

Review

# Chapter 3

**Bahar Aameri**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Toronto

**Apr 01, 2015**

# Announcements

- **Instructor Office Hours (Bahar):**
  - **Apr 01**, 12-1pm, BA3201
  - **Apr 08**, Time and location TBA.
- **Additional TA Office Hours:**
  - **Apr 01**, 1-2pm, BA3201
  - **Apr 02**, noon-6pm, BA2230
  - **Apr 06**, 10am-6pm, BA2230
  - **Apr 07**, 10am-4pm, BA2230
  - **Apr 08**, 10am-6pm, BA2230

## How to Start a Proof

- 1 Translate the claim into logical notation.
- 2 Write the outline of the proof based on the logical structure of the claim.
- 3 Fill in the outline by appropriate derivations/arguments.
  - Try some specific examples to convince yourself and get some intuition.
  - List all the given definitions/assumptions, preferably in logical form.
  - List (in symbolic form) all the (relevant) facts about the domains, functions and predicates.
  - Restate some of the assumptions/definitions/facts.
  - Restating the claim might also help.

## How to Approach a Proof

### 1 Indirect Proof (Contrapositive or Contradiction):

- If it is easier to derive the negation of the antecedent from the negation of the consequence to:

Example:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^+, ((x \cdot y) > z) \Rightarrow (x > \sqrt{z}) \vee (y > \sqrt{z}).$$

- If the consequence includes more sub-statements than the antecedent:  
Example:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \implies Q(n) \wedge R(n) \wedge S(n)$

- When disproving the negation of the claim is easier than proving the claim:

Example: ( $P$  denotes the set of all prime numbers. )

$$\forall x \in P, \forall y \in P, \forall z \in P, (x^2 + y^2 \neq z^2).$$

Negation:

$$\exists x \in P, \exists y \in P, \exists z \in P, (x^2 + y^2 = z^2).$$

- If you have no idea how to prove a claim, assume the negation of the claim and see if you can contradict a known fact or a given assumption!