CSC165 Mathematical Expression and Reasoning for Computer Science

Bahar Aameri

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto

Winter 2015

Bahar Aameri

Mathematical Expression and Reasoning

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 _ のへで

General Info

- Instructors:
 - Bahar Aameri Email: bahar at cs.toronto.edu
 Office hours: Friday 12:30-1:30pm and 3:30-5pm in BA4261.
 Lisa Yan
 - Email: lyan at cs.toronto.edu Office hours: Wednesday 3-5 in BA4261.
- Lectures:
 - Section L0101: MWF 11-noon
 - \bullet Section L0201: MWF 2-3 \mathbf{pm}

Bahar teaches the Monday morning, Friday morning and Friday afternoon sessions.

Lisa teaches the Monday afternoon, Wednesday morning and Wednesday afternoon sessions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 うのの

- Course web page: www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc165h/winter
- Course info sheet (important): http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc165h/winter/165infosheet.pdf
- Course Notes: http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc165h/winter/165notes.pdf

Bahar Aameri

Mathematical Expression and Reasoning

Tutorials

- Section L0101: Tuesdays 2:10-3:30 pm
- Section L0201: Thursdays 2:10-3:30 pm
- Format:
 - Work on some **exercises** (40 mins)
 - Discuss solutions with the TA (20 mins)
 - Take an easy quiz (10-15 mins)
- Room assignments for tutorials will be announced on the course web page by the end of Week 1.
- You must take the quizzes in the room that you are assigned to.

Tutorials start on Week 2

Course Work

Item	Due	Weight
Assignment $#1$	Fri Jan 30, 11:59 pm	10%
Assignment $#2$	Fri Mar 06, 11:59 pm	10%
Assignment $#3$	Thu April 02, 11:59 pm	10%
Quizzes	Weeks 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12	10% (in total)
Term test $\#1$	Tue Feb 03 or Thu Feb 05	10%
Term test $#2$	Tue Mar 10 or Thu Mar 12	10%
Final exam	Some time in April	40%

- Quizzes: at the end of tutorials (note that Week 7 is the reading week).
- Term Tests: Week 5 and Week 10, during the tutorial times.
- Final exam: In order to pass the course, you must obtain at least 40% on the final exam.

イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー シック

Assignments

- Assignments may be submitted in groups of up to two students.
 - You may choose your group-mate from students in the other section.
 - You may change your group-mate for each assignment.
- Each group must submit a single **PDF** file on *MarkUs*
 - Submissions must be **typed** (LATEX is strongly recommended)
 - **Recommendation**: work on all questions individually! Then discuss your answers with your group-mate and prepare the final submission together.
- Late Submission: One time 24-hour grace period with no penalty (per person).
- **Remark requests** for assignments must be submitted through *MarkUs* within **one week** of receiving the assignment back.

Email Policy and Discussion Board

- General questions about the course or assignments should be submitted to the discussion board (Piazza): http://piazza.com/utoronto.ca/winter2015/csc165
 - Use your **UTOR email** (mail.utoronto.ca) to sign up.
 - The discussion board will be monitored by TAs and instructors.
 - Don't discuss assignment solutions until **24h after** the due dates.
- Use email only for personal issues such as requesting special considerations.

How to do well in CSC165

- Check the course web page and emails regularly.
- Come to the lectures and participate
- Work on tutorials, show your solution to the TA, ask for feedback.
- Spend 8-10 hours/week:
 - 3 hours in lectures
 - 2 hours in tutorial
 - 3-5 hours reviewing slides and course notes, working on assignments.
- Need more practice? http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc165h/winter/165PraQueSoln.pdf

Chapter 1 Introduction

Mathematical Expression and Reasoning

Bahar Aameri

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 _ のへで

What's CSC165 about?

- Logic and Mathematical Expression: how to communicate precisely using a formal language.
- Proof Techniques: how to make logical, convincing arguments.
- Algorithm Analysis and Complexity: how to prove correctness of computer programs and measure their running times.
- Basic Introduction to Computability: what computers cannot do.

Why do we need CSC165?!

As a Software Developer/Designer

- You need to **communicate** with your colleagues and customers about the software requirements
- You need to be able to **prove** that your codes **satisfies** the requirements
- You need to **solve** a **mathematical problem** in order to implement a requirement

As a **Researcher**

- Artificial intelligence \Rightarrow Mathematical Logic, Set Theory, Probability
- **Cryptography** \Rightarrow Number Theory, Field Theory
- Algorithm \Rightarrow Combinatorics, Set Theory
- **Programming Languages** \Rightarrow Mathematical Logic, Set Theory
- **Databases** \Rightarrow Mathematical Logic, Set Theory
- **Networking** ⇒ Graph Theory, Statistics

Bahar Aameri

How to solve a problem

Understand the problem:

- Know what is **required**
- Know what is **given**
 - usually the given information is necessary for solving the problem.
 - BUT, sometimes completing a proof needs information that are not given but **have been proved** or are **well-known** to be true.
- **Re-state** the problem in your own words;
- Might help to draw some **diagrams**.

Plan solution(s):

- If you have seen something **similar**, you may be able to use its *result* or its *method*.
- Work **backwards**: assume you have solved the problem and deduce the next-to-last step.
- Try solving **simpler versions** of the problem.

Carry out your plan:

- You may need to **repeat** (parts of) the earlier steps.
- If you are still stuck, identify *exactly* what information/assumptions you require that are missing and find a way to achieve them.

Review your solution:

- Verify that your solution is correct and convincing.
- Extend the solution to new problems.

Apply the problem-solving approach to the following puzzle

Streetcar Drama

On a streetcar, you overhear the following conversation:

- A: Haven't seen you in a long time! How old are your three kids now?
- B: The product of their ages (in years) is 36.
- A: That doesn't really answer my question.
- B: Well, the sum of their ages is [fire engine goes by]
- A: Still, that doesn't tell me how old they are.
- B: Well, the eldest plays piano.
- A: Okay, I see: their ages are [you have to get off the streetcar]

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow Understand the problem

On a streetcar, you overhear the following conversation:

- A: Haven't seen you in a long time! How old are your three kids now?
- B: The product of their ages (in years) is 36.
- A: That doesn't really answer my question.
- B: Well, the sum of their ages is [fire engine goes by]
- A: Still, that doesn't tell me how old they are.
- B: Well, the eldest plays piano.
- A: Okay, I see: their ages are —

What is required?

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow Understand the problem

On a streetcar, you overhear the following conversation:

- A: Haven't seen you in a long time! How old are your three kids now?
- B: The product of their ages (in years) is 36.
- A: That doesn't really answer my question.
- B: Well, the sum of their ages is [fire engine goes by]
- A: Still, that doesn't tell me how old they are.
- B: Well, the eldest plays piano.
- A: Okay, I see: their ages are —

What is required? The kids ages! Let's denote the kids' ages by x, y and z.

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow Understand the problem

- A: Haven't seen you in a long time! How old are your three kids now?
- B: The product of their ages (in years) is **36**. $\rightarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{36}$
- B: The sum of their ages is ...
- A: Still, that doesn't tell me how old they are. $\rightarrow x + y + z$ is not unique among all possible combinations
- B: Well, the eldest plays piano. $\rightarrow \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{z}$
- A: Okay, I see: their ages are $\dots \rightarrow$ determine the value of \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} .

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow Understand the problem

- What is given?
 - $x \cdot y \cdot z = 36$ (**Given**)
 - (2) x + y + z is not unique among all possible combinations (Deduced from the given info)
 - x > y and x > z (well-known to be true)
- What is required?
 - The value of x, y and z.

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow A plan for the solution

 $\mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{36},$

 \bigcirc x + y + z is not unique among all possible combinations,

0 x > yand x > z.

Possible Answers:

36, 1, 1 18, 2, 1 12, 3, 1 9, 4, 1 9, 2, 2 6, 6, 1 6, 3, 24, 3, 3

Streetcar Drama: solution

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow A plan for the solution

- $\bullet \ x \cdot y \cdot z = 36,$
- $\mathbf{O} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ is not unique among all possible combinations,
- **3** x > yand x > z.

Possible Answers:

36, 1, 1 where
$$36 + 1 + 1 = 38$$

18, 2, 1 where $18 + 2 + 1 = 21$
12, 3, 1 where $12 + 3 + 1 = 16$
9, 4, 1 where $9 + 4 + 1 = 14$
9, 2, 2 where $9 + 2 + 2 = 13$
6, 6, 1 where $6 + 6 + 1 = 13$
6, 3, 2 where $6 + 3 + 2 = 11$
4, 3, 3 where $4 + 3 + 3 = 10$

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow A plan for the solution

- $\bullet \ x \cdot y \cdot z = 36,$
- $\mathbf{O} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ is not unique among all possible combinations,
- \bigcirc x > y and x > z.

Possible Answers:

36, 1, 1 where
$$36 + 1 + 1 = 38$$

18, 2, 1 where $18 + 2 + 1 = 21$
12, 3, 1 where $12 + 3 + 1 = 16$
9, 4, 1 where $9 + 4 + 1 = 14$
9, 2, 2 where $9 + 2 + 2 = 13$
6, 6, 1 where $6 + 6 + 1 = 13$
6, 3, 2 where $6 + 3 + 2 = 11$
4, 3, 3 where $4 + 3 + 3 = 10$

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow A plan for the solution

- $0 \ x \cdot y \cdot z = 36,$
- 2 x + y + z is not unique among all possible combinations,

Possible Answers:

- ${\bf 9,2,2}$
- ${\bf 6}, {\bf 6}, {\bf 1}$

Streetcar Drama \rightarrow A plan for the solution

- $0 \ x \cdot y \cdot z = 36,$
- 2 x + y + z is not unique among all possible combinations,

Possible Answers:

- $\boldsymbol{9,2,2}$
- 6, 6, 1

- * ロ * * @ * * 注 * * 注 * のへぐ

Bahar Aameri

Mathematical Expression and Reasoning

Apply the problem-solving approach to the following puzzle

Court Case

At a murder trial, four witnesses give the following testimony.

Alice: If either Bob or Carol is innocent, then so am I.

Bob: Alice is guilty, and either Carol or Dan is guilty.

Carol: If Bob is innocent, then Dan is guilty.

Dan: If Bob is guilty, then Carol is innocent; however, Bob is innocent.

Is the testimony consistent, i.e., is it possible that everyone is telling the truth?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 うの()

Court Case \rightarrow Restate the problem

First, we define the following abbreviations:

- **A**: Alice is innocent
- B: Bob is innocent
- ${\bf C}:$ Carol is innocent
- D: Dan is innocent

Then we restate the testimonies to the following form:

- Alice: if (**B** or **C**) then **A**
- Bob: (not \mathbf{A}) and ((not \mathbf{C}) or (not \mathbf{D}))
- Carol: if **B** then (not **D**)
- Dan: (if (not \mathbf{B}) then \mathbf{C}) and \mathbf{B}

$\operatorname{Court}\,\operatorname{Case}\to\mathbf{A}\,\,\mathbf{plan}\,\,\mathbf{for}\,\,\mathbf{the}\,\,\mathbf{solution}$

- Alice: if (**B** or **C**) then **A**
- Bob: (not \mathbf{A}) and ((not \mathbf{C}) or (not \mathbf{D}))
- Carol: if **B** then (not **D**)
- Dan: (if (not \mathbf{B}) then \mathbf{C}) and \mathbf{B}
- First, we assume that **all** testimonies are **true**.
- We start by **Bob**'s and **Dan**'s testimonies because they make unconditional accusation/vindication:
 - Bob says that Alice is not innocent (not **A**).
 - Dan says that Bob is innocent (**B**).
- Then, according to the Alice's testimony Bob and Carol are not innocent (because otherwise Alice must be innocent too). But this **contradicts** Dan's testimony.

Therefore, at least one person is **lying**.

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき