# Comprehensive Kernel Instrumentation via Dynamic Binary Translation

#### Peter Feiner

Angela Demke Brown

Ashvin Goel

University of Toronto



Growth in code size

- Palix, ASPLOS 2011
- Many new drivers!



Growth in code size

- Palix, ASPLOS 2011
- Many new drivers!





Growth in code size

- Palix, ASPLOS 2011
- Many new drivers!





## Tools would be nice

Awesome tools for **user** code

- Memcheck
- Program Shepherding

Use Dynamic Binary Translation (DBT)

- Rewrite binaries as they execute
- No need for source

Frameworks make building DBT tools easy

DynamoRIO, Valgrind, Pin

#### No framework for **OS** code

#### Ported DynamRIO to Linux kernel

Runs on bare metal

Ported DynamRIO to Linux kernel

Runs on bare metal

Port took **I8 Months** 

#### Ported DynamRIO to Linux kernel

- Runs on bare metal
- Port took **18 Months**

Built OS debugging tools in 5 days

- Heap debugging
  - Use after free
  - Heap corruption
- Stack overflow monitor

#### Ported DynamRIO to Linux kernel

- Runs on bare metal
- Port took **18 Months**

Built OS debugging tools in 5 days

- Heap debugging
  - Use after free
  - Heap corruption
- Stack overflow monitor

Practical



#### VMWare can use DBT on guests

No instrumentation API

VMWare can use DBT on guests

INO INSULUMENTATION AF		No	instrumentation AF	2
------------------------	--	----	--------------------	---

PinOS has instrumentation API

- PinOS = Pin + Xen
- Guest needs emulated devices
  - Useless for most driver code

VMWare can use DBT on guests

No instrumentation API

PinOS has instrumentation API

- PinOS = Pin + Xen
- Guest needs emulated devices
  - Useless for most driver code



VMWare can use DBT on guests

No instrumentation API

PinOS has instrumentation API

- PinOS = Pin + Xen
- Guest needs emulated devices
  - Useless for most driver code



So add DBT to a hypervisor with pass-through devices?

- Then you'd have the problems we show you how to solve
- ... problems with interrupts!










































System call example

bbl is entry point



System call example

bbl is entry point





#### Reentrance





















• Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?



• Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?



Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?



• Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?



Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?



- Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?
  - Problem if instrumentation isn't reentrant



- Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?
  - Problem if instrumentation isn't reentrant



- Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?
  - Problem if instrumentation isn't reentrant



- Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?
  - Problem if instrumentation isn't reentrant



What should framework do with interrupt?

- Can it run interrupt handler IH immediately?
  - Problem if instrumentation isn't reentrant

#### Need to delay



#### Where do we delay it until?





















Where do we delay it until?

Delay until end of bb1? X

Avoids tail

- Problem if bb1 disables interrupt
  - Could be any MMIO
  - Framework cannot detect if enabled





Must deliver before next OS instruction



Must deliver before next OS instruction



Delay until end of instrumentation



Must deliver before next OS instruction

- Delay until end of instrumentation
- Still duplicates tail




Could disable them on the CPU

push, disable



Could disable them on the CPU

push, disable pop







Could disable them on the CPU



Instead, have framework handle it

Extra overhead for interrupt, cheaper instrumentation

Could disable them on the CPU



Instead, have framework handle it

- Extra overhead for interrupt, cheaper instrumentation
- Instrumentation more frequent than interrupts
- Gigabit NIC sends interrupt every 100µs  $\approx$  100K instr.

Example: interrupt 239



Example: interrupt 239



Interrupts enabled	yes
•••	

Example: interrupt 239



Interrupts enabled	yes
•••	



Interrupts enabled	yes
•••	



Interrupts enabled	no yes
•••	



3. iret

Interrupts enabled	no yes
•••	



3. iret

Interrupts enabled	no yes
•••	



- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret

Interrupt Stack Frame

bb

int 239

Interrupts enabled	no yes
•••	



- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret

Interrupt Stack Frame

bb

int 239

arrival







- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret



**bb** 

int 239







The framework

- I. Patches next native instruction
- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret
- 4. Removes patch



-int 239









The framework

- I. Patches next native instruction
- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret
- 4. Removes patch
- 5. Enables interrupts on iret





Interrupt Stack Frame





Framework



The framework

- I. Patches next native instruction
- 2. Disables interrupts on iret
- 3. iret
- 4. Removes patch
- 5. Enables interrupts on iret
- 6. Run instrumented interrupt handler



**bb** 

arrival







...



#### Performance

Ran framework with instruction counting tool

Intel Quad Core i7 2.8Ghz, 8GB, 64-bit Ubuntu 10.10

Low application overhead

- JavaScript, Mozilla Kraken: 3% overhead
- Parallel Linux kernel compile: 30% overhead
  - 18% user time increase
  - 143% system time increase

Overhead commensurate with OS activity

How bad can this get?

#### Stress Test Setup

Apachebench and Filebench

Configured benchmarks to stress CPUs and kernel

- Large buffer cache no disk I/O
- Many threads lots of context switching
- 100% utilization shows interrupt processing overhead

	nthreads	data size
fileserver	50	1.25 GB
webserver	100	15.6 MB
webproxy	100	15.6 MB
varmail	16	15.6 MB

 Table 1. Filebench parameters

concurrency level 200

**Apachebench Parameters** 

#### **Stress Test Results**



Less than 5x - Reasonable overhead for debugging tools

#### **Stress Test Results**



Less than 5x - Reasonable overhead for debugging tools

### Summary

Enables dynamic binary instrumentation of OS

Makes it easy to write complex instrumentation

Built useful memory checking tools

Works with arbitrary devices & drivers

