Structured Design

- **Structured Design**
  - Fundamentals of a Discipline of Computer Program and Systems Design
    - Edward Yourdon / Larry L. Constantine
    - Prentice-Hall, 1979

- **Purpose**
  - Make methodical the process of designing software systems
    - Mainly business systems

- **Approach**
  - Defines properties of a good procedural design
  - Defines a step-by-step method for transforming a data flow graph into a procedural design
    - N.B. calls “procedures” (possibly with associated static data) “modules”, which differs from Parnas’ use of the term as a grouping of multiple procedures and related data

Structured Design Significance

- Very popular in business circles.
- Never caught on in academic circles
  - Ideas are somewhat half-baked
    - “theorems” with silly or no proofs
    - Ill-described concepts (no firm definitions)
  - At a time when predicate logic to describe programming semantics was in vogue
- Nonetheless, full of useful concepts.
- Somewhat dated, as it applies best to data-flow oriented software (not interactive, real-time, or database oriented)
  - E.g., read update records from tape, merge them into a master file, and print a report.
Modules and Connections

• Module
  – A lexically contiguous sequence of program statements, bounded by boundary elements, having an aggregate identifier.
    • i.e., a “function” or “procedure” or “method”?

• Connections

Limitations on Dealing with Complexity

• Errors: 7 ± 2 rule
  – Based on work of psychologist George Miller
    • Now questioned in the HCI community

![Graph showing the 7 ± 2 rule](image)

04 - Structured Design  CSC407
Total Errors in a System

- Two opposing forces:
  - Intra-module complexity: Complexity within one module
  - Inter-module complexity: Complexity of modules interacting with one another

Overall Cost

- The cost of developing most systems
  - \( \approx \) cost of debugging them (+ cost of changing them nowadays)
- These costs are directly related to the overall complexity
  - Complexity injects more errors and makes them harder to fix
  - Complexity requires more changes and makes them harder to effect.
- Complexity can be decreased by breaking the problem into smaller pieces
  - So long as those pieces are relatively independent of one another
- Eventually, the process of breaking pieces into smaller pieces creates more complexity than it eliminates.
  - 1970s: Happens later than most designers would like to believe.
  - 2000s: Happens sooner than most designers would like to believe.
Design Approach

- Therefore, there is some optimal level of sub-division that minimizes complexity
  - Use your judgment
- Once you know the right level, then must choose how to sub-divide:
  - Minimize coupling between modules
    • Reduces the complexities of interaction
  - Maximize cohesion within modules
    • Keeps changes from propagating
  - Duals of one another.

Coupling

- Two modules are independent if each can function completely without the presence of the other.
  - They are decoupled or uncoupled.
- Highly coupled modules are joined by many interconnections/dependencies
- Loosely coupled modules are joined by few interconnections/dependencies

- Wish to minimize coupling between modules in a system
  - Coupling = probability that in coding/modifying/debugging module A we will have to take into account something about module B
Influences on Coupling

- **Type of connection**
  - Minimally connected: parameters to a subroutine
  - Pathologically connected: non-parameter data references

- **Interface complexity**
  - Number of parameters/returns
  - Difficulty of usage, e.g., \( A = \sqrt{x,y,z} \)

- **Information flow**
  - Data flow
    - Passing a of data to be acted upon in a uniform fashion
  - Control flow
    - Passing of flags that govern how other data is processed

- **Binding time**
  - More static = more complex
    - e.g., literal '80' versus pervasive constant N_STUDENTS, versus execution-time parameter.

---

Common-Environment Coupling

- A module writes into global data
- A different module reads from it (data or, worse, control).
Coupling Example 1

- Alternate interfaces:
  - void getChar(bool& eof, char& c)
  - char getChar(bool& eof);
  - char getChar();
- Either way:
  - 1 data coupling
  - 1 control coupling

```
main()
getChar()
```

Coupling Example 2

```
main()
getLine() getChar() parseCmd() execCmd()
```
Interfaces

- void getChar(char& c, bool& eof);
- void getLine(char* &line, bool& eof);
- void parseCmd(char* line, Command& cmd);
- void execCmd(Command cmd);

- 3 data couplings, 4 command couplings

Example

- Go to your tutorial!
- I will give you a similar question on the exam.
Cohesion

- While minimizing coupling, we must also maximize *cohesion*.
  - How well a particular module “holds together”.
  - The cement that holds a module together
  - Answers the questions:
    - Does this make sense as a distinct module?
    - Do these things belong together?
  - Best cohesion is when the cohesion comes from the problem space, not the solution space
    - Echoed years later in OOA/OOD

Elements of Processing

- A module is composed of *processing elements*.
  - ill-defined
  - roughly corresponds to flowchart steps
- Cohesion is a measure of how well the processing elements hang together as a module

- Cohesion of a module is
  - approximately the highest level of cohesion which is applicable to all elements of processing in the module
Levels of Lack of Cohesion

- **Coincidental**
  - No rhyme or reason for doing 2 things in the same sub-routine
    - `void computeAndRead(double x, double& sqrtX, char& c);`

- **Logical**
  - Similar class of things
    - `char input(bool fromFile, bool fromStdin);`

- **Temporal**
  - Things that happen one after the other
    - `void initSimulationAndPrepareFirst();`

Levels of Lack of Cohesion (cont’d)

- **Procedural**
  - Operation are together because they are in the same loop or decision process (but no higher cohesion exists)
    - `typeDecide(m)`
      - Decide type of plant being simulated and perform simulation part 1.

- **Communicational**
  - All operations are on the same set of input data, or produce the same set of output data
    - `void printReport(data x, data y, data z)`

- **Sequential**
  - A sequence of steps that take the output of the previous step and process it into input for the next step.
    - `string compile(String program) { parse, semantic analysis, code generation }`
Cohesion (cont’d)

- Functional
  - That which is none of the above
    - double sqrt(double x);
  - Does one and only one conceptual thing.
  - Equivalent to Information Hiding

Implementation and Cohesion

- Consider module FG that does two things: F and G
- When doing these things in the same module, chances are there is some common code than can be shared.
- If F and G have high cohesion, that's ok.
- Otherwise it becomes difficult to work with
Data Flow Diagrams (DFDs)

- employee skill records
- valid employee skill records
- bogus skills
- department skill summary

Structured Design Methodology

- Transform Analysis
  - Restate the problem as a data flow graph
  - Identify Afferent and Efferent data elements
    - afferent: high-level input data, furthest removed from the physical input, which are still considered inputs
    - efferent: high-level output data, furthest removed from the physical output, which are still considered outputs
  - Factor Afferent, Efferent, and Transform branches