# CSC321 Lecture 2: Linear Regression 
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## Overview

- First learning algorithm of the course: linear regression
- Task: predict scalar-valued targets, e.g. stock prices (hence "regression")
- Architecture: linear function of the inputs (hence "linear")


## Overview

- First learning algorithm of the course: linear regression
- Task: predict scalar-valued targets, e.g. stock prices (hence "regression")
- Architecture: linear function of the inputs (hence "linear")
- Example of recurring themes throughout the course:
- choose an architecture and a loss function
- formulate an optimization problem
- solve the optimization problem using one of two strategies
- direct solution (set derivatives to zero)
- gradient descent
- vectorize the algorithm, i.e. represent in terms of linear algebra
- make a linear model more powerful using features
- understand how well the model generalizes


## Problem Setup



- Want to predict a scalar $t$ as a function of a scalar $x$
- Given a dataset of pairs $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, t^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$
- The $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ are called inputs, and the $t^{(i)}$ are called targets.


## Problem Setup



- Model: $y$ is a linear function of $x$ :

$$
y=w x+b
$$

- $y$ is the prediction
- $w$ is the weight
- $b$ is the bias
- $w$ and $b$ together are the parameters
- Settings of the parameters are called hypotheses


## Problem Setup

- Loss function: squared error

$$
\mathcal{L}(y, t)=\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}
$$

- $y-t$ is the residual, and we want to make this small in magnitude
- The $\frac{1}{2}$ factor is just to make the calculations convenient.


## Problem Setup

- Loss function: squared error

$$
\mathcal{L}(y, t)=\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}
$$

- $y-t$ is the residual, and we want to make this small in magnitude
- The $\frac{1}{2}$ factor is just to make the calculations convenient.
- Cost function: loss function averaged over all training examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(w, b) & =\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y^{(i)}-t^{(i)}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(w x^{(i)}+b-t^{(i)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem Setup




## Problem Setup

Surface plot vs. contour plot



## Problem setup

- Suppose we have multiple inputs $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{D}$. This is referred to as multivariable regression.
- This is no different than the single input case, just harder to visualize.
- Linear model:

$$
y=\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}+b
$$

## Vectorization

- Computing the prediction using a for loop:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=b \\
& \text { for } j \text { in range(M): } \\
& y+=w[j] * \times[j]
\end{aligned}
$$

- For-loops in Python are slow, so we vectorize algorithms by expressing them in terms of vectors and matrices.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{D}\right)^{\top} \quad \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{D}\right) \\
y=\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b
\end{gathered}
$$

- This is simpler and much faster:

$$
y=n p \cdot \operatorname{dot}(w, x)+b
$$

## Vectorization

## Why vectorize?

## Vectorization

Why vectorize?

- The equations, and the code, will be simpler and more readable. Gets rid of dummy variables/indices!
- Vectorized code is much faster
- Cut down on Python interpreter overhead
- Use highly optimized linear algebra libraries
- Matrix multiplication is very fast on a Graphics Processing Unit (GPU)


## Vectorization

- We can take this a step further. Organize all the training examples into a matrix $\mathbf{X}$ with one row per training example, and all the targets into a vector $\mathbf{t}$.


## one feature across <br> all training examples

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}^{(1) \top} \\
\mathbf{x}^{(2) \top} \\
\mathbf{x}^{(3) \top}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|cc}
\cline { 2 - 4 } & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline 6 & -1 & 5 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 5 & -2 & 8
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { one training } \\
\text { example (vector) }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Computing the predictions for the whole dataset:

$$
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}+b \mathbf{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(1)}+b \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(N)}+b
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y^{(1)} \\
\vdots \\
y^{(N)}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{y}
$$

## Vectorization

- Computing the squared error cost across the whole dataset:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}+b \mathbf{1} \\
& \mathcal{E}=\frac{1}{2 N}\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In Python:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=n p \cdot \operatorname{dot}(x, w)+b \\
& \operatorname{cost}=n p \cdot \operatorname{sum}((y-t) * * 2) /\left(2 .^{*} N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Example in tutorial


## Solving the optimization problem

- We defined a cost function. This is what we'd like to minimize.
- Recall from calculus class: minimum of a smooth function (if it exists) occurs at a critical point, i.e. point where the derivative is zero.
- Multivariate generalization: set the partial derivatives to zero. We call this direct solution.


## Direct solution

- Partial derivatives: derivatives of a multivariate function with respect to one of its arguments.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(x_{1}+h, x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{h}
$$

- To compute, take the single variable derivatives, pretending the other arguments are constant.
- Example: partial derivatives of the prediction $y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{j}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{j}}\left[\sum_{j^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}} x_{j^{\prime}}+b\right] \\
& =x_{j} \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial b} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\sum_{j^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}} x_{j^{\prime}}+b\right] \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Direct solution

- Chain rule for derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{j}} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{j}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left[\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}\right] \cdot x_{j} \\
& =(y-t) x_{j} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} & =y-t
\end{aligned}
$$

- We will give a more precise statement of the Chain Rule in a few weeks. It's actually pretty complicated.
- Cost derivatives (average over data points):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{j}} & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y^{(i)}-t^{(i)}\right) x_{j}^{(i)} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial b} & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y^{(i)}-t^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Direct solution

- The minimum must occur at a point where the partial derivatives are zero.

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{j}}=0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial b}=0
$$

- If $\partial \mathcal{E} / \partial w_{j} \neq 0$, you could reduce the cost by changing $w_{j}$.
- This turns out to give a system of linear equations, which we can solve efficiently. Full derivation in tutorial and the readings.
- Optimal weights:

$$
\mathbf{w}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{t}
$$

- Linear regression is one of only a handful of models in this course that permit direct solution.


## Gradient Descent

- Now let's see a second way to minimize the cost function which is more broadly applicable: gradient descent.
- Gradient descent is an iterative algorithm, which means we apply an update repeatedly until some criterion is met.
- We initialize the weights to something reasonable (e.g. all zeros) and repeatedly adjust them in the direction of steepest descent.


## Gradient descent

- Observe:
- if $\partial \mathcal{E} / \partial w_{j}>0$, then increasing $w_{j}$ increases $\mathcal{E}$.
- if $\partial \mathcal{E} / \partial w_{j}<0$, then increasing $w_{j}$ decreases $\mathcal{E}$.
- The following update decreases the cost function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{j} & \leftarrow w_{j}-\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{j}} \\
& =w_{j}-\frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y^{(i)}-t^{(i)}\right) x_{j}^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\alpha$ is a learning rate. The larger it is, the faster $\mathbf{w}$ changes.
- We'll see later how to tune the learning rate, but values are typically small, e.g. 0.01 or 0.0001


## Gradient descent

- This gets its name from the gradient:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{D}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- This is the direction of fastest increase in $\mathcal{E}$.


## Gradient descent

- This gets its name from the gradient:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial w_{D}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- This is the direction of fastest increase in $\mathcal{E}$.
- Update rule in vector form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w} & \leftarrow \mathbf{w}-\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \\
& =\mathbf{w}-\frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y^{(i)}-t^{(i)}\right) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hence, gradient descent updates the weights in the direction of fastest decrease.


## Gradient descent

Visualization:
http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~guerzhoy/321/lec/W01/linear_ regression.pdf\#page=21

## Gradient descent

- Why gradient descent, if we can find the optimum directly?
- GD can be applied to a much broader set of models
- GD can be easier to implement than direct solutions, especially with automatic differentiation software
- For regression in high-dimensional spaces, GD is more efficient than direct solution (matrix inversion is an $\mathcal{O}\left(D^{3}\right)$ algorithm).


## Feature mappings

- Suppose we want to model the following data

-Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Christopher Bishop.
- One option: fit a low-degree polynomial; this is known as polynomial regression

$$
y=w_{3} x^{3}+w_{2} x^{2}+w_{1} x+w_{0}
$$

- Do we need to derive a whole new algorithm?


## Feature mappings

- We get polynomial regression for free!
- Define the feature map

$$
\phi(x)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x \\
x^{2} \\
x^{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Polynomial regression model:

$$
y=\mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(x)
$$

- All of the derivations and algorithms so far in this lecture remain exactly the same!


## Fitting polynomials


-Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Christopher Bishop.

## Fitting polynomials

$$
y=w_{0}+w_{1} x
$$


-Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Christopher Bishop.

## Fitting polynomials


-Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Christopher Bishop.

## Fitting polynomials

$$
y=w_{0}+w_{1} x+w_{2} x^{2}+w_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+w_{9} x^{9}
$$


-Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Christopher Bishop.

## Generalization

Underfitting : The model is too simple - does not fit the data.


Overfitting : The model is too complex - fits perfectly, does not generalize.


## Generalization

- We would like our models to generalize to data they haven't seen before
- The degree of the polynomial is an example of a hyperparameter, something we can't include in the training procedure itself
- We can tune hyperparameters using a validation set:

| training set | validation <br> set | test set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Foreshadowing

- Feature maps aren't a silver bullet:
- It's not always easy to pick good features.
- In high dimensions, polynomial expansions can get very large!
- Until the last few years, a large fraction of the effort of building a good machine learning system was feature engineering
- We'll see that neural networks are able to learn nonlinear functions directly, avoiding hand-engineering of features

