Complex}$



Image classification: Kitchen **Image captioning**: "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"

Computer Vision

 $\mathsf{Simple} \to \mathsf{Complex}$



Computer Vision

 $\mathsf{Simple} \to \mathsf{Complex}$

Image classification: Kitchen
Image captioning: "a woman in a
chef coat holding bread loaves"
Image Q&A:
Q: What is the chef holding?

A: bread loaves



Image classification: Kitchen
Image captioning: "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"
Image Q&A:
Q: What is the chef holding?
A: bread loaves

Computer Vision

- $\mathsf{Simple} \to \mathsf{Complex}$
- Learning everything from examples



Image classification: Kitchen Image captioning: "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves" Image Q&A: Q: What is the chef holding? A: bread loaves

Computer Vision

Simple \rightarrow Complex Learning everything from examples It does not scale (96.4% classification \rightarrow 32.2% captioning)



Image classification: Kitchen Image captioning: "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves" Image Q&A: Q: What is the chef holding? A: bread loaves

Computer Vision

Simple \rightarrow Complex Learning everything from examples It does not scale (96.4% classification \rightarrow 32.2% captioning)

Idea: Prior knowledge can fill the holes in our datasets.

Main research trends

Small hand-crafted ontologies Free form text (e.g. Wikipedia) Lexical ontologies (e.g. WordNet)

Main research trends

Small hand-crafted ontologies Free form text (e.g. Wikipedia) Lexical ontologies (e.g. WordNet)

What about commonsense ontologies, such as ConceptNet?

ConceptNet (CN)

CN is a commonsense ontology.

ConceptNet (CN)

CN is a commonsense ontology.

Format

 $\mathsf{Concept}_1-\mathsf{Relation}\ \mathsf{type}\to\mathsf{Concept}_2$

Relation types

AtLocation, HasProperty, IsA, SimilarSize, UsedFor, CapableOf, ...

ConceptNet (CN)

CN is a commonsense ontology.

Format

 $\mathsf{Concept}_1-\mathsf{Relation}\ \mathsf{type}\to\mathsf{Concept}_2$

Relation types

AtLocation, HasProperty, IsA, SimilarSize, UsedFor, CapableOf, ...

Examples

desk — RelatedTo \rightarrow office computer — AtLocation \rightarrow office office — UsedFor \rightarrow work ... and 8 million more



- CN has millions of assertions (vs hand-crafted ontologies)

- CN has millions of assertions (vs hand-crafted ontologies)
- CN provides key knowledge to computers (vs Wikipedia)

- CN has millions of assertions (vs hand-crafted ontologies)
- CN provides key knowledge to computers (vs Wikipedia)
- CN is a rich source of commonsense knowledge (vs WordNet)

- CN has millions of assertions (vs hand-crafted ontologies)
- CN provides key knowledge to computers (vs Wikipedia)
- CN is a rich source of commonsense knowledge (vs WordNet)
- CN is simple to use (vs CYC)

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision. ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision. ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge. **Previous works**

Task	w/o CN	w/ CN	CN gain
Image Tagging Xie and He (2013)	7.3%	7.6%	0.3%
Video Retrieval de Boer et al. (2016)	3.9%	3.1%	-0.8%
Image Riddles Aditya et al. (2016)	68.0%	68.7%	0.7%

More examples: Bicocchi et al. (2012), Le et al. (2013), others

Task	w/o CN	w/ CN	CN gain
Image Tagging Xie and He (2013)	7.3%	7.6%	0.3%
Video Retrieval de Boer et al. (2016)	3.9%	3.1%	-0.8%
Image Riddles Aditya et al. (2016)	68.0%	68.7%	0.7%

More examples: Bicocchi et al. (2012), Le et al. (2013), others

... but we wanted to give CN another try.



Source: https://xkcd.com/242/

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision. ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge. **Previous works**

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval...

"a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"













"a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"



Rank *n* images according to their *relevance* with respect to a natural language query.

Sentence Based Image Retrieval

"a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"



Rank *n* images according to their *relevance* with respect to a natural language query.













Baseline


Prob	Concept
0.996	kitchen
0.920	preparing
0.800	food
0.796	cooking
0.590	making
0.236	woman



Prob	Concept
0.996	kitchen
0.920	preparing
0.800	food
0.796	cooking
0.590	making
0.236	woman

t = "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"



Prob	Concept
0.996	kitchen
0.920	preparing
0.800	food
0.796	cooking
0.590	making
0.236	woman

t = "a woman in a chef coat holding bread loaves"

 $\mathsf{MIL}(t, l) = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{woman}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{coat}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{holding}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{bread}|l)$



 $\mathsf{MIL}(t, l) = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{woman}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{coat}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{holding}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{bread}|l)$



 $\mathsf{MIL}(t, l) = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{woman}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{coat}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{holding}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{bread}|l)$

What are the limitations of this approach?



 $\mathsf{MIL}(t, l) = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{woman}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{coat}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{holding}|l) \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{bread}|l)$

What are the limitations of this approach?

How can we detect a *chef* without a *chef* detector?

Baseline + ConceptNet







Baseline + ConceptNet





CN Score









Word	Prob	Word	Prob
kitchen	0.996	dish	0.126
cook	0.796	white	0.091
restaurant	0.374	other	0.043
person	0.340	dinner	0.023
large	0.152	head	0.003





Word	Prob	Word	Prob
kitchen	0.996	dish	0.126
cook	0.796	white	0.091
restaurant	0.374	other	0.043
person	0.340	dinner	0.023
large	0.152	head	0.003

$CN_{MIN}(chef)$	= 0.003
$CN_{AVG}(chef)$	= 0.294
$CN_{MAX}(chef)$	= 0.996

Database	r@1	r@5	r@10	median	mean
\subset COCO 5K				rank	rank
Baseline					
MIL	13.2	33.4	45.2	13	82.2
CN					
CN _{MIN}	12.2	31.4	43.4	15	77.0
CN _{AVG}	13.2	33.7	46.0	13	66.3
CN_{MAX}	12.2	32.1	44.1	14	73.0
CN Gain	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%	0	15.9

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval...

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!









$P_c(\text{chef}|I)$





$P_c(chef|I) = P(chef|cook, I)P(cook|I) + P(chef|\neg cook, I)P(\neg cook|I)$





 $P_{c}(\text{chef}|I) = P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I)P(\text{cook}|I) + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I)P(\neg\text{cook}|I)$ $P_{c}(\text{chef}|I) = P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.204$



 $\begin{aligned} P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I)P(\text{cook}|I) + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I)P(\neg\text{cook}|I) \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}) \cdot 0.204 \end{aligned}$



 $\begin{aligned} P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I)P(\text{cook}|I) + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I)P(\neg\text{cook}|I) \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}) \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx 0.1413 \cdot 0.796 + 0.0003 \cdot 0.204 \end{aligned}$



 $\begin{aligned} P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I)P(\text{cook}|I) + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I)P(\neg\text{cook}|I) \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &= P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}, I) \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx P(\text{chef}|\text{cook}) \cdot 0.796 + P(\text{chef}|\neg\text{cook}) \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx 0.1413 \cdot 0.796 + 0.0003 \cdot 0.204 \\ P_c(\text{chef}|I) &\approx 0.112 \end{aligned}$

restaurant

head

large

other

$P_{\rm cook}({\rm chef} I)$	pprox 0.1125
$P_{\text{kitchen}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0549
$P_{dish}(chef I)$	pprox 0.0016
$P_{\text{person}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0011
$P_{\text{dinner}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0011
$P_{\text{head}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0009
$P_{\text{other}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0009
$P_{\text{white}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0006

$P_{\rm cook}({\rm chef} I)$	pprox 0.1125
$P_{\text{kitchen}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0549
$P_{dish}(chef I)$	pprox 0.0016
$P_{\text{person}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0011
$P_{\text{dinner}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0011
$P_{\text{head}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0009
$P_{\text{other}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0009
$P_{\text{white}}(\text{chef} I)$	pprox 0.0006

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\sf CNE}_{\sf MIN}({\sf chef}) & = 0.0006 \\ {\sf CNE}_{\sf AVG}({\sf chef}) & = 0.0217 \\ {\sf CNE}_{\sf MAX}({\sf chef}) & = 0.1125 \end{array}$

Database	r@1	r@5	r@10	median	mean
\subset COCO 5K				rank	rank
Baseline					
MIL	13.2	33.4	45.2	13	82.2
CN + ESPGAME					
CNE _{MIN}	14.3	34.6	46.6	12	68.3
CNE _{AVG}	14.6	35.6	48.0	12	61.2
CNE _{MAX}	14.3	35.9	48.2	12	60.6
CN Gain	1.4%	2.5%	3.0%	1	21.6





t = "those bagels are plain with nothing on them"



t = "those bagels are plain with nothing on them"



Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

CN + ESPGAME for image retrieval... works!

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

CN + ESPGAME for image retrieval... works!

Contribution

Database	r@1	r@5	r@10	median	mean
COCO 5K				rank	rank
NeuralTalk (Vinyals et al., 2015)	6.9	22.1	33.6	22	72.2
GMM+HGLMM (Klein et al., 2015)	10.8	28.3	40.1	17	49.3
BRNN (Karpathy and Fei-Fei, 2015)	10.7	29.6	42.2	14	-
MIL (our baseline)	15.7	37.8	50.5	10	53.6
CNE _{MAX} (our method)	16.2	39.1	51.9	10	44.4
LVQ (Lin and Parikh, 2016)	16.7	40.5	53.8	-	-
OE (Vendrov et al., 2016)	18.0	_	57.6	7.0	35.9

higher is better lower is better
Database	r@1	r@5	r@10	median	mean
\subset COCO 5K				rank	rank
MIL (our baseline)	13.2	33.4	45.2	13	82.2
CNE_{MAX} (our method)	14.3	35.9	48.2	12	60.6
CN Gain	1.1%	2.5%	3.0%	1	21.6

Database	r@1	r@5	r@10	median	mean
\subset COCO 5K				rank	rank
MIL (our baseline)	13.2	33.4	45.2	13	82.2
$CNE_{MAX} \ (our \ method)$	14.3	35.9	48.2	12	60.6
CN Gain	1.1%	2.5%	3.0%	1	21.6

Why is CN helping this time?

Why is CN helping this time?



Why is CN helping this time?



Summary

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

CN + ESPGAME for image retrieval... works!

Contribution

Summary

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

CN + ESPGAME for image retrieval... works!

Contribution

We can exploit commonsense ontologies in Computer Vision

Motivation

Prior knowledge has a key role in Computer Vision.

ConceptNet (CN) is a rich source of prior knowledge.

Previous works

They suggest that CN sucks.

We don't care, we think CN is cool 🤓

Method

CN for image retrieval... sucks!

CN + ESPGAME for image retrieval... works!

Contribution

We can exploit commonsense ontologies in Computer Vision, but this knowledge must be filtered in a meaningful way (e.g. using ESPGAME).



Becas Chile — Magister en el Extranjero



FONDECYT 1151018 and 1150328



School of Graduate Studies

UofT School of Graduate Studies



Canadian Artificial Intelligence Association



Our code: https://bitbucket.org/RTorolcarte/cn-detectors

Our code: https://bitbucket.org/RTorolcarte/cn-detectors

If you want to share ideas about commonsense knowledge in Computer Vision, please come to check my poster :)

Our code: https://bitbucket.org/RTorolcarte/cn-detectors

If you want to share ideas about commonsense knowledge in Computer Vision, please come to check my poster :)

Thank you!

- Somak Aditya, Yezhou Yang, Chitta Baral, and Yiannis Aloimonos. Answering image riddles using vision and reasoning through probabilistic soft logic. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.05896, 2016.
- Nicola Bicocchi, Matteo Lasagni, and Franco Zambonelli. Bridging vision and commonsense for multimodal situation recognition in pervasive systems. In *Pervasive Computing and Communications (PerCom), 2012 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 48–56. IEEE, 2012.
- Maaike de Boer, Klamer Schutte, and Wessel Kraaij. Knowledge based query expansion in complex multimedia event detection. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 75(15):9025–9043, 2016.
- Hao Fang, Saurabh Gupta, Forrest landola, Rupesh K Srivastava, Li Deng, Piotr Dollár, Jianfeng Gao, Xiaodong He, Margaret Mitchell, John C Platt, et al. From captions to visual concepts and back. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 1473–1482, 2015.
- Andrej Karpathy and Li Fei-Fei. Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 3128–3137, 2015.
- Benjamin Klein, Guy Lev, Gil Sadeh, and Lior Wolf. Associating neural word embeddings with deep image representations using fisher vectors. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4437–4446, 2015.
- Dieu-Thu Le, Jasper RR Uijlings, and Raffaella Bernardi. Exploiting language models for visual recognition. In EMNLP, pages 769–779, 2013.
- Xiao Lin and Devi Parikh. Leveraging visual question answering for image-caption ranking. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pages 261–277. Springer, 2016.
- Ivan Vendrov, Ryan Kiros, Sanja Fidler, and Raquel Urtasun. Order-embeddings of images and language. In ICLR, 2016.
- Oriol Vinyals, Alexander Toshev, Samy Bengio, and Dumitru Erhan. Show and tell: A neural image caption generator. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 3156–3164, 2015.
- Lexing Xie and Xuming He. Picture tags and world knowledge: learning tag relations from visual semantic sources. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM international conference on Multimedia, pages 967–976. ACM, 2013.