A Case Study of the Development of CS Teaching Assistants and Their Experiences with Team Teaching

Elizabeth Patitsas

University of Toronto

November 15, 2013

# Teaching assistants

- Graduate students who participate in course delivery (some ugrad)
- Closed labs
- Tutorials
- Assistance with in-lecture activities
- Grading, office hours
- Most heavily used in North America

## Introduction

- TAs play a big role in teaching CS at many institutions; have effect on student grades [1], retention [2], diversity [3]
- 30-50% of the contact hours at American research-oriented unis [4]
- Most professors get their first teaching experience as TAs [4]
- Challenge: understand how to support CS TAs

## Teacher development

- Teachers of all varieties develop in stages [5]
- Knowledge transfer is "pull transfer" not "push transfer" [6]
- Motivation: we want to understand TA development to improve TA training/support

### Context

- UBC: labs are taught by pairs of TAs
- 20-30 students in a lab, 2-3 hours in length
- 200 TAs in the department (grad and undergrad); teach about half of the contact hours in first/second year CS
- Convention: TAs who have taught a course are rehired to that course

# Methods

- TAs sampled to get a range of experience
- Focus on first and second year courses
- Semi-structured interviews with nine TAs
- $\bullet\,$  Lab observations of those TAs + eight additional TAs
- We sorted TAs into three stages (Novice/Intermediate/Expert) based on Sprague's stages of TA development (see paper for more)

# Part I

How do first-time TAs differ from experienced TAs in terms of teaching technique?

# Preparation

- Novice TAs were diligent about preparing, but had a hard time
  - They would read through the labs, but not do them
  - They lacked the PCK to tell where students would stumble
- Intermediate TAs were less diligent! TAs who had taught a course more than once would be lazy about preparation.
- TAs of all levels benefit from weekly TA meetings where the TAs go through the labs: first-timers learn how to prepare; experienced TAs are kept fresh on the material

글 > - + 글 >

# Triage

- First time TAs did not discriminate between student questions
- Experienced TAs would carefully triage questions

## Teamwork with fellow TA

- Recall: TAs teach in pairs.
- First time TAs would ignore their partners, feeling "too overwhelmed" with student questions (see: triage!).
- Experienced TAs would collaborate with their partners (more on that later), and seek help from them when stuck.

# Authority

- First-time TAs reported a hard time with maintaining authority
- For many this was the hardest part of the job.

3

- ∢ ≣ →

< 67 ▶

## Approach to answering questions

- First time TAs were more focused on answering the question;
  - If they did not know the answer, they would minimize the question or "make something up"
  - They were afraid for their status as an authority
  - They could take a long time to answer questions if they had to work through it themselves
  - Would not ask their partner for help.
- Experienced TAs were more Socratic
  - Would tailor their approach based on the student.

## Communication skills

- First-time TAs also reported being stuck on communication skills
- They were worried they weren't speaking loud or slowly enough

# Difficulties

For Novice TAs:

- Lack of PCK when preparing for labs
- Inability to triage
- Maintaining authority
- Communication skills

For Intermediate TAs:

- Hubris in preparation
- Shifting to a more Socratic, personalized approach to answering questions
- Effective collaboration with their partners (and other course staff)

# Part II

How do first-time TAs differ from experienced TAs in terms of perception of the job?

# Changes in perception

- Least favourite part of the job: students failing vs. structural parts of the course
  - Examples of the latter: 8am staff meetings, Blackboard, unhelpful profs
- Relationship with students: being a friend vs. being a mentor

# Part III

What promotes growth from the Novice stage to Intermediate stage and Intermediate to Expert?

## Novice to Intermediate

- Practice on same course
- Useful staff meetings, guidance from instructors and senior TAs
- Encouragement
- Student feedback

## Intermediate to Expert

- Teaching a different course
- Mentorship from instructors and senior TAs
- Critical feedback
- Collaboration and reflection with partners in lab

# Part IV

# How do TAs work together in the lab?

3

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

# How TAs Work Together in Lab

- Active observation: seeing the other TA explain issues
- Strategizing: how to handle difficult students, questions, etc
- Debugging the lab handouts
- Clarifying TAs' own (mis)understandings of the lab, logistics
- Socializing

# Knowledge Transfer

- Alpha TA to Beta TA
- Alpha TA would take the lead in class announcements; Beta would ask them for support more than vice versa
- Consistent through term

# Social learning from the Alpha

• The beta TA learns from the alpha TA: "I would not [be as good a TA] had I not the lab with [alpha TA] and access to what she was doing"

∃ → ( ∃ →

# Who is the alpha?

- The member of the dyad who has more experience with the labs would wind up as alpha
- A first-time TA who has a lab earlier in the week would be alpha in a team with an expert TA who is new to the course!
- The TAs respond to who has more course PCK not who has more teaching ability!

## TAs enjoyed working in pairs

- Division of labour: "makes the lab more efficient"
- Security: "it's nice to have somebody covering your back"
- Teamwork: having another TA to socialize with during lulls in questions, or *"bounce ideas off of"*
- Diversity: "sometimes you just can't see something and you need another view"; "we could combine our knowledge"

# Conflict can happen

- Sources of conflict:
  - Differences in marking
  - Differing standards for punctuality, professionalism and preparation
- Expert TAs would be proactive about these issues

# Implications for TA training

- Two-stage training: Novice to Intermediate, Intermediate to Expert
  - Stage 1: focus on communication, triage, authority, preparation
  - Stage 2: focus on instructional techniques, managing partners/instructors, adapting to new material
- Evidence that 1-on-1 observations are more effective than training sessions [8]

## Implications for course management

- Run staff meetings for the benefit of TAs; give them advice, encouragement, and solicit their feedback
- Weekly TA meetings where TAs work through the labs (recommended: have a head TA run this)
- Consider experience and development when assigning TAs to tasks and lab sections

# Conclusions

- TA quality can be improved by improving TA support
- TAs *develop*; novice TAs are focused on things like communication, not instructional technique
- Effective TA training needs to happen at multiple points in a TA's development, not just the beginning
- Staff meetings are an important source of TA support; instructors need to view TA-training as part of their role

## Acknowledgements

- Thanks to the study participants!
- Meghan Allen, Patrice Belleville, Michelle Craig, Steve Easterbrook, Kimberly Voll, Steve Wolfman
- Travel funding: National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada

## References



Cassandra Paul, Emily West, David Webb, Brenda Weiss, and Wendell Potter.

Important types of instructor-student interactions in reformed classrooms, 2010. American Association of Physics Teachers Summer Meeting.



Christopher O'Neal, Mary Wright, Constance Cook, Tom Perorazio, and Joel Purkiss.

The impact of teaching assistants on student retention in the sciences: Lessons for TA training. Journal of College Science Teaching, 36(5):24–29, 2007.



#### Eric Roberts, John Lilly, and Bryan Rollins.

Using undergraduates as teaching assistants in introductory programming courses: an update on the Stanford experience. SIGCSE Bull., 27(1):48–52, March 1995.



#### S. S. Bomotti.

Teaching assistant attitudes toward college teaching. *Review of Higher Education*, 17(4):371–393, 1994.



#### Peter Kugel.

How professors develop as teachers. Studies in higher education, 18(3):315–328, 1993.



#### Sally Fincher and Josh Tenenberg.

Warren's question. In Proceedings of ICER '07, ICER '07, pages 51–60, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM.



#### Jo Sprague and Jody D Nyquist.

TA supervision. New directions for teaching and learning, 1989(39):37–53, 1989.



Ben Stephenson.

University of calgary's ta mentorship program, 2013. Invited talk at UToronto.

(日) (同) (三) (三)