Recall the simple neuron-like unit:

\[ y = g \left( b + \sum_i x_i w_i \right) \]

These units are much more powerful if we connect many of them into a neural network.
Overview

Design choices so far

- **Task:** regression, binary classification, multiway classification
- **Model/Architecture:** linear, log-linear, feed-forward neural network
- **Loss function:** squared error, 0–1 loss, cross-entropy, hinge loss
- **Optimization algorithm:** direct solution, gradient descent, perceptron
Multilayer Perceptrons

- We can connect lots of units together into a directed acyclic graph.
- This gives a feed-forward neural network. That’s in contrast to recurrent neural networks, which can have cycles. (We’ll talk about those later.)
- Typically, units are grouped together into layers.
Each layer connects $N$ input units to $M$ output units.

In the simplest case, all input units are connected to all output units. We call this a **fully connected layer**. We’ll consider other layer types later.

Note: the inputs and outputs for a layer are distinct from the inputs and outputs to the network.

Recall from multiway logistic regression: this means we need an $M \times N$ weight matrix.

The output units are a function of the input units:

$$y = f(x) = \phi(Wx + b)$$

A multilayer network consisting of fully connected layers is called a **multilayer perceptron**. Despite the name, it has nothing to do with perceptrons!
Some activation functions:

- **Linear**
  
  \[ y = z \]

- **Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)**
  
  \[ y = \max(0, z) \]

- **Soft ReLU**
  
  \[ y = \log(1 + e^z) \]
Some activation functions:

**Hard Threshold**
\[ y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z \leq 0 \end{cases} \]

**Logistic**
\[ y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \]

**Hyperbolic Tangent (tanh)**
\[ y = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}} \]
Designing a network to compute XOR:

Assume hard threshold activation function
Multilayer Perceptrons
Each layer computes a function, so the network computes a composition of functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h^{(1)} &= f^{(1)}(x) \\
    h^{(2)} &= f^{(2)}(h^{(1)}) \\
    & \vdots \\
    y &= f^{(L)}(h^{(L-1)})
\end{align*}
\]

Or more simply:

\[ y = f^{(L)} \circ \ldots \circ f^{(1)}(x). \]

Neural nets provide modularity: we can implement each layer’s computations as a black box.
Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:

\[ y = \psi(x) \]

\[ h^{(2)} \]

\[ h^{(1)} \]

\[ x \]

linear regressor /
classifier
Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:

The goal:
Feature Learning

Input representation of a digit: 784 dimensional vector.
Feature Learning

Each first-layer hidden unit computes \( \sigma(w_i^T x) \)

Here is one of the weight vectors (also called a feature).

It’s reshaped into an image, with gray = 0, white = +, black = -.

To compute \( w_i^T x \), multiply the corresponding pixels, and sum the result.
Feature Learning

There are 256 first-level features total. Here are some of them.
Levels of Abstraction

The psychological profiling [of a programmer] is mostly the ability to shift levels of abstraction, from low level to high level. To see something in the small and to see something in the large.

– Don Knuth
Levels of Abstraction

When you design neural networks and machine learning algorithms, you’ll need to think at multiple levels of abstraction.
We’ve seen that there are some functions that linear classifiers can’t represent. Are deep networks any better?

Any sequence of linear layers can be equivalently represented with a single linear layer.

\[
y = \underbrace{W^{(3)}W^{(2)}W^{(1)}}_{\triangleq W'} x
\]

- Deep linear networks are no more expressive than linear regression!
- Linear layers do have their uses — stay tuned!
Expressive Power

- Multilayer feed-forward neural nets with \textit{nonlinear} activation functions are \textit{universal approximators}: they can approximate any function arbitrarily well.
- This has been shown for various activation functions (thresholds, logistic, ReLU, etc.)
  - Even though ReLU is “almost” linear, it’s nonlinear enough!
Expressive Power

Universality for binary inputs and targets:

- Hard threshold hidden units, linear output
- Strategy: $2^D$ hidden units, each of which responds to one particular input configuration

Only requires one hidden layer, though it needs to be extremely wide!
What about the logistic activation function?

You can approximate a hard threshold by scaling up the weights and biases:

\[ y = \sigma(x) \]

\[ y = \sigma(5x) \]

This is good: logistic units are differentiable, so we can tune them with gradient descent. (Stay tuned!)
Limits of universality

- You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
- If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
- Really, we desire a *compact* representation!
Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
  - You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
  - If you can learn any function, you’ll just overfit.
  - Really, we desire a *compact* representation!

- We’ve derived units which compute the functions AND, OR, and NOT. Therefore, any Boolean circuit can be translated into a feed-forward neural net.
  - This suggests you might be able to learn *compact* representations of some complicated functions
  - The view of neural nets as “differentiable computers” is starting to take hold. More about this when we talk about recurrent neural nets.