# Chapter 4 Algorithm Analysis and Asymptotic Notation

# Bahar Aameri

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto

Mar 20, 2015

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Mathematical Expression and Reasoning

## Announcements

- Final Exam:
  - Chapter 1: Only Section 1.5 (Problem-solving techniques in Section 1.3 are useful in doing proofs. See Tutorial 5 and its solutions)
  - Chapter 2
  - Chapter 3
  - Chapter 4: Excluding Sections 4.2 and 4.3

- Analyzing Running Time of Algorithms
- Running Time Analysis for Linear Search
- Running Time Analysis for Insertion Sort

#### Worst-case analysis of algorithm

Given a program P, calculate a coarse estimation of its worst-case running time based on the size of the input.

### Symbolic Translation

- P: A program.
- $t_P(x)$ : running time of program P with input x.
- I: the set of all inputs for P.
- $T_P(n)$ : worst-case running time of P for inputs with size n.

 $T_P(n) = max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \land size(x) = n\}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ● のへで

# Review (from Week 8)

## Worst-case analysis of algorithm

- It is infeasible to calculate the exact value of  $T_P(n)$ .
- We only need a coarse estimation
  - We assume that the running time of all lines of P are equal  $\rightarrow t_P(x)$  denotes the number of lines that are executed for x.
  - 2 We use Big- $\Theta$  to give an estimation for  $T_P(n)$ .

## Running Time Analysis for Linear Search (LS)

```
• Claim: T_{LS} \in \Theta(n)
```

JQ (~

# Finding a Tight Bound for an Algorithm

Finding a Tight Bound

 $T_P \in \Theta(W)$  iff  $T_P \in \mathcal{O}(W)$  and  $T_P \in \Omega(W)$ .

### Finding an Upper Bound

 $T_P \in \mathcal{O}(W)$  iff

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow T_P(n) \le cW(n)$  iff

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \land size(x) = n\} \le cW(n)$ 

To prove  $T_P \in \mathcal{O}(W)$ , must show that:

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in I, size(x) \ge B \Rightarrow t_P(x) \le cW(size(x))$ 

#### Finding a Lower Bound

 $T_P \in \Omega(W)$  iff

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \land size(x) = n\} \ge cW(n)$ 

To prove  $T_P \in \mathcal{O}(W)$ , must show that:

 $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow \exists x \in I, size(x) = n \land t_P(x) \ge cW(n)$ 

SQ Q

## Running Time Analysis for Linear Search (LS)



#### Running Time Analysis for Linear Search (LS)

• Claim:  $T_{LS} \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ 

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n.

Then lines 2-5 execute not more than 3n steps, plus 1 step for the last loop test. (add the explanations from the previous slide for justification) So lines 1-6 take no more than 3n + 3 steps.

Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all possible inputs  $A, x, t_{LS}(A)$  is less than 3n + 3 steps.

## • $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow 3n+3 \le cn$

Let c = 6. Let B = 1. Then  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n, and  $n \geq B$ . Then  $3n \leq 3n$ . # both sides are equal Then  $3n + 3 \leq 3n + 3n = 6n$ .  $\# n \geq 1$ Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \implies T_{LS}(n) \leq cn$ . # definition of  $T_{LS}$ Then  $T_{LS} \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ . # by definition of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

## Running Time Analysis for Linear Search (LS)

```
• Claim: T_{LS} \in \Omega(n)
             assume x is equal to the middle entry of A
  def LS(A,x):
     """ Return an index i such that x == L[i].
     Otherwise, return -1. """
     i = 0 1
                                                # (line 1)
     while i < len(A): at most n/2+1
                                                # (line 2)
        if A[i] == x: at most n/2
                                                # (line 3)
           return i 1
                                                # (line 4)
        i = i + 1 at most n/2-1
                                                 # (line 5)
                                                 # (line 6)
     return -1
                                        ▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ _ 필.
```

5900

#### Running Time Analysis for Linear Search (LS)

• Claim:  $T_{LS} \in \Omega(n)$ 

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Let A is an array of length n and  $x = A[\lceil n/2 \rceil]$ . Then lines 2–5 execute not more than  $3\lceil n/2 \rceil$  steps. (add the explanations from the previous slide for justification) So lines 1–6 take no less than  $3\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 \ge 3n/2$  steps. Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all possible inputs A,  $t_{LS}(A)$  is greater than 3n/2 steps.

•  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow 3n/2 \ge cn$ 

Let c = 1/2. Let B = 1. Then  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n and  $x = A[\lceil n/2 \rceil]$ , and  $n \ge B$ . Then  $3n/2 \ge n/2$ . # 3 > 1Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \implies T_{LS}(n) \ge cn$ . # definition of  $T_{LS}$ Then  $T_{LS} \in \Omega(n)$ . # by definition of  $\Omega(n)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

Running Time Analysis for Insertion Sort (IS)

• Claim:  $T_{IS} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ n=len(A) def IS(A): """ Sort the elements of A in non-decreasing order. """ i = 1 1 # (line 1) while i < len(A): at most n+1 # (line 2) t = A[i] at most **n** # (line 3) each iteration j = i at most **n** # (line 4) at most n while j > 0 and A[j-1] > t: # (line 5)  $3n^2$ each iteration A[j] = A[j-1]# (line 6) at most <mark>2n</mark> j = j-1 # (line 7) A[j] = t at most **n** # (line 8) i = i+1 at most **n** # (line 9)

5900

#### Running Time Analysis for Insertion Sort (IS)

• Claim:  $T_{IS} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ 

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n.

Then lines 5–7 execute not more than 3n steps, plus 1 step for the last loop test. (add the explanations from the previous slide for justification) Then lines 2–9 take no more than  $n(5+3n) + 1 = 5n + 3n^2 + 1$  steps. (add the explanations from the previous slide for justification)

So lines 1–9 take no more than  $5n + 3n^2 + 2$  steps.

Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all possible inputs A,  $t_p(A)$  is less than  $5n + 3n^2 + 2$  steps.

•  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow 3n^2 + 5n + 2 \le cn^2$ 

Let c = 9. Let B = 1. Then  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n, and  $n \geq B$ . Then  $3n^2 \leq 3n^2$ . # both sides are equal Then  $3n^2 + 5n + 2 \leq 3n^2 + 5n^2 + 2n^2 = 9n^2$ .  $\# n \geq 1$ Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \implies T_{IS}(n) \leq cn^2$ . # definition of  $T_{IS}$ Then  $T_{IS} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ . # by definition of  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

Running Time Analysis for Insertion Sort (IS)

• Claims: 
$$T_{IS} \in \Omega(n^2)$$
  
def IS(A):  
""" Sort the elements of A in non-decreasing order. """  
 $i = 1$  1 # (line 1)  
while i < len(A): at most n # (line 2)  
t = A[i] at most n-1 # (line 3)  
j = i at most n-1 # (line 4)  
t = A[i] = A[j-1] # (line 5)  
while j > 0 and A[j-1] > t: # (line 5)  
each iteration  
at most 2i  $A[j] = A[j-1] # (line 6)$   
 $A[j] = t at most n-1 # (line 6)$   
 $i = i+1 at most n-1 # (line 8)$   
 $i = i+1 at most n-1 # (line 9)  $OQC$$ 

#### Running Time Analysis for Insertion Sort (IS)

• Claim:  $T_{IS} \in \Omega(n^2)$ 

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}, A = [n - 1, n - 2, ..., 0].$ 

Then lines 5–7 execute 3i steps each iteration of the outer loop, plus 1 step for the final loop check.

Then lines 2–9 take  $n + 4(n-1) + 3n(n-1)/2 + (n-1) = 3n^2/2 + 9n/2 - 5$  steps. (add the explanations from the previous slide for justification)

Then lines 1–9 take  $3n^2/2 + 9n/2 - 4$  steps.

Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all possible inputs A,  $t_p(A)$  is less than  $3n^2/2 + 9n/2 - 4$  steps.

•  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge B \Rightarrow 3n^2/2 + 9n/2 - 4 \ge cn^2$ 

Let c = 1. Let B = 1. Then  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is an array of length n, and  $n \geq B$ . Then  $3n^2/2 \geq n^2$ . # 3/2 > 1Then  $3n^2/2 + 9n/2 - 4 \geq n^2$ .  $\# n \geq 1$ , so 9n/2 - 4 > 0Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \implies T_{IS}(n) \geq cn^2$ . # definition of  $T_{IS}$ Then  $T_{IS} \in \Omega(n^2)$ . # by definition of  $\Omega(n^2)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● の Q @