## CSC321 Lecture 16: ResNets and Attention

Roger Grosse

Roger Grosse

CSC321 Lecture 16: ResNets and Attention

過 ト イヨ ト イヨト

#### Overview

Two topics for today:

- Topic 1: Deep Residual Networks (ResNets)
  - This is the state-of-the art approach to object recognition.
  - It applies the insights of avoiding exploding/vanishing gradients to train really deep conv nets.

#### • Topic 2: Attention

- Machine translation: it's hard to summarize long sentences in a single vector, so let's let the decoder peek at the input.
- Vision: have a network glance at one part of an image at a time, so that we can understand what information it's using
- We can use attention to build differentiable computers (e.g. Neural Turing Machines)

▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ …

• I promised you I'd explain the best ImageNet object recognizer from 2015, but that it required another idea.

Year	Model	Top-5 error
2010	Hand-designed descriptors $+$ SVM	28.2%
2011	Compressed Fisher Vectors $+$ SVM	25.8%
2012	AlexNet	16.4%
2013	a variant of AlexNet	11.7%
2014	GoogLeNet	6.6%
2015	deep residual nets	4.5%

• That idea is exploding and vanishing gradients, and dealing with them by making it easy to pass information directly through a network.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

• Recall: the Jacobian  $\partial \mathbf{h}^{(T)}/\partial \mathbf{h}^{(1)}$  is the product of the individual Jacobians:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(T)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(T)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(T-1)}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(2)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(1)}}$$

- But this applies to multilayer perceptrons and conv nets as well! (Let t index the layers rather than time.)
- Then how come we didn't have to worry about exploding/vanishing gradients until we talked about RNNs?
  - MLPs and conv nets were at most 10s of layers deep.
  - RNNs would be run over hundreds of time steps.
  - This means if we want to train a really deep conv net, we need to worry about exploding/vanishing gradients!

• Remember Homework 3? You derived backprop for this architecture:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{W}^{(1)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{h} &= \phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W}^{(2)} \mathbf{h} \end{aligned}$$

- This is called a residual unit, and it's actually pretty useful. (This usage of "unit" is different from units of a network.)
- Each layer adds something (i.e. a residual) to the previous value, rather than producing an entirely new value.
- Note: the network for  $\mathcal{F}$  can have multiple layers, be convolutional, etc.



- We can string together a bunch of residual units.
- What happens if we set the parameters such that \$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^{(\ell)}) = 0\$ in every layer?
  - Then it passes **x**<sup>(1)</sup> straight through unmodified!
  - This means it's easy for the network to represent the identity function.

• Backprop:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbf{x}^{(\ell)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{x}^{(\ell+1)}} + \overline{\mathbf{x}^{(\ell+1)}} \, \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{x}^{(\ell+1)}} \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \end{split}$$

This means the derivatives don't vanish.

$$\mathcal{F}(x^{(3)})$$

ロト くぼト くほト くほう

- Deep Residual Networks (ResNets) consist of many layers of residual units.
- For vision tasks, the  $\mathcal{F}$  functions are usually 2- or 3-layer conv nets.
- Performance on CIFAR-10, a small object recognition dataset:



• For a regular convnet, performance declines with depth, but for a ResNet, it keeps improving.

- A 152-layer ResNet achieved 4.49% top-5 error on Image Net. An ensemble of them achieved 3.57%.
- Previous state-of-the-art: 6.6% (GoogLeNet)
- Humans: 5.1%
- They were able to train ResNets with more than 1000 layers, but classification performance leveled off by 150.
- What are all these layers doing? We don't have a clear answer, but the idea that they're computing increasingly abstract features is starting to sound fishy...

< 同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

- Next topic: attention-based models.
- Remember the encoder/decoder architecture for machine translation:



- The network reads a sentence and stores all the information in its hidden units.
- Some sentences can be really long. Can we really store all the information in a vector of hidden units?
  - Let's make things easier by letting the decoder refer to the input sentence.

- We'll look at the translation model from the classic paper: Bahdanau et al., Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. ICLR, 2015.
- Basic idea: each output word comes from one word, or a handful of words, from the input. Maybe we can learn to attend to only the relevant ones as we produce the output.

- The model has both an encoder and a decoder. The encoder computes an annotation of each word in the input.
- It takes the form of a bidirectional RNN. This just means we have an RNN that runs forwards and an RNN that runs backwards, and we concantenate their hidden vectors.
  - The idea: information earlier or later in the sentence can help disambiguate a word, so we need both directions.
  - The RNN uses an LSTM-like architecture called gated recurrent units.



• • = • • = •

- The decoder network is also an RNN. Like the encoder/decoder translation model, it makes predictions one word at a time, and its predictions are fed back in as inputs.
- The difference is that it also receives a context vector  $\mathbf{c}^{(t)}$  at each time step, which is computed by attending to the inputs.



• The context vector is computed as a weighted average of the encoder's annotations.

$$\mathbf{c}^{(i)} = \sum_{j} \alpha_{ij} h^{(j)}$$

• The attention weights are computed as a softmax, where the inputs depend on the annotation and the decoder's state:

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{j'} \exp(e_{ij'})}$$
$$e_{ij} = a(\mathbf{s}^{(i-1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(j)})$$

- Note that the attention function depends on the annotation vector, rather than the position in the sentence. This means it's a form of content-based addressing.
  - My language model tells me the next word should be an adjective. Find me an adjective in the input.

• Here's a visualization of the attention maps at each time step.



- Nothing forces the model to go linearly through the input sentence, but somehow it learns to do it.
  - It's not perfectly linear e.g., French adjectives can come after the nouns.

• The attention-based translation model does much better than the encoder/decoder model on long sentences.



- Attention can also be used to understand images.
- We humans can't process a whole visual scene at once.
  - The fovea of the eye gives us high-acuity vision in only a tiny region of our field of view.
  - Instead, we must integrate information from a series of glimpses.
- The next few slides are based on this paper from the UofT machine learning group:

Xu et al. Show, Attend, and Tell: Neural Image Caption Generation with Visual Attention. ICML, 2015.

- The task is caption generation, just like Programming Assignment 2.
- **Encoder:** a classification conv net (VGGNet, similar to AlexNet). This computes a bunch of feature maps over the image.
- **Decoder:** an attention-based RNN, analogous to the decoder in the translation model
  - In each time step, the decoder computes an attention map over the entire image, effectively deciding which regions to focus on.
  - It receives a context vector, which is the weighted average of the conv net features.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

• This lets us understand where the network is looking as it generates a sentence.



A bird flying over a body of water



A woman is throwing a frisbee in a park.



A dog is standing on a hardwood floor.



A stop sign is on a road with a mountain in the background.



A little girl sitting on a bed with a teddy bear.



A group of <u>people</u> sitting on a boat in the water.



A giraffe standing in a forest with trees in the background.

Roger Grosse

CSC321 Lecture 16: ResNets and Attention

• This can also help us understand the network's mistakes.



A large white bird standing in a forest.



A woman holding a clock in her hand.



A man wearing a hat and a hat on a <u>skateboard</u>.



A person is standing on a beach with a <u>surfboard.</u>

A woman is sitting at a table with a large pizza.

A man is talking on his cell phone while another man watches.

소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가 ...

3

- We said earlier that multilayer perceptrons are like differentiable circuits.
- Using an attention model, we can build differentiable computers.
- We've seen hints that sparsity of memory accesses can be useful:



• Computers have a huge memory, but they only access a handful of locations at a time. Can we make neural nets more computer-like?

• Recall Turing machines:



- You have an infinite tape, and a head, which transitions between various states, and reads and writes to the tape.
- "If in state A and the current symbol is 0, write a 0, transition to state B, and move right."
- These simple machines are universal they're capable of doing any computation that ordinary computers can.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Neural Turing Machines are an analogue of Turing machines where all of the computations are differentiable.
  - This means we can train the parameters by doing backprop through the entire computation.
- Each memory location stores a vector.
- The read and write heads interact with a weighted average of memory locations, just as in the attention models.
- The controller is an RNN (in particular, an LSTM) which can issue commands to the read/write heads.



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Repeat copy task: receives a sequence of binary vectors, and has to output several repetitions of the sequence.
- Pattern of memory accesses for the read and write heads:



 Priority sort: receives a sequence of (key, value) pairs, and has to output the values in sorted order by key.



• Sequence of memory accesses:

Write Weightings

Read Weightings

