

# CSC 363 - Summer 2005

## Assignment 2

due on Tuesday, June 28th, at 6pm

**Problem 1** [5p] For every positive integer  $t$ , we say that a language  $L$  is *decidable with lag  $t$*  if there exists a TM  $M$  deciding  $L$ , which also satisfies the requirement that on every input  $w$ ,  $M$  halts in at most  $|w| + t$  steps. For example, the arguments presented in the solution to question 4c from Assignment 1 show that every finite language is decidable with lag 1.

Consider a table whose rows are labelled with TMs, whose columns are labelled with TM encodings, and where the entry at  $(M_i, \langle M_j \rangle)$  contains a 1 if  $M_i$  accepts  $\langle M_j \rangle$  in at most  $|\langle M_j \rangle| + t$  steps, and a 0 otherwise.

Use a diagonalization argument to show that for every  $t$ , there exists a language  $L$  which is decidable in general, but not decidable with lag  $t$ .

**Problem 2** [10p] Let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_i, \dots$  be the list of all TMs in lexicographical order.

For every positive integer  $k$ , define  $f(k)$  to be the index in the above list of the  $k$ -th TM  $M$  such that  $L(M) = \emptyset$ . Formally,

$$f(k) = \max\{i : \exists J \subseteq \{1 \dots i - 1\} \text{ such that } (|J| = k - 1 \text{ and } \forall j \in \{1 \dots i - 1\}, L(M_j) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow j \in J)\}$$

Notice that  $f$  is well defined for every  $k$ , since there are infinitely many TMs  $M$  with  $L(M) = \emptyset$ . For example, if  $L(M_2) = L(M_5) = \emptyset$  and  $L(M_1), L(M_3), L(M_4)$  are not empty, then  $f(1) = 2$  and  $f(2) = 5$ .

Prove that  $f$  is not computable.

**Problem 3** [10p] This is problem 5.14 in Sipser. Let  $L$  be the language of all encodings  $\langle M, w \rangle$  such that the TM  $M$  on input  $w$  attempts to move its head left of the initial position (i.e. left of the position of the leftmost symbol in the input string). Show that  $L$  is undecidable.

Note: TMs in Sipser have one-way infinite tapes, while our TMs have a two-way infinite tapes. The statement above is relevant for our model.

**Problem 4** [10p] This is problem 5.10 in Sipser first edition, and 5.24 in Sipser second edition. Let

$$J = \{0x : x \in A_{TM}\} \cup \{1y : y \in \overline{A_{TM}}\}.$$

Show that neither  $J$  nor  $\overline{J}$  is recognizable.